

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/11610301)

European Journal of Agronomy



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/eja](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/eja) 

# Rice planting reduced  $N_2O$  emissions from rice-growing seasons due to increased *nosZ* gene abundance under a rice-wheat rotation system

Peng Xu<sup>a,b</sup>, Mengdie Jiang <sup>b,c</sup>, Imran Khan <sup>b</sup>, Jinsong Zhao <sup>b</sup>, Ronggui Hu <sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Mountain Surface Processes and Ecological Regulation, Institute of Mountain Hazards and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu

*610041, China* 

<sup>b</sup> *College of Resources and Environment, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China* <sup>c</sup> *Hubei Collaborative Innovation Centre for Grain Industry, College of Agriculture, Yangtze University, Jingzhou 434025, China* 

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*  Field managements Functional genes N<sub>2</sub>O emissions Rice plantation Soil available carbon and nitrogen

## ABSTRACT

Paddy fields experience more frequent events because of redox-induced changes during their growth period, which further govern the processes that influence soil  $N_2O$  emissions. However, studies on the effects of rice planting on soil N2O emissions and the relevant mechanisms are scarce. Thus, a field employing rice-wheat rotation (RW) in central China, including treatments with rice planting (RW-CC) and without rice planting (RW-NC), was selected to investigate the effects of rice planting on  $N_2O$  emissions. Several key soil variables and functional genes (*nirS*, *nirK*, and *nosZ*) related to N2O-production and consumption were selected to determine the mechanisms underlying the effects of rice planting on  $N_2O$  emissions. The results showed that seasonal  $N_2O$ emissions from RW-NC treatment were  $0.88 \pm 0.08$  and  $1.69 \pm 0.24$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for the first and subsequent rice cultivation seasons, respectively, and were significantly higher than those from RW-CC treatment (0.51  $\pm$  0.10 and 1.04  $\pm$  0.18 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). This indicated the mitigation effect of rice planting on field N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. For both the RW-CC and RW-NC treatments, N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were positively correlated with soil ammonium (NH4 <sup>+</sup>), microbial biomass carbon (MBC), and *nirK* genes, and negatively correlated with the *nosZ* gene, indicating that the production- and consumption-related functional genes of soil available C, N, and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  are the key factors controlling N2O emissions. Compared to RW-NC treatment, a higher abundance of the *nosZ* gene and lower ( $nirS+nirK$ )/ $nosZ$  ratios for RW-CC treatment may contribute to a greater reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O to N<sub>2</sub>, thus resulting in decreased N2O emissions. Structural equation model (SEM) analysis showed that soil moisture (flood depth), NH<sub>4</sub>, MBC, and functional genes together accounted for more than 85 % of the explanatory effects on N2O emissions for both treatments. In general, our research indicates that promoting rice planting could reduce soil N2O emissions during the rice-growing season, thereby reducing the exposure of soil to N fertilization when adopting field agronomic practices; our results also suggest that crop rotation and intercropping should be encouraged.

## **1. Introduction**

Nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ , one of the main greenhouse gases in the troposphere, has garnered considerable attention from scientists ([Davidson, 2009; Zheng et al., 2022](#page-7-0)) because its radiative forcing is significantly higher than that of carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  at an equivalent quality level over a 100-year timescale ([IPCC, 2013\)](#page-8-0). Agricultural soils have been identified as the primary source of atmospheric  $N_2O$  and their contribution is expected to increase in the future ([Scheehle et al., 2006](#page-8-0); [Li et al., 2011a, 2011b;](#page-8-0) [Hu et al., 2015\)](#page-8-0).

Crop soil constitutes the foundation of agricultural ecosystems. Crops

are known to be active contributors to the processing and cycling of soil N2O-related processes; however, the exact mechanisms underlying their interactions with  $N_2O$  production and emissions are poorly understood ([Bakken, 1988; Mahmood et al., 1997; Simojoki and Jaakkola, 2000; Xu](#page-7-0)  [et al., 2022b](#page-7-0)). Additionally, crops in terrestrial ecosystems drive feedback links between plant carbon (C) inputs and soil pores, wherein the latter affect soil  $N_2O$  emissions. Crop growth can positively, negatively, or neutrally affect soil  $N_2O$  emissions (Smith and Tiedje, 1979; Ghosh [et al., 2002; Li et al., 2011a; Xu et al., 2022b](#page-8-0)). For instance, [Ni et al.](#page-8-0)  [\(2012\)](#page-8-0) demonstrated that in a corn field, regardless of N fertilization, the  $N_2O$  emissions of crop-planted soil were higher than those of bare

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2023.127025>

1161-0301/© 2023 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Received 27 November 2022; Received in revised form 20 April 2023; Accepted 30 October 2023

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* [rghu@mail.hzau.edu.cn](mailto:rghu@mail.hzau.edu.cn) (R. Hu).

soil, indicating that maize growth could increase soil  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions. Similarly, another study showed that crops boost soil  $N_2O$  emissions ([Flessa et al., 2002\)](#page-8-0). The positive effects of crop growth on soil  $N_2O$ emissions can be ascribed to root-derived organic C stimulating the growth and activity of microorganisms, resulting in  $O<sub>2</sub>$  consumption and the occurrence of anaerobic microsites in soil pores, thereby elevating N<sub>2</sub>O evolution [\(Song et al., 2019\)](#page-8-0). Decreased N<sub>2</sub>O emissions following crop planting have also observed previously ([Sehy et al., 2003;](#page-8-0)  López-Fernández et al., 2007). The mechanisms underlying the negative effect of crop plantations on  $N_2O$  emissions may be as follows: 1)  $N_2O$  is absorbed and metabolized by plants [\(Grundmann et al., 2006\)](#page-8-0); 2) crops often retain large amounts of inorganic N during their growth process, especially available C and N in the soil, leading to a lack of N substrates for both nitrification and denitrification processes, thus reducing soil N2O production ([Xing et al., 1998](#page-8-0); [Davidson, 2009\)](#page-7-0). Hodge et al. (2000) showed decreased soil N content after planting crops; however, higher inorganic N content has been observed in bare soil, providing sufficient substrate to produce  $N_2O$  in the soil (Opez-Fernande, 2007; Zhang et al., [2016; Wei et al., 2021](#page-8-0)). These findings are primarily concerned with the impact of upland crops on soil  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions, whereas studies on paddy field and their underlying  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  processes are absent.

Numerous lines of evidence demonstrate that  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions from paddy fields should not be disregarded because of their high emissions ([Xu et al., 2022a; b](#page-8-0), [2023\)](#page-8-0), and scholars worldwide have focused on creating a budget for paddy  $N_2O$  emissions and determining mitigation measures [\(Tian et al., 2020](#page-8-0)). Notably, China manages approximately 20 % of rice areas [\(FAO, 2022](#page-7-0)), with rice-wheat rotation (RW) accounting for 16 % of the total rice paddy area of China ([IPCC, 2013\)](#page-8-0). Generally, rice absorbs soil moisture and nutrients during its growth, which can change the physical, chemical, and biological states of the soil in paddy fields, thus affecting the related processes of soil  $N_2O$  generation and emission (Cai et al., 1997; Ruser et al., 2001; Kögel-Knabner et al., [2010\)](#page-7-0). However, the effects of rice plantations on soil  $N_2O$ production-related substrate availability and microbial metabolism are scarcely investigated, which consequently affect soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Xu [et al., 2022b](#page-8-0)).

Notably, the dynamics of soil C and N play a significant role in  $N_2O$ emissions from paddy fields [\(Xu et al., 2022a; b, 2023\)](#page-8-0), and are different in areas with and without plants ([Bolton et al., 1990](#page-7-0)). [Zhang et al.](#page-8-0)  [\(2022\)](#page-8-0) proposed that this phenomenon is uncommon, and that the effects of rice growth on soil C and N need to be investigated, particularly on soil dissolved organic carbon (DOC), mineral N, and microbial biomass C and N (MBC and MBN). Active C and N may function as effective substrates for  $N_2O$ -production related microorganisms. This could affect microbial activities in soil, such as microbial assimilation and propagation processes, consequently affecting soil  $N_2O$  production, consumption, and emissions (Sanchez-Martín et al., 2008; [Kader et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2013; Xu et al., 2023](#page-8-0)).

As described by the "hole-in-the-pipe" conceptual model [\(Firestone](#page-7-0)  [and Davidson, 1989](#page-7-0)), soil N<sub>2</sub>O production is mainly derived from microbial nitrification and denitrification [\(Hu et al., 2017](#page-8-0)). For instance, *nirS*, *nirK*, and *nosZ* genes have been suggested as key functional genes affecting  $N_2O$  production and consumption during the flooding periods of paddy fields ([Shaaban et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2020; Kong et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2021; Xu et al., 2022b, 2023\)](#page-8-0). Notably, long-term flooding conditions support the reduction of  $N_2O$  to  $N_2$  with an increased abundance of the *nosZ* gene. However, various soil processes and some key functional genes regulating N2O production and emissions have garnered increasing recognition [\(Qin et al., 2018; Maul et al., 2019; Grassmann](#page-8-0)  [et al., 2022](#page-8-0)). Little is known about the effect of rice cultivation on the functional genes involved in nitrification and denitrification processes, which are accompanied by variable soil conditions and could alter  $N_2O$ emissions ([Ma et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2022b\)](#page-8-0).

In this study, we primarily focused on the effects of rice cropping on soil N2O emissions and sought to identify important variables (such as field moisture and soil C and N availability) and essential functional

genes that regulate  $N_2O$  production and emission. Hence, a field experiment was conducted through treatments with or without rice planting in an RW system to monitor the interannual and seasonal  $N_2O$ emissions and investigate the relationships between  $N_2O$  efflux and the aforementioned crucial elements. We hypothesized that rice plantations would change soil available C and N fractions due to the absorption and utilization of mineral N and active C secretion. This would consequently affect the abundance of some key functional genes regarding  $N_2O$  production and consumption, regardless of rice-based rotations. Thus, the objectives of this study were to: i) determine the differences in soil C and N fractions related to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (i.e., soil DOC, mineral N, MBC, and MBN contents) under various rice-based rotation systems; and ii) explore the potential relationship between N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, soil-related C and N fractions, and microbial functional genes.

#### **2. Materials and methods**

## *2.1. Description of the study site and field experimental design*

This study was conducted at HuangGang Academy of Agricultural Sciences in Hubei Province, China (30◦ 63' N, 114◦ 87' E), which has a subtropical monsoon environment with average annual temperatures and precipitation of 18.1 ◦C and 1157 mm, respectively. The soil here has a silty loam texture and is classified as fluvo-aquic soil comprising 16.0 % clay, 57.2 % silt, and 26.8 % sand. The initial soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), bulk density (BD), and pH were,  $11.0$  g kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.80 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, 1.29 g m<sup>-3</sup>, and 8.05 (soil:water = 1:2.5), respectively.

The experiment was conducted using a split plot from May 2019 to September 2020. In particular, a plot with an area of 24 m<sup>2</sup> (4  $\times$  6 m) was selected for conventional fertilization (RW-CC). For each plot, an area of  $1.5 \times 1.5$  m was free of rice plantation to act as a non-crop treatment (RW-NC) and was separated from the surrounding rice with a polyethylene plate. Each treatment was repeated thrice. The fertilizer application rate of the flooded rice season consisted of 225 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 112 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 225 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, wherein half of the N and K and all P fertilizers were applied as basal fertilizer, and the other half of the N and K fertilizers were applied as topdressing. In particular, 67 kg N ha<sup>−1</sup> (urea) was applied 7 days after rice transplanting as tillering fertilizer, 45 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (urea) was applied at the jointing stage, and 112.5 kg K<sub>2</sub>O  $ha^{-1}$  (potassium chloride) was applied during the heading period. Moreover, the fertilizer application rate during the wheat season consisted of 192 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 112 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>, and 112 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> , respectively, wherein 60 % of N and K and all P fertilizers were applied as basal fertilizer, and the remaining N and K fertilizers were applied as topdressing. The detailed information on fertilizer application and management practices is presented in Table S1.

## *2.2. Measurement of N2O emission fluxes*

A static opaque chamber, extensively discussed by [Wang et al.](#page-8-0)   $(2013)$ , was selected for collecting N<sub>2</sub>O gas samples. In particular, the chamber comprised two components: a base structure with a bottom area and height of 0.25  $m<sup>2</sup>$  and 0.3 m, respectively, and an insulating foam-wrapped chamber cover with a bottom area and height of 0.25  $m<sup>2</sup>$ and 1 m, respectively. After field plowing, the foundation base structure was buried in the ground in each pot. In particular, the upper edge of each base was equipped with a groove filled with water to ensure gas sealing before the start of each gas sampling operation. For each gas sampling event, five gas samples were collected from each chamber using five 50-mL air-tight syringes with an interval of 10 min between two adjacent gas sampling operations. Generally, the frequency of gas collection was twice every week, whereas it was adjusted to seven continuous times per week after fertilization and heavy rain events. Subsequently, gas samples were measured through a gas chromatograph (GC; 7890 A, Agilent Technologies, California, USA) equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD) within 24 h. During the analysis of the

 $N_2$ O concentrations,  $N_2$  and a mixture of CO<sub>2</sub> and  $N_2$  (10 % CO<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub>) acted as the carrier and buffering gases, respectively. Finally,  $N_2O$ emission fluxes and seasonal cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions were calculated using the closed-chamber equation and trapezoidal method ([Zheng](#page-8-0)  [et al., 2008\)](#page-8-0).

#### *2.3. Measurements of auxiliary parameters*

Synchronous with the  $N_2O$  flux measurements, several crucial variables were determined [\(Wu et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2022a](#page-8-0)). In particular, the air temperature inside each chamber was recorded using a portable digital thermometer (JM624; Liwen Electronics LTD, Tianjin, China). The flood water depth in the field (only for the rice-planting period) was measured using a vertical ruler. Additionally, the soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm was recorded using an electronic probe manually inserted into the soil near each base frame when collecting gas samples. Each week, five topsoil (0–20 cm) samples were randomly drawn from each plot and combined to create a single composite soil sample. Subsequently, the composite sample was immediately brought to the lab and stored at a temperature of 4 ◦C. A part of the soil samples was used to measure the soil water content (SWC), soil pH, DOC, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN; including NH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub>), as suggested by X<sub>u</sub> et al. [\(2022b\).](#page-8-0) The soil samples were also used to determine the soil MBC and MBN using the chloroform fumigation method proposed and modified by [Brookes et al. \(1985\)](#page-7-0). At the start of the experiment, columnar soil samples were collected using cylindrical rings to determine the soil bulk density (BD). The daily air temperature and precipitation were recorded by a small auto-monitoring meteorological station near the experimental site.

#### *2.4. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)*

In this study, the silica matrix adsorption column technique was used to extract soil microbial DNA samples. According to previously recommended primer sets (Table S2), quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was conducted using the SYBR GREEN technique to quantitatively evaluate the abundance of three genes (the *nosZ* gene responsible for N2O consumption and the *nirS* and *nirK* genes involved in N2O production). In particular, we used the qPCR reaction process wherein the measurement levels varied from 95 % to 110 %. The value of  $R^2$  for each calibration curve was set to be higher than 0.98. The qPCR reaction system had a total volume of 15 μL, comprising 7.5 μL of SYBR Green Mix, 1 μL of diluted template DNA, 0.7 μL of the primer, and 5.8 μL of sterile water. The amplification conditions were based on a previous study ([Zhou et al., 2020\)](#page-9-0). The formula suggested by [Macdonald et al.](#page-8-0)  [\(2011\)](#page-8-0) was used to determine the copy number of each functional gene.

# *2.5. Statistical analyses*

Seasonal  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions and the abundance of functional genes in the RW-CC and RW-NC treatments were compared using an independent ttest. Linear or nonlinear regression was used to examine the functional relationships between the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, soil variables, and functional gene abundance. A structural equation model (SEM) implemented using the AMOS software package (AMOS 21.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to examine the influence of environmental factors, soil variables, and functional genes on  $N_2O$  emissions. Figures were prepared using the Origin 8.5 software (Origin Lab Corporation, USA). All statistical analyses were conducted with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (SPSS19.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) and *p* ≤ *0.05* was set to represent statistical significance.



**Fig. 1.** Dynamics in daily mean air temperature (a), soil temperature at 5-cm depth (b) and Precipitation (c) during the experiment period.

#### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Climatic, environmental, and soil variables*

According to our records, the daily air temperature during the experimental period varied from  $-3.3$  to 33.6 °C. During the trail year of 2019–2020 and the rice season of 2020, the yearly precipitation values were 1103.9 and 559.3 mm, respectively (Fig. 1). Concomitantly over the entire experimental period, the soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm ranged from 4.8 to 32.7  $\degree$ C for the RW-CC treatment (Fig. 1). The recorded water flood depths varied from 0 to 4.05 cm and 0–4.65 cm, and from 0 to 4.03 cm and 0–4.72 cm for the RW-CC and the RW-NC treatments during the rice planting seasons of 2019 and 2020, respectively (Fig. S1).

In this study, basal N fertilization significantly enhanced the soil ammonium nitrogen (NH $_4^+$ -N) levels for both treatments when rice was transplanted, and soil NH $_4^+$ -N subsequently decreased to a low level. During the rice planting seasons of 2019 and 2020, the soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>N contents ranged from 1.58 to 57.14 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.65–77.14 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-CC treatment ([Fig. 2a](#page-3-0)), respectively. In contrast, the soil NH $_4^+$ -N contents varied from 1.30 to 61.48 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.72–70.15 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-NC treatment during the rice planting seasons of 2019 and 2020, respectively.

However, no prominent elevation was observed in soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations after N fertilizer application, which ranged from 0.74 to 16.61 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.24–13.79 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-CC treatment during the rice planting seasons of 2019 and 2020, respectively. These NO<sub>3</sub>-N values were comparable to those from the RW-NC treatment, ranging from 0.38 to 17.12 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.18–12.40 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)b). Soil DOC concentrations exhibited seasonal variations in both treatments, with higher values during the rice-growing season than during the wheat-growing period. Soil DOC contents ranged from 19.0 to 64.54 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> and 21.64–58.48 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-CC treatment, whereas they varied from 23.94 to 51.45 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> and 14.28–46.31 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-NC treatment during the first and second rice seasons, respectively [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)c). Soil MBC concentrations varied from 82.78 to 480.69 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> and 99.28–464.25 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-CC treatment, whereas they varied from 55.43 to 502.99 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> and 108.27-457.58 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-NC treatment during the rice planting seasons of 2019 and 2020, respectively ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)d). Soil MBN contents ranged from 10.76 to 27.40 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> and 10.52–27.37 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-CC treatment, whereas they ranged from 9.98 to 23.15 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> and 6.26–33.25 mg C kg<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-NC treatment during the rice planting seasons of 2019 and 2020, respectively ([Fig. 2e](#page-3-0)).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Dynamics in soil ammonium (NH4), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) during the experiment period.

# *3.2. N2O emissions*

In this study, the soil  $N_2O$  fluxes were markedly altered during the perimental period. The  $N_2O$  fluxes varied from experimental period. The N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes varied from − 6.20–386.31 ug N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and − 13.41–822.94 ug N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-NC treatment during the rice growing seasons of 2019 and 2020, respectively, whereas they tended to increase from  $- 24.35 - 371.96$  m<sup>-2</sup>  $h^{-1}$  and  $- 15.85 - 952.24$  ug N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for the RW-NC treatment during the first and second rice growing seasons, respectively [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-4-0). The seasonal cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions of the RW-CC treatment amounted to  $0.50 \pm 0.10$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and  $1.04 \pm 0.18$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> during the rice seasons of 2019 and 2020, respectively, which were significantly lower than those of the RW-NC treatment, with total amounts of  $0.88 \pm 0.08$ and 1.69  $\pm$  0.24 kg N ha $^{-1}$ , respectively ( $p <$  0.05, an embedded figure in [Fig. 3\)](#page-4-0).

# *3.3. The relationship between soil N2O emissions, soil parameters, and gene abundances*

Soil N2O fluxes were found to be correlated with measured soil variables and related functional genes. Correlation analysis showed that  $\rm N_2O$  fluxes were positively correlated with soil  $\rm NH_4^+$  and MBC for both treatments during the two rice sowing seasons, whereas they were negatively correlated with the field flood depth ([Fig. 4a](#page-4-0) and b). Moreover, for both treatments, the  $N_2O$  fluxes tended to linearly increase with increasing soil  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  concentrations over the course of the rice growing season, with modest differences in slope between the two treatments ([Fig. 5](#page-5-0)a); conversely, they decreased exponentially with increasing field flood depth (Fig. S2).

Additionally, exponential relationships between  $N_2O$  fluxes and soil functional genes were observed for both RW-CC and RW-NC treatments ([Fig. 7\)](#page-6-0). For both treatments, we found that  $N_2O$  fluxes had positive linear correlations with the copy number of the *nirK* gene [\(Fig. 7](#page-6-0)a) and negative linear relationships with the copy number of the *nosZ* gene

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 3.** Seasonal patterns in N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emission for two treatments during the whole experiment period. The red and black arrows denote applying basal N fertilizer and N dressing, respectively.

([Fig. 7](#page-6-0)b) and the (*nirS*+*nirK*)/*nosZ* ratio ([Fig. 7c](#page-6-0)). Notably, we observed a significant difference in the linear regression slopes between the two treatments ([Fig. 7a](#page-6-0) and b) ( $p < 0.05$ ).

# *3.4. Abundances of nirK, nirS, and nosZ genes*

In this study, we assessed the abundances of the *nirS*, *nirK*, and *nosZ*  genes, as well as the (*nirS*+*nirK*)/*nosZ* ratios for two treatments during each rice growing season. For the two rice sowing seasons, the abundance dynamics of these three genes remained consistent ([Fig. 6](#page-5-0)). No discernible variations in the copy numbers of *nirK* and *nirS* were found between the RW-CC and RW-NC treatments ([Fig. 6](#page-5-0)a and b). Notably, the *nosZ* gene copy number in the RW-CC treatment was considerably higher than that in the RW-NC treatment [\(Fig. 6c](#page-5-0)). Additionally, as shown in [Fig. 6](#page-5-0)d and presented in Table S3, rice planting significantly affected the abundance of the *nosZ* gene and the ratio of (*nirS*+*nirK*) to *nosZ*   $(p < 0.05)$ .

# *3.5. Structural equation model (SEM) describing the effects of various factors on N2O emissions*

The structural equation model (SEM) was used to describe the effects of key variables on soil  $N_2O$  emissions during the rice-planting seasons for the two treatments. Overall, the functional genes (*nirK* and *nosZ*) and soil parameters (NH $_4^+$ , MBC, and field flood depth) explained 86 % of soil N2O emissions in the RW-CC treatment ([Fig. 8](#page-7-0)a), whereas in the RW-NC treatment, these factors explained 92 % of soil  $N_2O$  emissions [\(Fig. 8](#page-7-0)b).

# **4. Discussion**

# *4.1. The impact of rice cropping on N2O emissions*

Previous studies demonstrated that rice growth can positively or negatively influence soil  $N_2O$  emissions (Yu et al., 1997; Kim et al.,  $2021$ ). In this study, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the RW-CC and RW-NC treatments exhibited similar variations during the experimental period. However, significant differences in  $N_2O$  emissions during the two rice-growing seasons were observed in the RW system, with higher  $N_2O$ emissions in the non-rice-planting treatment than in the rice-planting treatment, implying that rice cropping plays a crucial role in soil  $N_2O$ emissions. Similarly, the average seasonal  $N_2O$  emission rate of paddy soils with plants was lower than that of soils without plants (López-Fernández [et al., 2007](#page-8-0)), and the decreased  $N_2O$  fluxes in rice-planted soils could be attributed to the lower denitrification potential [\(Kim et al., 2021](#page-8-0)). This could be explained by the competition between rice growth and the N substrate, which supported nitrifying and denitrifying microorganisms after rice transplantation. Thus, soil without rice plantation and with high N content provided adequate substrates for N2O production-related microorganisms and enhanced N2O emissions from the soil ([Opez-Fernande, 2007](#page-8-0); [Davidson, 2009](#page-7-0)). In addition, the mitigation effects of rice planting on  $N_2O$  emissions could be expected due to the growing rice roots in the soil that consume oxygen  $(O_2)$  and favor denitrification, leading to the reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O to N2 ([Yang et al., 2021](#page-8-0)). Organic C sources derived from rice root excreta ([Zhu et al., 2018; Khan et al., 2019](#page-9-0)) also provide remarkable energy for microbial denitrification and facilitate  $N_2O$  reduction.

Notably, compared with that in the first rice planting season, N fertilization triggered  $N_2O$  efflux during the second season. These results were justified by the occurrence of frequent rainfall during the second rice cultivation season, coupled with optimum N availability as a



Fig. 4. Correlation analysis describing the relationships between the factors and N<sub>2</sub>O emission for two treatment during the rice-growing seasons. a, b represents the RW-CC and RW-NC treatments, respectively.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Fig. 5.** Relationship between N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and MBC content for two treatments during the rice-growing seasons.



**Fig. 6.** Dynamics in the abundances of different functional genes for two treatments during the two rice-growing seasons.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>substrate. This further enhanced the decomposition of soil organic matter (SOM) and subsequently facilitated microbial  $N_2O$ -production, resulting in greater  $N_2O$  emissions (Grandy and Robertson, 2006; Zhou [et al., 2017\)](#page-8-0). Previous studies have reported greater  $N_2O$  emissions occurring during alternating wet and dry cycles ([Liao et al., 2020;](#page-8-0) [Xu](#page-8-0)  [et al., 2022a, 2022b](#page-8-0)). Thus, moisture fluctuations play a vital role in microbial  $N_2O$ -related activities, and this must be considered when evaluating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from fields (Oin et al., 2020).

#### *4.2. The influence of soil available C and N on N2O emissions*

Soil available C and N, such as DOC,  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$ , NO $_3$ , MBC, and MBN, are often recognized as essential substrates for microbial nitrification and denitrification processes ([Shaaban et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022b](#page-8-0)). During the rice cultivation season, the highest  $N_2O$  emissions were accompanied by N fertilization, especially after basal N fertilization. Such large N2O emissions indicated the higher soil mineral N content after N fertilization, implying the stimulation of  $N_2O$  production-related microorganism activity when sufficient mineral N was available ([You](#page-8-0)  [et al., 2022](#page-8-0)). This was further confirmed by the positive correlation between N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> content for both treatments (Fig. 7). Furthermore, for both treatments, soil MBC concentrations were positively and negatively correlated with N2O fluxes and *nosZ*, respectively, indicating that soil MBC could directly and indirectly facilitate  $N_2O$ emissions. Similarly, a positive correlation between soil MBC and  $N_2O$ fluxes was previously observed in a paddy-based field  $(Xu$  et al., 2022a, [2022b\)](#page-8-0). These results indicate that the available C and N may act as substrates to support microbial N<sub>2</sub>O production (Meijide et al., 2007; Xu [et al., 2022a; b](#page-8-0), [2023](#page-8-0)). Our results also corroborate those of [Xu et al.](#page-8-0)  [\(2022a, 2022b\)](#page-8-0), who summarized that soil  $N_2O$  fluxes increased with increasing available soil C concentrations. SEM analysis also revealed that soil-available C and N had positive or negative effects on *nirK* or *nosZ*, consequently influencing  $N_2O$  emissions. [Zhou et al. \(2020\)](#page-9-0) suggested that the available soil C and N and their ratios play key roles in regulating soil N2O production and emissions.

# *4.3. The relationship between N2O production-related genes and N2O emission*

Microbial functional genes have been known to affect  $N_2O$  production and emission ([Zhou et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2023](#page-9-0)). Whether plant growth could affect  $N_2O$  emissions by affecting functional genes related to N2O production and consumption needs to be investigated further ([Lenhart et al., 2019](#page-8-0)). In this study, three functional genes (*nirS*, *nirK*, and *nosZ*) involved in denitrification were selected to investigate the effect of the rice cropping system on their abundances and explore the relationships between these genes and  $N_2O$  emissions. Therefore, the abundances of *nirK* and *nirS* genes could reflect the intensity of denitrification, as they encode nitrite reductase, which catalyzes the reduction of  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  to gaseous oxynitride and has been recognized as a key limiting step in  $N_2O$  production [\(Zhou et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2021](#page-9-0)). Under certain conditions,  $N_2O$  can be further reduced to  $N_2$  by nitrous oxide reductases encoded by the *nosZ* gene during denitrification. However, no discernible differences were observed in the abundances of the *nirS* or *nirK* genes between the RW-CC and RW-NC treatments. Regression and SEM analyses only showed a strong relationship between the *nirK* gene and  $N_2O$  emission flux for both treatments (Figs. 7 and 8), indicating that the *nirK* gene may play a primary role in regulating the reduction of  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  to NO, consequently influencing the field  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions. Our results agree with those of [Zhou et al. \(2020\)](#page-9-0), who found that both *nirK* and *nirS* genes exhibit positive relationships with soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Notably, as previously proposed, during the field flooding period, the reduction of  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  to NO (further reduction to  $N<sub>2</sub>O$ ) through the nitrifier denitrification pathway, which is catalyzed by copper-containing nitrite reductase encoded by the *nirK* gene, can occur easily (Chandran et al., 2011; Kozlowski et al., 2014). In contrast, some



**Fig. 7.** Relationships between  $N_2O$  fluxes and abundances of different functional genes for two treatments during the rice-growing season.

studies have emphasized that the *nirS* gene has a stronger effect on N2O production and emission in soil than the *nirK* gene ([Qin et al., 2020;](#page-8-0)  [Zhou et al., 2020](#page-8-0)). Based on these results, we suggest that the roles of *nirS* and *nirK* genes in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions need to be further explored in paddy fields in future studies.

However, significant differences were observed in the abundances of the denitrifying *nosZ* gene between the RW-CC and RW-NC treatments ([Fig. 6](#page-5-0)c and Table S3). Furthermore, SEM revealed a strong negative relationship between *nosZ* gene abundance and  $N_2O$  emissions (Figs. 7) and 8). We speculate that the higher abundance of the *nosZ* gene contributed to a greater reduction in N<sub>2</sub>O to N<sub>2</sub>, resulting in lower N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the RW-CC treatment. Consistent with our results, a previous study revealed that a higher abundance of *nosZ* gene facilitates the reduction of  $N_2O$  to  $N_2$ , resulting in lower  $N_2O$  emissions (Liu et al., 2010; Shaaban et al.,  $2018$ ). N<sub>2</sub>O is the electron receptor for nitrous oxide reductase; therefore, the abundance of *nosZ* containing microbes may be relevant to soil  $N_2O$  emissions [\(Qin et al., 2020](#page-8-0)). In contrast, Li et al.  $(2021)$  reported that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions did not decrease significantly and were accompanied by a greater *nosZ* gene abundance. Additionally, [Xu et al. \(2022b\)](#page-8-0) reported no differences in the abundances of *nosZ*  between rice and non-rice plantations under a double rice-fallow cultivation mode. These results indicate that the role of *nosZ* gene abundance in N2O emissions from field conditions in rice-based cultivation modes is complex and requires further study.

Additionally, N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were positively correlated with the (*nirS*+*nirK*)/*nosZ* ratio, indicating that the abundance ratio of functional genes involved in denitrification could regulate  $N_2O$  emissions. Consistent with our results, previous studies have attributed greater  $N_2O$ emissions to higher (*nirS*+*nirK*)/*nosZ* ratios ([Kong et al., 2021; You et al.,](#page-8-0)  [2022\)](#page-8-0). As proposed previously, the (*nirS*+*nirK*)/*nosZ* ratio represents the ability of denitrifying genes to determine whether  $N_2O$  is emitted into the atmosphere as a greenhouse gas or reduced to  $N_2$  ([Chen et al., 2020](#page-7-0)). Thus, the (*nirS*+*nirK*)/*nosZ* ratio should be considered when investigating soil N2O emissions in rice-based field systems in the future.

# *4.4. Implications for future research*

The results of this study showed that the rice cropping system plays a

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Fig. 8.** Structural equation model (SEM) describing the effects of soil parameters (soil NH4 and MBC, moisture reflects field flood depth) and the functional genes (*nirK* and *nosZ*) on N2O emission for each treatment during the rice-growing seasons. Continuous or dashed lines indicate positive or negative effects, respectively. Width of the arrow indicates strength of the effect, numbers close to lines are standardized direct influence coefficients and  $R<sup>2</sup>$  stands for the proportion of variables explained by these drivers.  $χ²$  stands for Chi-square, *df* for degrees of freedom, CFI for comparative fit index, and RMSEA for root mean square error of approximation.

significant role in regulating soil  $N_2O$  emissions. However, the frequent co-occurrence of  $N_2O$  production and consumption pathways in paddy fields, especially during the rice growing period with fluctuating moisture (i.e., redox-induced) and substrate conditions, may control  $N_2O$ production and consumption and subsequently affect  $N_2O$  emissions. Considered together, environmental factors, along with soil parameters and  $N_2O$ -production related microorganisms might be important parameters regulating  $N_2O$  production, consumption, and emission. Therefore, we suggest that studies should focus on the differences in the characteristics of  $N_2O$ -production related microorganisms, which could be affected by changing substrate concentrations and habitats, when investigating soil N2O emissions in a paddy-based field. We suggest that several key functional genes related to  $N_2O$  production and reduction should be investigated further in future studies.

# **5. Conclusions**

This study showed that seasonal cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions from non-rice cropping treatments were significantly higher than those from rice plantation treatments, indicating a mitigating effect of rice cropping on field N2O emissions under a typical rice-wheat rotation system. Compared with those in the non-rice cropping treatment, the higher abundance of *nosZ* gene and lower (*nirS*+*nirK*)/*nosZ* ratio in the ricegrowing treatment may facilitate greater  $N_2O$  reduction to  $N_2$ , resulting in decreased  $N_2O$  emissions. Therefore, theoretically, our results also revealed that N2O emissions are primarily regulated by the interaction of key soil parameters and nitrification and denitrification functional genes, such as soil NH4 <sup>+</sup>, MBC, *nirK*, and *nosZ*. Thus, studies must consider the differences in the characteristics of available C and N substrates and N<sub>2</sub>O-production related microorganisms, which could be affected by changing soil conditions and habitats, when investigating soil  $N_2O$  emissions in a rice-based field. In summary, our findings suggest that rice planting is beneficial for the mitigation of soil  $N_2O$  emissions during the rice cultivation period; thus, soil N fertilization must be reduced when implementing field agronomic practices.

# **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Peng Xu did the experiment and wrote the original manuscript; Mengdie Jiang supported to do the experiment and helped to prepare the pictures; Imran Khan assisted to take samples; Jinsong Zhao helped improve the manuscript and Ronggui Hu gave the idea.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest in the paper entitled "Rice planting reduced N2O emissions from rice-growing seasons due to increased *nosZ* gene abundance under a rice-wheat rotation system".

# **Data Availability**

Data will be made available on request.

# **Acknowledgments**

All authors would like to thank the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2021M703131) and Hubei Provincial Key Research and Development Program (2021BCA156) for offering financial support to conduct this study.

# **Appendix A. Supporting information**

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at [doi:10.1016/j.eja.2023.127025.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2023.127025)

# **References**

- [Bakken, L.R., 1988. Dentrification under different cultivated plants: effects of soil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref1) [moisture tension, nitrate concentration, and photosynthetic activity. Biol. Fertil.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref1) [Soils 6, 271](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref1)–278.
- [Bolton, H., Smith, J.L., Wildung, R.E., 1990. Nitrogen mineralization potential of shrub](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref2)[steppe soils with different disturbance histories. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 54, 887](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref2)–891.
- [Brookes, P.C., Landman, A., Pruden, G., Jenkinson, D., 1985. Chloroform fumigation and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref3)  [the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to measure.microbial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref3) [biomass nitrogen in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 17, 837](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref3)–842.
- [Cai, Z.C., Xing, G.X., Yan, X.Y., Xu, H., Tsuruta, H., Yagi, K., Minami, K., 1997. Methane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref4)  [and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddy fields as affected by nitrogen fertilisers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref4)  [and water management. Plant Soil 196, 7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref4)–14.
- Chen, X., Zhu, H., Bañuelos, G., Shutes, B., Yan, B., Cheng, R., 2020. Biochar reduces [nitrous oxide but increases methane emissions in batch wetland mesocosms. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref5)  [Eng. J. 392, 124842](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref5).
- [Davidson, E.A., 2009. The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref6)  [nitrous oxide since 1860. Nat. Geosci. 2, 659](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref6)–662.
- FAO, 2022. Statistical Database of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAOSTAT), Rome, Italy.
- [Firestone, M.K., Davidson, E.A., 1989. Microbiological basis of NO and N2O production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref7)  [and consumption in soil. In: Exchange of Trace Gases Between Terrestrial Ecosystems](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref7)  [and the atmosphere. John Wiley and Sons, New York.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref7)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>[Flessa, H., Ruser, R., Schilling, R., Loftfield, N., Munch, J.C., Kaiser, E.A., Beese, F., 2002.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref8)  [N2O and CH4 fluxes in potato fields: automated measurement, management effects](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref8)  [and temporal variation. Geoderma 105, 307](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref8)–325.

- [Ghosh, S., Majumdar, D., Jain, M., 2002. Nitrous oxide emission from kharif and rabi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref9) [legumes grown on an alluvial soil. Biol. Fert. Soils 35, 473](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref9)–478.
- [Grandy, S.A., Robertson, P.G., 2006. Initial cultivation of a temperate-region soil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref10)  immediately accelerates aggregate turnover and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  fluxes. Glob. Change [Biol. 12, 1507](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref10)–1520.
- [Grassmann, C.S., Mariano, E., Diniz, P.P., Borges, B.M.F., Borges, C.D., Tsai, S.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref11) Rosolem, C.A., 2022. Functional N-cycle genes in soil and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in tropical [grass-maize intercropping systems. Soil Biol. Biochem 169, 108655](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref11).
- Grundmann, G.L., Lensi, R., Chalamet, A., 2006. Delayed NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O uptake by maize [leaves. N. Phytol. 124, 259](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref12)–263.
- [Hu, H.W., Chen, D., He, J.Z., 2015. Microbial regulation of terrestrial nitrous oxide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref13)  [formation: understanding the biological pathways for prediction of emission rates.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref13)  [FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 39, 729](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref13)–749.
- [Hu, H.W., Trivedi, P., He, J.Z., Singh, B.K., 2017. Microbial nitrous oxide emissions in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref14) [dryland ecosystems: mechanisms, microbiome and mitigation. Environ. Microbiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref14)  [19, 4808](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref14)–4828.
- [IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref15)  [II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref15)  [Change. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref15).
- [Kader, M.A., Sleutel, S., Begum, S.A., Moslehuddin, A.Z.M., Neve, S.D., 2013. Nitrogen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref16)  [mineralization in sub-tropical paddy soils in relation to soil mineralogy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref16)
- [management, pH, carbon, nitrogen and iron contents. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 64, 47](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref16)–57. [Khan, I., Fahad, S., Wu, L., Zhou, W., Xu, P., Sun, Z., Salam, A., Imran, M., Jiang, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref17)  [Kuzyakov, Y., Hu, R.G., 2019. Labile organic matter intensifies phosphorous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref17) [mobilization in paddy soils by microbial iron \(III\) reduction. Geoderma 352,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref17)
- 185–[196](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref17). [Kim, G.W., Kim, P.J., Khan, M.I., Lee, S.J., 2021. Effect of rice planting on nitrous oxide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref18)   $(N<sub>2</sub>O)$  emission under different Levels of nitrogen fertilization. Agronomy 11, 217.
- Kögel-Knabner, I., Amelung, W., Cao, Z., Fiedler, S., Frenzel, P., Jahn, R., Kalbitz, K., Kölbl, [A., Schloter, M., 2010. Biogeochemistry of paddy soils. Geoderma 157, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref19)–14.
- [Kong, D.L., Jin, Y.G., Yu, K., Swaney, D.P., Liu, S.W., Zou, J.W., 2021. Low N2O](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref20) [emissions from wheat in a wheat-rice double cropping system due to manure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref20) [substitution are associated with changes in the abundance of functional microbes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref20)  [Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 311, 107318.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref20)
- [Lenhart, K., Behrendt, T., Greiner, S., Steinkamp, J., Well, R., Giesemann, A., Keppler, F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref21)  [2019. Nitrous oxide effluxes from plants as a potentially important source to the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref21)  [atmosphere. New Phytol. 221, 1398](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref21)–1408.
- [Li, H., Meng, J., Liu, Z.Q., Lan, Y., Yang, X., Huang, Y.W., He, T.Y., Chen, W.F., 2021.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref22) [Effects of biochar on N2O emission in denitrification pathway from paddy soil: A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref22) [drying incubation study. Sci. Total Environ. 787, 147591.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref22)
- [Li, J., Lee, H., Yu, Q., Tong, X., Qin, Z., Macdonald, B., 2011a. Contributions of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref23) agricultural plants and soils to  $N_2O$  emission in a farmland. Biogeosci. Discuss. 8, [5505](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref23)–5535.
- [Li, X., Yuan, W., Xu, H., Cai, Z., Yagi, K., 2011b. Effect of timing and duration of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref24)  midseason aeration on CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from irrigated lowland rice paddies in [China. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 91, 293](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref24)–305.
- [Liao, B., Wu, X., Yu, Y.F., Luo, S.Y., Hu, R.G., Lv, G.A., 2020. Effects of mild alternate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref25)  wetting and drying irrigation and mid-season drainage on CH<sub>4</sub> and  $N_2O$  emissions in [rice cultivation. Sci. Total Environ. 698, 134212.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref25)
- [Lin, J.T., Xu, Z.Y., Xue, Y.H., Sun, R.H., Yang, R.G., Cao, X.X., Li, H., Shao, Q., Lou, Y.H.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref26)  Wang, H., Yang, Q.G., Pan, H., Zhuge, Y.P., 2023. N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soils under [short-term straw return in a wheat-corn rotation system are associated with changes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref26)  [in the abundance of functional microbes. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 341, 108217.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref26)
- López-Fernández, S., Díez, J.A., Hernáiz, P., Arce, A., García-Torres, L., Vallejo, A., 2007. [Effects of fertilizer type and the presence or absence of plants on nitrous oxide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref27)  [emissions from irrigated soils. Nutr. Cycl. Agro-Ecosyst. 78, 279](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref27)–289.
- [Ma, W.K., Bedard-Haughn, A., Siciliano, S.D., Farrell, R.E., 2008. Relationship between](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref28)  [nitrifier and denitrifier community composition and abundance in predicting nitrous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref28)  [oxide emissions from ephemeral wetland soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 1114](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref28)–1123.
- [Macdonald, C.A., Clark, I.M., Hirsch, P.R., Zhao, F.J., McGrath, S.P., 2011. Development](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref29)  [of a real-time PCR assay for detection and quantification of Rhizobium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref29) [leguminosarum bacteria and discrimination between different biovars in zinc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref29)[contaminated soil. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 4626](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref29)–4633.
- [Mahmood, T., Ali, R., Malik, K.A., Shamsi, S.R.A., 1997. Denitrification with and without](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref30)  maize plants (*Zea mays L.*[\) under irrigated field conditions. Biol. Fert. Soils 24,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref30)  323–[328](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref30).
- [Maul, J.E., Cavigelli, M.A., Vinyard, B., Buyer, J.S., 2019. Cropping system history and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref31) [crop rotation phase drive the abundance of soil denitrification genes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref31) *nirK*, *nirS* and *nosZ* [in conventional and organic grain agroecosystems. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 273,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref31)  95–[106.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref31)
- Meijide, A., Díez, J.A., Sánchez-Martín, L., Vallejo, A., 2007. Nitrogen oxide emissions [from an irrigated maize crop amended with treated pig slurries and composts in a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref32) [Mediterranean climate. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 121, 383](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref32)–394.
- [Ni, K., Ding, W.X., Zaman, M., Cai, Z.C., Wang, Y.F., Zhang, X.L., Zhou, B.K., 2012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref33) [Nitrous oxide emissions from a rained cultivated black soil in Northeast China: effect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref33)  [of fertilization and maize crop. Biol. Fertil. Soils 48, 973](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref33)–979.
- [Opez-Fernande, S., Diez, J.A., Hernaiz, P., 2007. Effects of fertilizer type and the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref34)  [presence or absence of plants on nitrous oxide e missions from irrigated soils. Nutr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref34)  [Cycl. Agroecosyst. 78, 279](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref34)–289.
- [Qin, H., Xing, X., Tang, Y., Zhu, B., Wei, X., Chen, X., Liu, Y., 2020. Soil moisture and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref35) [activity of nitrite- and nitrous oxide-reducing microbes enhanced nitrous oxide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref35)  [emissions in fallow paddy soils. Biol. Fert. Soils 56, 53](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref35)–67.
- [Qin, H., Wang, D., Xing, X., Tang, Y., Wei, X., Chen, X., Zhang, W., Chen, A., Li, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref36)  Liu, Y., Zhu, B., 2021. A few key *nirK*- and *nosZ*[-denitrifier taxa play a dominant role](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref36)  in moisture-enhanced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in acidic paddy soil. Geoderma 385, 114917.
- [Qin, H.L., Tang, Y.F., Shen, J.L., Wang, C., Chen, C.L., Yang, J., Liu, Y., Chen, X.B., Li, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref37)  [Hou, H.J., 2018. Abundance of transcripts of functional gene reflects the inverse](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref37)  relationship between CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during mid-season drainage in acidic [paddy soil. Biol. Fertil. Soils 54, 885](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref37)–895.
- [Ruser, R., Flessa, H., Schilling, R., Beese, F., Munch, J.C., 2001. Effect of crop-specific](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref38) field management and N fertilization on  $N_2O$  emissions from a fine-loamy soil. Nutr. [Cycl. Agroecosyst. 59, 177](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref38)–191.
- Scheehle, E., Godwin, D., Ottinger, D., DeAngelo, B., 2006. Global anthropogenic non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions: 1990–2020. Version: revised June.
- [Sehy, U., Ruser, R., Munch, J.C., 2003. Nitrous oxide fluxes from maize fields:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref39) [relationship to yield, site- specific fertilization, and soil conditions. Agr. Ecosyst.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref39) [Environ. 99, 97](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref39)–111.
- [Shaaban, M., Hu, R.G., Wu, Y.P., Younas, A., Xu, X.Y., Sun, Z., Jiang, Y.B., Lin, S., 2018.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref40)  Reduction in soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by pH manipulation and enhanced nosZ gene [transcription under different water regimes. Environ. Pollut. 255, 113237.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref40)
- [Shaaban, M., Peng, Q.A., Bashir, S., Wu, Y.P., Younas, A., Xu, X.Y., Rashti, M.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref41) Abid, M., Núñez-Delgado, [A., Horwath, W.R., Jiang, Y.B., Lin, S., Hu, R.G., 2019.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref41) Restoring effect of soil acidity and Cu on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an acidic soil. [J. Environ. Manag. 250, 109535.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref41)
- [Simojoki, A., Jaakkola, A., 2000. Effect of nitrogen fertilization, cropping and irrigation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref42)  [on soil air composition and nitrous oxide emission in a loamy clay. Eur. J. Soil Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref42)  [51, 413](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref42)–424.
- [Smith, M.S., Tiedje, J.M., 1979. The effect of roots on soil denitrification. Soil Sci. Soc.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref43) [Am. J. 43, 951](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref43)–955.
- [Song, X.T., Ju, X.T., Topp, C.F.E., Rees, R.M., 2019. Oxygen regulates nitrous oxide](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref44) [production directly in agricultural soils. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53 \(21\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref44) 12539–[12547.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref44)
- [Tian, H.Q., Xu, R.T., Canadell, J.G., et al., 2020. A comprehensive quantification of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref45) [global nitrous oxide sources and sinks. Nature 586, 248](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref45)–256.
- [Wang, K., Zheng, X., Pihlatie, M., Vesala, T., Liu, C., Haapanala, S., Mammarella, I.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref46)  [Rannik, Ü., Liu, H., 2013. Comparison between static chamber and tunable diode](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref46) [laserbased eddy covariance techniques for measuring nitrous oxide fluxes from a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref46) [cotton field. Agric. For.. Meteor. 171, 9](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref46)–19.
- [Wei, W., Isobe, K., Shiratori, Y., Yano, M., Toyoda, S., Koba, K., Yoshida, N., Shen, H.Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref47)  [Senoo, K.S., 2021. Revisiting the involvement of ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref47)  [in nitrous oxide emission from cropland soils. Environ. Pollut. 287, 117494](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref47).
- [Wu, L., Tang, S., He, D., Wu, X., Shaaban, M., Wang, M., Zhao, J., Khan, I., Zheng, X.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref48)  Hu, R., 2017. Conversion from rice to vegetable production increases  $N_2O$  emission [via increased soil organic matter mineralization. Sci. Total Environ. 583, 190](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref48)–201.
- Xing, G.X., 1998. N<sub>2</sub>O emission from cropland in China. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 52, 249–[254](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref49).
- Xu, P., Jiang, M.D., Jiang, Y.B., Imran, K., Zhou, W., Wu, H.T., W, X., Muhammad, S., Lu, J.W., Hu, R.G., 2022a. Prior nitrogen fertilization stimulated  $N_2O$  emission from rice cultivation season under a rapeseed-rice production system. Plant Soil. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05162-x)  [doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05162-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05162-x)
- [Xu, P., Jiang, M.D., Imran, K., Zhao, J.S., Yang, T.W., Tu, J.M., Hu, R.G., 2022b.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref51) Available nitrogen and ammonia-oxidizing archaea in soil regulated  $N_2O$  emissions [regardless of rice planting under a double rice cropping-fallow system. Agric.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref51) [Ecosyst. Environ. 340, 108166.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref51)
- [Xu, P., Jiang, M.D., Imran, K., Muhammad, Shaaban, Zhao, J.S., Yang, T.W., Hu, R.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref52)  [2023. The effect of upland crop planting on field N2O emission from rice-growing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref52)  [seasons: a case study comparing rice-wheat and rice-rapeseed rotations. Agric.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref52) [Ecosyst. Environ. 347, 108365.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref52).
- Yang, L.Q., Zhang, X.J., Ju, X.T., 2017. Linkage between N<sub>2</sub>O emission and functional [gene abundance in an intensively managed calcareous fluvo-aquic soil. Sci. Rep. 7,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref53) [43283.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref53)
- [Yang, L.Q., Zhang, X.J., Ju, X.T., Wu, D., 2021. Oxygen-depletion by rapid ammonia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref54)  oxidation regulates kinetics of  $N_2O$ , NO and  $N_2$  production in an ammonium [fertilised agricultural soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 163, 108460.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref54)
- [You, L.C., Ros, G., Chen, Y.L., Yang, X., Cui, Z.L., Liu, X.J., Jiang, R.F., Zhang, F.S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref55)  [Vries, W.D., 2022. Global meta-analysis of terrestrial nitrous oxide emissions and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref55)  [associated functional genes under nitrogen addition. Soil Biol. Biochem. 165,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref55)  [108523](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref55).
- [Yu, K.W., Wang, Z.P., Chen, G.X., 1997. Nitrous oxide and methane transport through](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref56)  [rice plants. Biol. Fert. Soils 24, 341](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref56)–343.
- [Zhang, M., Chen, Z.Z., Li, Q.L., Fan, C.H., Xiong, Z.Q., 2016. Quantitative relationship](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref57) [between nitrous oxide emissions and nitrogen application rate for a typical intensive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref57)  [vegetable cropping system in southeastern China. Clean. -Soil Air Water 44,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref57) [1725](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref57)–1732.
- [Zhang, Z.G., Wang, J., Huang, W.B., Chen, J.L., Wu, F.Q., Jia, Y.Y., Han, Y.C., Wang, G.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref58)  [P., Feng, L., Li, X.F., Lei, Y.P., Yang, B.F., Xiong, S.W., Xin, M.H., Li, Y.B., Wang, Z.B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref58)  2022. Cover crops and N fertilization affect soil ammonia volatilization and  $N_2O$ [emission by regulating the soil labile carbon and nitrogen fractions. Agr. Ecosyst.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref58)  [Environ. 340, 108188.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref58)
- [Zheng, X., Wang, S., Xu, X., Deng, B.L., Liu, X.J., Hu, X.F., Deng, W.P., Zhang, W.Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref59) [Jiang, J., Zhang, L., 2022. Soil N2O emissions increased by litter removal but](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref59) [decreased by phosphorus additions. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 123, 49](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref59)–59.
- [Zheng, X.H., Mei, B.L., Wang, Y.H., Xie, B.H., Wang, Y.S., Dong, H.B., Xu, H., Chen, G.X.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref60)  Cai, Z.C., Yue, J., 2008. Quantification of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from soil-plant systems may be [biased by the applied gas chromatograph methodology. Plant Soil 311, 211](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref60)–234.
- [Zhou, W., Lin, S., Wu, L., Zhao, J.S., Wang, M.L., Zhu, B., Mo, Y.L., Hu, R.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref61)  Chadwick, D., Muhammad, S., 2017. Substantial  $N_2O$  emission during the initial

# <span id="page-9-0"></span>*P. Xu et al.*

[period of the wheat season due to the conversion of winter-flooded paddy to rice-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref61)[wheat rotation. Atmos. Environ. 170, 269](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref61)–278.

- [Zhou, W., Jones, D.L., Hu, R.G., Clark, I.M., Chadwick, D.R., 2020. Crop residue carbon-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref62)to-nitrogen ratio regulates denitrifier N<sub>2</sub>O production post flooding. Biol. Fertil. Soils<br>[56, 825](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref62)–838.
- [Zhu, Z.K., Ge, T.D., Liu, S.L., Hu, Y.J., Ye, R.Z., Xiao, M.L., Tong, C.L., Kuzyakov, Y.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref63) [Wu, J.S., 2018. Rice rhizodeposits affect organic matter priming in paddy soil: The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref63) role of N fertilization and plant growth for enz [emissions. Soil Biol. Biochem 116, 369](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1161-0301(23)00293-9/sbref63)–377.