



# Article Nitrogen Source Preference in Maize at Seedling Stage Is Mainly Dependent on Growth Medium pH

Hao-Qing Zhang <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ren-Fang Shen <sup>1,2</sup> and Xue-Qiang Zhao <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>2</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- <sup>3</sup> State Key Laboratory for Managing Biotic and Chemical Threats to the Quality and Safety of Agro-Products, Key Laboratory of Biotechnology in Plant Protection of Ministry of Agriculture of China and Zhejiang Province, Institute of Plant Virology, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315100, China
- Correspondence: xqzhao@issas.ac.cn; Tel.: +86-25-86881020

Abstract: To improve crop nitrogen recovery efficiency (NRE), plants must be supplied with their preferred form of nitrogen (N). However, whether pH affects crop N-form preference remains unclear. Here, we aimed to explore how maize (*Zea mays* L.) preference for  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  is affected by pH and to determine the critical pH controlling this preference. Maize plants were grown with  $NH_4^+$  or  $NO_3^-$  in different soils (pH 4.32–8.14) and nutrient solutions (pH 4.00–8.00). After harvest, plant dry weights, N content, N uptake, NRE, soil pH, and exchangeable aluminum (Al) were measured. Compared with the effect of  $NO_3^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$  decreased maize dry weight, N uptake, and NRE by 28–94% at soil pHs of 4.32 and 4.36 and a solution pH of 4.00, whereas it increased these parameters by 10–88% at soil pHs of 6.52–8.02 and solution pHs of 7.00 and 8.00.  $NO_3^-$  increased soil pH and decreased soil exchangeable Al content at soil pHs of 4.32–6.68. Critical soil and solution pHs for changing plant growth and N uptake preference for  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  ranged from 5.08 to 5.40 and from 5.50 to 6.59, respectively. In conclusion, the preference of maize seedling growth and N uptake for  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  in strongly acid soils but  $NH_4^+$  in neutral to alkaline soils.

Keywords: ammonium; nitrate; critical pH; nitrogen recovery efficiency; nitrogen uptake

# 1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the world's major food and feed crops and is very important for food security. Nitrogen (N) is a macro-element nutrient essential for plant growth. Maize grain yield is highly dependent on supplemental N, and an estimated 80 kg/ha of N in the form of N fertilizer is applied annually during maize production worldwide [1]. Only 25% to 50% of applied N fertilizer is taken up by maize plants, with at least half of the applied amount lost to groundwater or emitted as gaseous compounds into the atmosphere [2]. The resulting low N recovery efficiency (NRE), which is the fraction of N fertilizer recovered by maize, leads to a series of environmental problems, including groundwater contamination, freshwater eutrophication, greenhouse gas emissions, and soil acidification [3]. Improving NRE is one of the most effective ways to increase crop productivity while reducing environmental degradation caused by N fertilizer input [3].

Studies have shown that plant biomass, N uptake, and NRE are increased when crop plants are supplied with their preferred form of N [4,5]. Ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) are the two main forms of inorganic N that can be directly taken up and used by plants. Previous studies have shown that different plant species have different responses to supplementation with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> [6,7]. Kováčik et al. [8] reported that the yield parameters of radish (*Raphanus sativus* var. *radicula* Pers.) were more dependent on the contents of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> than those of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> occurring in the soil substrate. Compared



Citation: Zhang, H.-Q.; Shen, R.-F.; Zhao, X.-Q. Nitrogen Source Preference in Maize at Seedling Stage Is Mainly Dependent on Growth Medium pH. *Agronomy* **2022**, *12*, 2149. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agronomy12092149

Academic Editors: Mamoru Okamoto and Jianbin Zhou

Received: 20 July 2022 Accepted: 5 September 2022 Published: 9 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China

with the effect of  $NO_3^-$ , for example, supplementation with  $NH_4^+$  promotes the growth of highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.) [9], tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) [10], and rice (*Oryza sativa* L. subsp. *japonica*) [11], which indicates that these plant species have a growth preference for  $NH_4^+$  over  $NO_3^-$ . In contrast, the growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) [12,13], barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) [14], pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) [15], and strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) [9] is significantly inhibited when plants are fed with  $NH_4^+$  compared with supplementation with  $NO_3^-$ , thus revealing the growth preference of these plant species for  $NO_3^-$  over  $NH_4^+$ .

Maize is typically grown in well-aerated dryland soils, in which NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is the major N source for plants. Maize is thus usually considered to be a traditional  $NO_3^{-}$ -preferring plant. However, extensive studies on the response of maize growth to  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^$ have yielded inconsistent results under different pH conditions. Maize plants grown in acidic soils (pHs of 4.60 and 4.54) have been found to have greater root and shoot biomass under  $NO_3^-$  than  $NH_4^+$  [16,17]. All toxicity is the primary factor limiting crop productivity in acidic soils [7,11]. The growth preference of maize plants for  $NO_3^-$  over  $NH_4^+$  in acidic soils was attributed to  $NO_3^-$ -alleviated Al toxicity since  $NO_3^-$  relative to  $NH_4^+$  increased soil pH and decreased soil exchangeable Al concentrations [17]. In contrast, maize has been reported to exhibit a growth preference for  $NH_4^+$  over  $NO_3^-$  in pH 6.50 soil and solution culture [18,19]. Similarly, the growth of maize is enhanced by  $NO_3^-$  compared with  $NH_4^+$  in a pH 5.50 solution, whereas  $NH_4^+$  has a more beneficial effect than  $NO_3^-$  in soil at pH 6.40 [20]. Maize plants have been found to have a relative preference for  $NO_3^-$  in pH 4.30 soil, with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> preferred in pH 5.50 and 7.10 soils [21]. No significant difference in maize dry weight between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments has been observed at a soil pH of 4.9 [22]. As is well known, plants generally excrete excess H<sup>+</sup> and thus exacerbate acidification of the rhizosphere during  $NH_4^+$  uptake, whereas  $NO_3^-$  uptake by plants requires the release of excessive anions (such as OH<sup>-</sup>), which alkalifies the rhizosphere. Given that the supply of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  can alter the overall cation–anion relationship that influences alkali or acid production [23], the pH of the growth medium can be an important factor affecting the response of plant growth and N uptake to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

Although several studies, as described above, have hinted that the preferred N form of maize plants varies under acidic and alkaline conditions, complete information is still lacking on how maize growth and N uptake respond to  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  under the changing kinetics of different pH levels. Furthermore, the critical pH for this response is also unknown. In this study, we therefore examined the response of maize growth, N uptake, and NRE to  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  over a range of pH values through soil culture and hydroponic experiments. The objectives of the present study were two-fold: (1) to explore the relationship between growth-medium pH and the preference of maize for  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  and (2) to determine the critical pH value at which maize N-source preference is altered and assess the contribution of such N preference to NRE. Our results should serve as a useful reference for choosing the appropriate form of N fertilizer according to soil pH, thereby improving the N-fertilizer use efficiency and growth of maize plants.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Soil Collection

Soils with pH values of 4.32–8.14 were collected for a soil culture experiment from different sites in China as follows: (1) three samples of Aric-Ferric Luvisols from a rapeseed field (pH 4.32), a pine forest (pH 4.36), and a paddy field (pH 5.02) in Yingtan; (2) three samples of Dystric gleysols from three fallow paddy fields in Nanjing; (3) one sample of Eum-Orthic Anthrosol from a winter wheat field in Yangling; (4) one sample of Haplic Kastanozems from a maize field in Baotou; and (5) one sample of Calcic Solonetz from a wheat field in Fengqiu. The experimental soils were collected down to a depth of approximately 20 cm with a shovel. All samples were mixed individually to ensure soil homogeneity. A part of mixed soil samples was taken out for determination of basic chemical properties, and the rest was air dried, pulverized, and filtered through a 4 mm

City	Sampling Site	pН	NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (mg/kg)	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (mg/kg)	Available P (mg/kg)	Available K (mg/kg)
Yingtan	116°55′48″ E 28°07′44″ N	4.32	12.48	9.70	7.24	168.14
	116°55′48″ E 28°12′07″ N	4.36	4.70	3.13	0.08	54.57
	116°55′37″ E 31°43′14″ N	5.02	19.37	19.13	12.75	124.74
Nanjing	118°46′37″ E 31°15′38″ N	6.52	4.37	3.13	19.49	104.81
	118°46′37″ E 31°05′27″ N	6.68	6.37	3.00	13.77	143.31
	118°46′37″ E 31°05′27″ N	6.91	4.97	3.07	66.16	147.22
Yangling	108°04′02″ E 34°15′48″ N	7.90	3.36	13.99	9.17	138.43
Baotou	109°49′05″ E 40°39′53″ N	8.02	3.62	20.79	10.60	71.19
Fengqiu	114°33′07″ E 35°01′14″ N	8.14	4.39	32.28	19.03	86.63

mesh for a soil culture experiment. Basic information on the experimental soil samples is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Basic information on experimental soils.

#### 2.2. Soil Culture Experiment

A soil culture experiment was conducted from May 12, 2019, to June 12, 2019, in a greenhouse at the Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences ( $28^{\circ}12'$  N,  $116^{\circ}55'$  E). The temperature and humidity of the greenhouse during the experiment ranged from 20 to 35 °C and from 60 to 75%, respectively. Each collected soil sample with a unique pH was subjected to one of three treatments, namely, treatment with one of two forms of N—either NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, applied as (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, applied as NaNO<sub>3</sub>—or withholding of N fertilizer (control; CK). The N fertilizers were applied at the rate of 200 mg N/kg soil. In addition, phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) were applied in the form of superphosphate and KCl at the rate of 100 mg P/kg and 200 mg K/kg, respectively, for all treatments. Each treatment was replicated three times, resulting in a total of 81 pots (9 soils × 3 N forms × 3 replicates). Each pot (depth = 12.7 cm; internal diameter = 12 cm) was filled with 1 kg of air-dried soil, and all fertilizers were thoroughly mixed into the soil. The pots were watered before the seed was planted.

Seeds of maize hybrid 'Zhengdan 958' were surface sterilized with 10% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 20 min and then rinsed repeatedly with deionized water. The seeds were germinated on moist filter paper in an incubator at 32 °C for 36 h. Germinated seeds were sown in each pot. After 2 days, upon reaching a height of 2 cm, seedlings were thinned to three plants per pot and then watered daily. Pot positions were changed every 3 days to avoid the influence of other environmental factors. Weeds were removed manually when identified.

Maize seedlings were harvested after 30 days of growth. Plant roots and shoots lifted from the soil were immediately separated from the soil with scissors, washed several times with deionized water, kept at 105 °C for 30 minutes, and oven dried to a constant weight at 60 °C. The oven-dried plant materials were pulverized and then passed through a 0.149 mm sieve for N content determination. Soil samples in each pot were collected after maize harvest and air dried for determination of soil pH and exchangeable aluminum (Al) content as previously described by Wang et al. [17].

#### 2.3. Hydroponic Experiment

A hydroponic experiment was conducted in a controlled-environment growth chamber with day and night temperatures of 30 °C and 23 °C, respectively, and a relative humidity of  $65 \pm 5\%$ . Two factors were used in the experiment: (1) solution pH (4.00, 5.00, 6.00, 7.00, and 8.00) and (2) N source ( $NH_4^+$ , applied as 0.5 mM  $NH_4Cl$ , and  $NO_3^-$ , applied as 0.5 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>). Seeds of maize 'Zhengdan 958' were germinated as described above. The germinated seeds were placed on a net floating on a solution of 0.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> for 2 to 3 days. Uniform seedlings were then selected and randomly divided into two groups: one treated with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> nutrient solution at different pHs, and the other treated with NO<sub>3</sub> nutrient solution at different pHs. The solution pH was adjusted using 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH. The composition of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  nutrient solutions was as follows: 0.5 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl or 0.5 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> plus 0.2 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1.0 mM KCl, 1.0 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.4 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.0  $\mu$ M H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.5  $\mu$ M MnCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.0  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.4 µM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 µM CuSO<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, and 20.0 µM Na-Fe-EDTA. To control pH changes in the nutrient solution, 4-morpholineethanesulfonic acid hydrate (5 mM) was added as a pH buffer to all treatments. After 15 days of growth, the maize plants were harvested. The shoots and roots were separated, washed, oven dried, pulverized, and passed through a 0.149 mm sieve as described above.

#### 2.4. Analytical Methods

The basic properties, including soil pH,  $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_3^-$ -N, available P and K, were determined according to the methods described by Bao et al. [24]. The air-dried soil samples were sieved to pass through a 2 mm mesh to determine pH, available P, and K contents. The soil pH of a 1:2.5 soil:water mixture was measured with a pH meter (PB-21, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Soil available P was extracted from acidic soils (pH 4.32–5.02) with 0.03 M ammonium fluoride-hydrochloric acid and from neutral and alkaline soils (pH 6.52–8.14) with 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (pH 8.5). The P content of the extraction solution was measured by the molybdenum blue method. Soil available K was extracted with 1 M CH<sub>3</sub>COONH<sub>4</sub> (pH 7.0) and measured by flame photometry (FP640, Shanghai Precision & Scientific Instrument, Shanghai, China). Fresh soil samples were used to determine NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N contents. Soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N were extracted with 2 M KCl, and their contents were measured by indophenol blue colorimetry and dual wavelength spectrophotometry, respectively.

Following maize harvest, soil samples were collected and used to determine soil pH and exchangeable Al content. The soil pH was measured as described above. The soil exchangeable Al content was extracted with 1 M KCl (1:50 soil:solution ratio) and measured by an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrophotometry (ICP-AES, Optima 8000, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) as described by Wang et al. [17]. The N content of plant materials was measured with a CNS elemental analyzer (Vario MAX, Elementar, Hanau, Germany) as described by Zhang et al. [25].

# 2.5. Calculation Methods

The amount of accumulated N in maize shoots (or roots) was calculated as the product of shoot (or root) N content and dry weight as described by Fageria and Baligar [26]. The NRE (%) of maize plants was calculated according to the following equation [17]:

 $NRE(\%) = \frac{accumulated N in maize under N fertilization (mg/pot) - accumulated N in CK maize (mg/pot)}{N fertilizer application rate (mg/pot)}$ 

#### 2.6. Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows v19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Significant differences in the dry weight and N accumulation of maize plants among CK,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $NO_3^-$  treatments were assessed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncans' multiple range test at the 5% level. Significant differences in maize NRE in the soil culture experiment and in maize plant dry weight and N accumulation in the hydroponic experiment between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  were assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by an independent sample t-test at the 5% level. Regression analyses were performed and  $R^2$  and *p*-values were calculated using SPSS. All figures were created using Origin 2019.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Maize Growth in Soil Culture

The maize growth after harvest was shown in Figure 1. In the two strongly acidic soils, which had pHs of 4.32 and 4.36,  $NO_3^-$  fertilization had no discernable effect on maize root, shoot, and plant dry weights because of inhibitory effects of strong acidity on maize growth, whereas  $NH_4^+$  fertilization inhibited maize growth compared with CK (Figure 2a–c). At pHs of 4.32 and 4.36,  $NH_4^+$  treatments reduced maize root, shoot, and plant dry weights by 35–75% and 48–67% compared with CK and  $NO_3^-$  treatments, respectively. Nitrogen fertilization significantly enhanced maize growth in all soils except for the two soils with pHs of 4.32 and 4.36. No significant differences in root, shoot, and whole plant dry weights were observed between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments in soil with a pH of 5.02, whereas  $NH_4^+$  significantly improved these parameters compared with  $NO_3^-$  in soils with pHs of 6.52–8.02. In soil with a pH of 8.14, however, no significant differences in maize growth were observed between the two N forms. These results indicate that maize plants grew better when supplemented with  $NO_3^-$  compared with  $NH_4^+$  in strongly acidic soils (pH 4.32–4.36), whereas maize plants grew better under  $NH_4^+$  than under  $NO_3^-$  in neutral and alkaline soils (pH 6.52–8.02).



Figure 1. Maize seedlings after 30 days of growth with non-N (CK),  $NH_4^+$ , or  $NO_3^-$  at different soil pHs.



**Figure 2.** Effect of soil pH on the growth response of maize to ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) fertilizers. (**a**–**c**) Dry weights of the root (**a**), shoot (**b**), and total plant (**c**). (**d**–**f**) Relative dry weights of the root (**d**), shoot (**e**), and total plant (**f**). Maize seedlings were harvested after 30 days of growth. Relative dry weights were calculated as the ratio of dry weight under NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> treatment to that under NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treatment. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences among NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and control (CK) treatments in the same soil.

Root, shoot, and plant dry weights under  $NH_4^+$  supplementation relative to these parameters under  $NO_3^-$  treatment were further used to compare the growth preference of maize for  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$ . We conducted a nonlinear regression analysis of soil pH and relative root, shoot, and plant dry weights of maize (Figure 2d–f). As soil pH increased, relative root, shoot, and plant dry weights increased and reached a plateau before eventually decreasing. When the value of relative root, shoot, or plant dry weight was 1.0 in the regression equation, the corresponding soil pH was 5.27, 5.08, or 5.13, which indicates that the growth of maize under  $NH_4^+$  treatment was equivalent to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment in soils with a pH of 5.08–5.27. This equation also predicted that maize plants would show a growth preference for  $NO_3^-$  when grown in soils at a pH below 5.08–5.27, with a growth preference for  $NH_4^+$  indicated in soils with a pH above this range.

# 3.2. Nitrogen Accumulation and NRE of Maize in Soil Culture

In the two strongly acidic soils with pHs of 4.32 and 4.36, N accumulation in roots, shoots, and whole plants of maize treated with  $NO_3^-$  fertilizer was 2.5–5.6 times higher than that under the CK treatment (Figure 3a–c). In contrast, no significant differences in

maize N accumulation were observed between  $NH_4^+$  and CK treatments. In other soils, N accumulation in maize roots, shoots, and whole plants was significantly improved by both  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  fertilizers compared with CK. The N accumulation in maize shoots and whole plants under  $NO_3^-$  treatment was 1.3–3.4 times higher than that under  $NH_4^+$  treatment at pHs of 4.32–5.02 (Figure 3b,c), thus resulting in a significantly higher NRE for maize treated with  $NO_3^-$  compared with  $NH_4^+$  in these three soils (Figure 4a). In soils with pHs of 6.52–8.02, in contrast, the application of  $NH_4^+$  fertilizer improved N accumulation in maize shoots and whole plants by 8.9–67.2% compared with treatment with  $NO_3^-$  (Figure 3b,c). As a result, the NRE of maize was significantly higher under  $NH_4^+$  than under  $NO_3^-$  in soils with pHs of 6.52–8.02 (Figure 4a). No significant differences were observed in root, shoot, and plant N accumulation or NRE between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments at a pH of 8.14 (Figures 3a–c and 4a). These results indicate that the N uptake and NRE of maize plants were enhanced by  $NO_3^-$  compared with  $NH_4^+$  in strongly acidic soils (pH 4.32–5.02), whereas these parameters were enhanced by  $NH_4^+$  relative to  $NO_3^-$  in neutral and alkaline soils (pH 6.52–8.02).



**Figure 3.** Effect of soil pH on the nitrogen (N) accumulation response of maize to ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  and nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$  fertilizers. N accumulations in the root (**a**), shoot (**b**), and total plant (**c**). (**d**–**f**) Relative N accumulations in the root (**d**), shoot (**e**), and total plant (**f**). Maize seedlings were harvested after 30 days of growth. Relative N accumulation in maize was calculated as the ratio of N accumulation under  $NH_4^+$  treatment to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences among  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$ , and control (CK) treatments in the same soil.

According to the nonlinear regression analysis, N accumulation in maize roots, shoots, and whole plants (Figure 3d–f) and maize NRE (Figure 4b) under  $NH_4^+$  treatment relative to  $NO_3^-$  followed the same trends as did maize dry weight (Figure 2d–f). When the value of the relative N accumulation of maize roots, shoots, or whole plants was 1.0 in the regression equation, the corresponding soil pH was 5.40, 5.24, or 5.28, thus indicating that maize N uptake under  $NH_4^+$  treatment was equivalent to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment in soils with a pH of 5.24–5.40 (Figure 3d–f). Moreover, a relative NRE value of 1.0 corresponded to a soil pH of 5.23, which indicates that maize NRE under  $NH_4^+$  treatment was equivalent to that under  $NO_3^-$  fertilizer would enhance maize N uptake and NRE compared with response to  $NH_4^+$  in soils with pHs below 5.23–5.40; in contrast,  $NH_4^+$  fertilizer would have this effect in soils with pHs above 5.23–5.40.



**Figure 4.** Effects of soil pH on the nitrogen recovery efficiency (NRE) response of maize to ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  and nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$  fertilizers. NRE (**a**) and relative NRE (**b**). Maize seedlings were harvested after 30 days of growth. Relative NRE of maize was calculated as the ratio of NRE under  $NH_4^+$  treatment to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment. Asterisks indicate significant differences between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments in the same soil.

# 3.3. Soil pH and Exchangeable Aluminum Content

The results of the present study confirmed that soil pH under treatment with NO<sub>3</sub> was higher than that under treatment with  $NH_4^+$  at soil pHs of 4.32–8.14 (Figure 5a). In contrast, soil exchangeable Al content under treatment with  $NO_3^-$  was lower than that under treatment with  $NH_4^+$  at soil pHs of 4.32–6.68 (Figure 5b). The soil exchangeable Al content was the highest at pHs of 4.32 and 4.36, then decreased with pH increasing up to 6.9, and finally remained undetectable up to pH 8.14.



**Figure 5.** Soil pH and exchangeable aluminum content after maize harvest. (a) soil pH; (b) soil exchangeable Al. Maize seedlings were harvested after 30 days of growth. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences among  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3^-$ , and control (CK) treatments in the same soil.

# 3.4. Maize Growth in the Hydroponic Experiment

In the hydroponic experiment, root, shoot, and plant dry weights of maize treated with  $NH_4^+$  initially increased with increasing solution pH and then leveled off, whereas a consistent decrease in these parameters was observed with increasing pH under treatment with  $NO_3^-$  (Figure 6a–c). Application of  $NO_3^-$  increased maize root, shoot, and plant dry weights by 3.6–4.1 times compared with  $NH_4^+$  at pH 4.00, whereas  $NH_4^+$  fertilizer increased these parameters by 33.7–87.9% compared with  $NO_3^-$  at pH levels of 7.00 and 8.00. No significant difference was observed in maize dry weight between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments at pH 5.00 or 6.00.



**Figure 6.** Effect of solution pH on the growth response of maize to ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ) and nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ) fertilizers under hydroponic conditions. (**a**–**c**) Dry weights of the root (**a**), shoot (**b**), and total plant (**c**). (**d**–**f**) Relative dry weights of the root (**d**), shoot (**e**), and total plant (**f**). Maize seedlings were harvested after 15 days of growth. Relative root, shoot, and plant dry weights of maize were calculated as the ratio of dry weight under  $NH_4^+$  treatment to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment. Different lowercase and capital letters above bars indicate significant differences among different solution pHs under  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments, respectively. Asterisks indicate significant differences between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments at the same solution pH.

In the hydroponic experiment, the dry weight of maize under  $NH_4^+$  treatment relative to that under  $NO_3^-$  gradually increased with increasing solution pH, which indicates that  $NH_4^+$  had a continuously rising contribution to maize growth relative to  $NO_3^-$  as solution pH increased (Figure 6d–f). A relative root, shoot, or plant dry weight value of 1.0 corresponded to a solution pH of 5.50, 5.72, or 5.67, respectively, thus indicating that the dry weight of maize under  $NH_4^+$  treatment was equivalent to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment at solution pHs of 5.50–5.72. In addition, application of  $NO_3^-$  would result in a relatively higher maize dry

weight when the solution pH was below 5.50–5.72, whereas the effect of  $NH_4^+$  on maize weight would exceed that of  $NO_3^-$  when the solution pH was higher than 5.50–5.72 in the hydroponic experiment.

# 3.5. Nitrogen Accumulation by Maize in the Hydroponic Experiment

In hydroponically grown maize treated with  $NH_4^+$ , N accumulation in shoots and whole plants was significantly lower at pH 4.00 than at pH 5.00–8.00 (Figure 7b,c). When maize plants were treated with  $NO_3^-$ , root, shoot, and whole plant accumulation of N was unaffected by solution pH (Figure 7a–c). In the solution at pH 4.00, the N accumulation of maize roots, shoots, and whole plants was significantly improved under  $NO_3^-$  treatment, by 158%, 180%, and 176%, respectively, compared with  $NH_4^+$ . In contrast, N accumulation in shoots and whole plants of maize grown at pH 7.00 or 8.00 was 20–59% higher under  $NH_4^+$  treatment compared with  $NO_3^-$  (Figure 7b,c). No significant differences were observed in root N accumulation between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments at pH 5.00–8.00 (Figure 7a).



**Figure 7.** Effect of solution pH on the nitrogen (N) accumulation response of maize to ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  and nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$  fertilizers under hydroponic conditions.  $(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c})$  N accumulations in the root  $(\mathbf{a})$ , shoot  $(\mathbf{b})$ , and total plant  $(\mathbf{c})$ .  $(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{f})$  Relative N accumulations in the root  $(\mathbf{d})$ , shoot  $(\mathbf{e})$ , and total plant  $(\mathbf{f})$ . Maize seedlings were harvested after 15 days of growth. Relative N accumulations in roots, shoots, and whole plants were calculated as the ratio of N accumulation under  $NH_4^+$  treatment to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment. Different lowercase and capital letters above bars indicate significant differences among different solution pHs under  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments, respectively. Asterisks indicate significant differences between  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  treatments at the same solution pH.

Nitrogen accumulation in maize roots, shoots, and whole plants under  $NH_4^+$  treatment compared with that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment constantly increased with increasing solution pH, which indicates that the relative contribution of  $NH_4^+$  fertilizer to N accumulation in hydroponically grown maize increased with increasing solution pH (Figure 7d–f). As indicated by the regression equations, a solution pH of 6.59, 5.82, or 5.99 respectively corresponded to a root, shoot, or whole-plant relative N accumulation of 1.0; N accumulation in maize under  $NH_4^+$  treatment was thus equivalent to that under  $NO_3^-$  treatment at a solution pH of 5.82–6.59. Furthermore,  $NO_3^-$  enhanced maize N uptake relative to  $NH_4^+$  when the solution pH was below 5.82–6.59, whereas  $NH_4^+$  better promoted the N uptake of hydroponically grown maize at a solution pH above 5.82–6.59.

# 4. Discussion

# 4.1. Growth and N Accumulation of Maize under Ammonium and Nitrate Treatments at Different pHs

In the present study, maize plant growth and N accumulation were improved by NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> application compared with that of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> at soil pHs of 4.32–5.02 and a solution pH of 4.00. This maize  $NO_3^-$  preference under acidic conditions is consistent with previous reports [16,17,21]. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake is driven by proton influx and depends on the H<sup>+</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> transport system [27]. Conversely, equal amounts of  $H^+$  are released from roots to balance charges within plants, absorbing  $NH_4^+$ . Although they facilitate  $NO_3^-$  uptake, external protons, when present in excess, inhibit the absorption of cations (including  $NH_4^+$ ) by roots [28]. External pH may therefore have an impact on the uptake of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^$ by plants. In previous studies, maize plants accumulate more  $NO_3^-$  at a solution pH of 4.0, whereas more  $NH_4^+$  is taken up at a solution pH of 7.0 [29]. Spring wheat absorbs more  $NO_3^-$  than  $NH_4^+$  at a solution pH of 5.0; almost equal amounts of  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$ are accumulated at a solution pH of 6.5, while  $NH_4^+$  uptake is greater than  $NO_3^-$  at a solution pH of 8.0 [30]. Pakchoi (Brassica chinensis L.) has a relatively higher NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rate than  $NH_4^+$  at a solution pH of 4–7 and a higher  $NH_4^+$  uptake rate than  $NO_3^-$  at a solution pH of 8 [31]. The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake rate of Coix lacryma-jobi L. is higher at solution pHs of 3.5 and 5.0 but significantly lower at pHs of 6.5 and 8.5 compared with  $NH_4^+$  [32]. These studies consistently show that low pH may promote NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake and decrease NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> uptake, which may explain why the N accumulation of maize under  $NO_3^{-}$  is higher than that under  $NH_4^+$  in acidic soil in the present study. In addition, the present study showed that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> increased the rhizosphere pH and then alleviated Al toxicity in acidic soils compared with  $NH_4^+$ , a phenomenon that may also contribute to the higher biomass and N accumulation of maize under  $NO_3^-$  compared with  $NH_4^+$  observed in acidic soils [16,17].

In this study, the growth and N accumulation of maize under  $NH_4^+$  treatment compared with that under  $NO_3^-$  was enhanced at soil pHs of 6.52–8.02 and nutrient solution pHs of 7.00–8.00, thereby revealing the preference of maize for  $NH_4^+$  over  $NO_3^-$  under neutral to alkaline soil conditions. This observation is consistent with the findings of previous studies [18,19]. The preference of maize for  $NH_4^+$  at a higher soil pH (6.52–8.02) is probably due to three factors: (1) reduced energy consumption for the assimilation of  $NH_4^+$  compared with  $NO_3^-$  [33]; (2) higher uptake capacity of maize for  $NH_4^+$  than for  $NO_3^{-1}$  [34,35]; and (3) increased availability of some insoluble nutrient elements, such as P and iron, due to rhizosphere acidification during  $NH_4^+$  uptake [36–38]. We note that the enhancement effects of  $NH_4^+$  on maize growth relative to  $NO_3^-$  in our soil culture experiment decreased as soon as the soil pH was higher than 6.82. In the hydroponic experiment, however, the enhancement effects of  $NH_4^+$  on maize growth relative to  $NO_3^$ constantly increased as the solution pH was increased to 8.00. These inconsistent trends may be attributed to soil N transformation processes, such as ammonia volatilization and nitrification, during the soil culture experiment. These processes consume  $NH_4^+$  and decrease the ratio of  $NH_4^+/NO_3^-$  in soil, as their transformation rates are often positively related to soil pH [39,40]. Consequently, an increase in soil pH decreases the match between maize preference for  $NH_4^+$  and the availability of  $NH_4^+$  in the soil. When maize is

grown in soils with a pH above 6.82, the application of nitrification inhibitor together with  $NH_4^+$  fertilizer may therefore be useful to reduce the nitrification rate and maintain a high  $NH_4^+/NO_3^-$  ratio in the soil to match maize  $NH_4^+$  preference.

# 4.2. The Critical pH Changing the N Accumulation and Growth Preference of Maize for $NH_4^+$ and $NO_3^-$

In a recent study, the critical soil pH for maize growth in clay and sandy Ultisols was reported to be 4.8 and 5.0, respectively [41]. Above the critical soil pH, changes in soil pH did not affect maize growth; below the critical soil pH, however, a decreasing soil pH significantly inhibited maize growth, with soil exchangeable Al increasing sharply with the decrease in soil pH [41]. In the soil culture experiment in our study, we similarly found that the critical pH affecting the N accumulation and growth preference of maize for  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  was around 5.1. Aluminum from soil minerals is soluble and causes phytotoxicity when the pH is less than 5.0 [42], and the availability of Al increases with decreasing soil pH [42,43]. A link potentially exists between the response of maize growth to  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  and the solubility of Al in soil. Below the critical soil pH, H<sup>+</sup> release during the uptake of NH4<sup>+</sup> by maize further acidifies its rhizosphere and exacerbates Al toxicity, which strongly inhibits root growth and plant N uptake [44]. In contrast, the uptake of  $NO_3^-$  by maize increases rhizosphere pH and alleviates Al toxicity to some extent, thereby resulting in higher maize dry weights and N uptake compared with the effects of  $NH_4^+$ . In soil with a pH higher than 5.0, where Al toxicity is no longer a limiting factor for plant growth, application of NH4<sup>+</sup> improves maize growth compared with application of  $NO_3^-$ , as discussed above. The critical pH controlling the growth preference of hydroponically grown maize for  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  is approximately 5.6, while that for N accumulation is around 5.9. These critical pHs are higher than those in the soil culture experiment, possibly because of differences in the growth medium components—such as the absence of Al toxicity and the availability of micro-elements.

# 4.3. Implications for Improvement of Maize NRE and Growth

NRE can be increased by matching crop preference for a specific N form with soil N transformation characteristics [4,5]. According to the present study, maize seedling preference for  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  is affected by soil pH. The critical soil pH controlling the NRE preference of maize for  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  is 5.23. Below this critical soil pH, maize plants have a higher NRE under  $NO_3$  than under  $NH_4^+$ , whereas the opposite is true above this pH. This finding may have great practical significance for N fertilizer management. Maize is cultivated on 25.8 million hectares of acid soils (pH < 5.5), occupying about 20% of maize production worldwide [45]. However, maize yield is reduced by 71% in acidic soils [46]. N fertilizer-induced soil acidification exacerbates maize yield reduction in acidic soils [47,48]. Thus, nitrate fertilizer may be applied to improve the NRE and growth of maize seedlings in soils with a pH lower than 5.23. This strategy has implications for decreasing N fertilizer application amounts and soil acidification while simultaneously increasing maize productivity in acidic soils. Conversely, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> fertilizer may be applied in soils with a pH higher than 5.23 for the improvement of maize growth and the decrease of N fertilizer application amounts. We note that soils with a higher pH usually exhibit fast nitrification rates and applied  $NH_4^+$  can be nitrified into  $NO_3^-$  in a short time. In high-pH soils, the addition of nitrification inhibitors and the control of ammonia volatilization is thus important to maintain a high  $NH_4^+/NO_3^-$  ratio. Since the present study investigated the N source preference only at the maize seedling stage due to the limitation of soil volume in the pot experiment, it is important to further examine maize N source preference at different soil pHs under field conditions until the maize maturity stage.

#### 5. Conclusions

As consistently shown by the soil culture and hydroponic experiments in this study, the response of maize seedling growth and N uptake to  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$  mainly depends

on the pH of the growth medium. Maize seedlings generally prefer  $NO_3^-$  in strongly acid soils but  $NH_4^+$  in neutral to alkaline soils. A soil pH between 5.08 and 5.40 and a nutrient solution pH between 5.50 and 6.59 were the critical pH ranges in which a change in maize preference for  $NH_4^+$  vs.  $NO_3^-$  occurred in soil culture and hydroponic experiments, respectively. Below the critical pH, maize plant growth, N uptake, and NRE were significantly improved by  $NO_3^-$  compared with  $NH_4^+$ , thus implying that application of  $NO_3^-$  may be an important strategy for improving maize NRE in acidic soils. Above the critical pH, these parameters were significantly improved by  $NH_4^+$  compared with  $NO_3^-$ , therefore indicating that supplementation with  $NH_4^+$  may be a useful approach for boosting maize NRE in neutral to alkaline soils.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.-Q.Z. and R.-F.S.; methodology, X.-Q.Z.; software, H.-Q.Z.; validation, X.-Q.Z. and R.-F.S.; formal analysis, H.-Q.Z.; investigation, H.-Q.Z.; resources, X.-Q.Z.; data curation, H.-Q.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, H.-Q.Z.; writing—review and editing, X.-Q.Z.; visualization, H.-Q.Z.; supervision, X.-Q.Z. and R.-F.S.; project administration, X.-Q.Z., R.-F.S., and H.-Q.Z.; funding acquisition, X.-Q.Z. and H.-Q.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.42077101, 31672229, and 42107342).

**Data Availability Statement:** All data and materials in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Ladha, J.K.; Tirol-Padre, A.; Reddy, C.K.; Cassman, K.G.; Verma, S.; Powlson, D.S.; van Kessel, C.; de BRichter, D.; Chakraborty, D.; Pathak, H. Global nitrogen budgets in cereals: A 50-year assessment for maize, rice, and wheat production systems. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 19355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Duan, Y.; Xu, M.; Wang, B.; Yang, X.; Huang, S.; Gao, S. Long-term evaluation of manure application on maize yield and nitrogen use efficiency in China. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 2011, 75, 1562–1573. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.; Davidson, E.A.; Mauzerall, D.L.; Searchinger, T.D.; Dumas, P.; Shen, Y. Managing nitrogen for sustainable development. *Nature* 2015, 528, 51–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, S.; Chi, Q.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, B.; Li, W.; Zhang, X.; Huang, Y.; Müller, C.; Cai, Z.; Zhang, J. Importance of matching soil N transformations, crop N form preference, and climate to enhance crop yield and reducing N loss. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 657, 1265–1273. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.; Cai, Z.; Müller, C. Terrestrial N cycling associated with climate and plant-specific N preferences: A review. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 2018, 69, 488–501. [CrossRef]
- Britto, D.T.; Kronzucker, H.J. Ecological significance and complexity of N-source preference in plants. Ann. Bot. 2013, 112, 957–963. [CrossRef]
- 7. Zhao, X.Q.; Shen, R.F. Aluminum–nitrogen interactions in the soil–plant system. Front. Plant Sci. 2018, 9, 807. [CrossRef]
- Kováčik, P.; Wiśniowska-Kielian, B.; Smoleń, S.; Škarpa, P.; Olšovská, K.; Urminská, J. Dependence of quantitative and qualitative parameters of radish yield on contents of ammonium and nitrate nitrogen in soil substrate. J. Ecol. Eng. 2021, 22, 68–77. [CrossRef]
- 9. Claussen, W.; Lenz, F. Effect of ammonium or nitrate nutrition on net photosynthesis, growth, and activity of the enzymes nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase in blueberry, raspberry and strawberry. *Plant Soil* **1999**, *208*, 95–102. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ruan, J.; Gerendás, J.; Härdter, R.; Sattelmacher, B. Effect of nitrogen form and root-zone pH on growth and nitrogen uptake of tea (*Camellia sinensis*) plants. *Ann. Bot.* **2007**, *99*, 301–310. [CrossRef]
- 11. Zhao, X.Q.; Guo, S.W.; Shinmachi, F.; Sunairi, M.; Noguchi, A.; Hasegawa, I.; Shen, R.F. Aluminium tolerance in rice is antagonistic with nitrate preference and synergistic with ammonium preference. *Ann. Bot.* **2013**, *111*, 69–77. [CrossRef]
- 12. Cox, W.J.; Reisenauer, H.M. Growth and ion uptake by wheat supplied nitrogen as nitrate, or ammonium, or both. *Plant Soil* **1973**, *38*, 363–380. [CrossRef]
- 13. Guo, J.; Jia, Y.; Chen, H.; Zhang, L.; Yang, J.; Zhang, J.; Hu, X.; Ye, X.; Li, Y.; Zhou, Y. Growth, photosynthesis, and nutrient uptake in wheat are affected by differences in nitrogen levels and forms and potassium supply. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 1248. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ali, S.; Farooq, M.A.; Jahangir, M.M.; Abbas, F.; Bharwana, S.A.; Zhang, G.P. Effect of chromium and nitrogen form on photosynthesis and anti-oxidative system in barley. *Biol. Plant.* **2013**, *57*, 758–763. [CrossRef]
- 15. Houdusse, F.; Garnica, M.; García-Mina, J.M. Nitrogen fertiliser source effects on the growth and mineral nutrition of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J. Sci. Food Agric. 2007, 87, 2099–2105. [CrossRef]

- Wang, C.; Zheng, M.M.; Hu, A.Y.; Zhu, C.Q.; Shen, R.F. Diazotroph abundance and community composition in an acidic soil in response to aluminum-tolerant and aluminum-sensitive maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars under two nitrogen fertilizer forms. *Plant Soil* 2018, 424, 463–478. [CrossRef]
- 17. Wang, J.L.; Zhao, X.Q.; Zhang, H.Q.; Shen, R.F. The preference of maize plants for nitrate improves fertilizer N recovery efficiency in an acid soil partially because of alleviated Al toxicity. *J. Soils Sediments* **2021**, *21*, 3019–3033. [CrossRef]
- Anderson, D.S.; Teyker, R.H.; Rayburn, A.L. Nitrogen form effects on early corn root morphological and anatomical development. J. Plant Nutr. 1991, 14, 1255–1266. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.Q.; Zhao, X.Q.; Chen, Y.L.; Zhang, L.Y.; Shen, R.F. Case of a stronger capability of maize seedlings to use ammonium being responsible for the higher <sup>15</sup>N recovery efficiency of ammonium compared with nitrate. *Plant Soil* 2019, 440, 293–309. [CrossRef]
- 20. Teyker, R.H.; Hobbs, D.C. Growth and root morphology of corn as influenced by nitrogen form. *Agron. J.* **1992**, *84*, 694–700. [CrossRef]
- Soon, Y.K.; Miller, M.H. Changes in the rhizosphere due to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> fertilization and phosphorus uptake by corn seedlings (*Zea mays* L.). *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 1977, 41, 77–80. [CrossRef]
- Barber, K.L.; Maddux, L.D.; Kissel, D.E.; Pierzynski, G.M.; Bock, B.R. Corn responses to ammonium- and nitrate-nitrogen fertilization. *Agron. J.* 1992, 56, 1166–1171. [CrossRef]
- 23. Tang, C.; Conyers, M.K.; Nuruzzaman, M.; Poile, G.J.; Liu, D.L. Biological amelioration of subsoil acidity through managing nitrate uptake by wheat crops. *Plant Soil* **2011**, *338*, 383–397. [CrossRef]
- 24. Bao, S.D. Soil Agrochemical Analysis; China Agricultural Press: Beijing, China, 2002.
- 25. Zhang, H.Q.; Zhao, X.Q.; Shi, Y.; Liang, Y.; Shen, R.F. Changes in soil bacterial communities with increasing distance from maize roots affected by ammonium and nitrate additions. *Geoderma* **2021**, *398*, 115102. [CrossRef]
- 26. Fageria, N.K.; Baligar, V.C. Enhancing nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants. Adv. Agron. 2005, 88, 97–185.
- 27. Schubert, S.; Yan, F. Nitrate and ammonium nutrition of plants: Effects on acid/base balance and adaptation of root cell plasmalemma H<sup>+</sup> ATPase. *Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenk.* **1997**, *160*, 275–281. [CrossRef]
- 28. Yan, F.; Schubert, S.; Mengel, K. Effect of low root medium pH on net proton release, root respiration, and root growth of corn (*Zea mays* L.) and broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Plant Physiol.* **1992**, *99*, 415–421. [CrossRef]
- 29. Schortemeyer, M.; Feil, B.; Stamp, P. Root morphology and nitrogen uptake of maize simultaneously supplied with ammonium and nitrate in a split-root system. *Ann. Bot.* **1993**, 72, 107–115. [CrossRef]
- 30. Li, S.X.; Wang, Z.H.; Stewart, B.A. Responses of crop plants to ammonium and nitrate N. Adv. Agron. 2013, 118, 205–397.
- 31. Ma, Q.; Wu, L.; Cao, X.; Mi, W. Effects of pH and nitrogen forms of hydroponic nutrient solution on the growth, nitrogen absorption and edible quality of pakchoi (*Brassica chinensis* L.) (in Chinese). J. Soil Water Conserv. 2015, 29, 64–68.
- 32. Jampeetong, A.; Konnerup, D.; Piwpuan, N.; Brix, H. Interactive effects of nitrogen form and pH on growth, morphology, N uptake and mineral contents of *Coix lacryma-jobi* L. *Aquat. Bot.* **2013**, *111*, 144–149. [CrossRef]
- 33. Bloom, A.J.; Meyerhoff, P.A.; Taylor, A.R.; Rost, T.L. Root development and absorption of ammonium and nitrate from the rhizosphere. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* **2002**, *21*, 416–431. [CrossRef]
- Gu, R.; Duan, F.; An, X.; Zhang, F.; von Wirén, N.; Yuan, L. Characterization of AMT-mediated high-affinity ammonium uptake in roots of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2013, 54, 1515–1524. [CrossRef]
- Hao, D.L.; Zhou, J.Y.; Yang, S.Y.; Huang, Y.N.; Su, Y.H. Functional and regulatory characterization of three AMTs in maize roots. Front. Plant Sci. 2020, 11, 884. [CrossRef]
- 36. Colombo, C.; Palumbo, G.; He, J.Z.; Pinton, R.; Cesco, S. Review on iron availability in soil: Interaction of Fe minerals, plants, and microbes. *J. Soils Sediments* **2014**, *14*, 538–548. [CrossRef]
- Hoffmann, C.; Ladewig, E.; Claassen, N.; Jungk, A. Phosphorus uptake of maize as affected by ammonium and nitrate nitrogen -Measurements and model calculations. Z. Pflanzenernähr Bodenk 1994, 157, 225–232. [CrossRef]
- Jing, J.; Zhang, F.; Rengel, Z.; Shen, J. Localized fertilization with P plus N elicits an ammonium-dependent enhancement of maize root growth and nutrient uptake. *Field Crop Res.* 2012, 133, 176–185. [CrossRef]
- 39. Duan, Z.; Xiao, H. Effects of soil properties on ammonia volatilization. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2000, 46, 845–852.
- 40. Wang, J.; Tu, X.; Zhang, H.; Cui, J.; Ni, K.; Chen, J.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, J.; Chang, S.X. Effects of ammonium-based nitrogen addition on soil nitrification and nitrogen gas emissions depend on fertilizer-induced changes in pH in a tea plantation soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *747*, 141340. [CrossRef]
- Pan, X.; Baquy, M.A.; Guan, P.; Yan, J.; Wang, R.; Xu, R.; Xie, L. Effect of soil acidification on the growth and nitrogen use efficiency of maize in Ultisols. J. Soils Sediments 2020, 20, 1435–1445. [CrossRef]
- Kochian, L.V.; Hoekenga, O.A.; Piñeros, M.A. How do crop plants tolerate acid soils? Mechanisms of aluminum tolerance and phosphorous efficiency. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2004, 55, 459–493. [CrossRef]
- 43. Dong, D.; Xie, Z.; Du, Y.; Liu, C.; Wang, S. Influence of soil pH on aluminum availability in the soil and aluminum in tea leaves. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan.* **1999**, *30*, 873–883. [CrossRef]
- 44. Ma, J.F. Syndrome of aluminum toxicity and diversity of aluminum resistance in higher plants. Int. Rev. Cytol. 2007, 264, 225–252.
- 45. von Uexküll, H.R.; Mutert, E. Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. *Plant Soil* **1995**, *171*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Dewi-Hayati, P.K.; Sutoyo Syarif, A.; Prasetyo, T. Performance of maize single-cross hybrids evaluated on acidic soils. *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol.* 2014, 4, 30–33.

- 47. Huang, S.; Zhang, W.J.; Yu, X.C.; Huang, Q.R. Effects of long-term fertilization on corn productivity and its sustainability in an Ultisol of southern China. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2010**, *138*, 44–50. [CrossRef]
- 48. Zhang, H.M.; Wang, B.R.; Xu, M.G.; Fan, T.L. Crop yield and soil responses to long-term fertilization on a red soil in southern China. *Pedosphere* **2009**, *19*, 199–207. [CrossRef]