

## **Author's Proof**

Carefully read the entire proof and mark all corrections in the appropriate place, using the Adobe Reader commenting tools (**Adobe Help**). We do not accept corrections in the form of edited manuscripts.

Before you submit your corrections, please make sure that you have checked your proof carefully as once you approve it, you won't be able to make any further corrections.

In order to ensure the timely publication of your article, please submit the corrections within 48 hours. If you have any questions, contact veterinaryscience.production.office@frontiersin.org.

### **Quick Check-List**

- Author names Complete, accurate and consistent with your previous publications.
- Affiliations Complete and accurate. Follow this style when applicable: Department, Institute, University, City, Country.
- Tables Make sure our formatting style did not change the meaning/alignment of your Tables.
- Figures Make sure we are using the latest versions.
- Funding and Acknowledgments List all relevant funders and acknowledgments.
- Conflict of Interest Ensure any relevant conflicts are declared.
- **Supplementary files** Ensure the latest files are published and that no line numbers and tracked changes are visible. Also, the supplementary files should be cited in the article body text.
- Queries Reply to all typesetters queries below.
- Content Read all content carefully and ensure any necessary corrections are made.

Query No.	Details Required	Author's Response
Q1	The citation and surnames of all of the authors have been highlighted. Check that they are correct and consistent with the authors' previous publications, and correct if need be. Please note that this may affect the indexing of your article in repositories such as PubMed.	Yes
Q2	Please ask the following authors to register with Frontiers (at https:// www.frontiersin.org/Registration/Register.aspx) if they would like their names on the article abstract page and PDF to be linked to a Frontiers profile. Please ensure to provide us with the profile link(s) when submitting the proof corrections. Non-registered authors will have the default profile image displayed. "Cui Ma" "Qiankun Gao" "Wanghong Zhang" "Qian Zhu" "Wu Tang"	They do not register it.
Q3	Could you please confirm if all author affiliations are fine as listed?	Yes

## **Author Queries Form**

Query No.	Details Required	Author's Response	
Q4	Confirm that the email address in your correspondence section is accurate.	Yes	
Q5	If you decide to use previously published, copyrighted figures in your article, please keep in mind that it is your responsibility, as the author, to obtain the appropriate permissions and licenses and to follow any citation instructions requested by third-party rights holders. If obtaining the reproduction rights involves the payment of a fee, these charges are to be paid by the authors.	We do not use previously published.	
Q6	Ensure that all the figures, tables and captions are correct, and that all figures are of the highest quality/resolution.	Yes	
Q7	Verify that all the equations and special characters are displayed correctly.	Yes	
Q8	Please confirm that the Data Availability statement is accurate. Note that we have used the statement provided at Submission. If this is not the latest version, please let us know.	Yes	
Q9	Confirm whether the insertion of the Ethics Statement section is fine. Note that we have used the statement provided at Submission. If this is not the latest version, please let us know.	Yes	
Q10	Confirm that the details in the "Author Contributions" section are correct and note that we have added the sentence "All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version."	Yes	
Q11	Ensure to add all grant numbers and funding information, as after publication this will no longer be possible. All funders should be credited and all grant numbers should be correctly included in this section.	Yes	
Q12	Ensure that any supplementary material is correctly published at this link: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2020.575685/ full#supplementary-material Provide new files if you have any corrections and make sure all Supplementary files are cited. Please also provide captions for these files, if relevant. Note that ALL supplementary files will be deposited to FigShare and receive a DOI. Notify us of any previously deposited material.	Yes	
Q13	Confirm that the short running title is correct, making sure to keep it to a maximum of five words.	Yes	
Q14	Confirm that the keywords are correct and keep them to a maximum of eight and a minimum of five. (Note: a keyword can be comprised of one or more words.) Note that we have used the keywords provided at Submission. If this is not the latest version, please let us know.	Yes	
Q15	Check if the section headers (i.e., section leveling) were correctly captured.	Yes	
Q16	Confirm if the text included as "Conflict of Interest Statement" is fine.	Yes	

Query No.	Details Required	Author's Response
Q17	Confirm whether the insertion of the article title is correct.	Yes
Q18	Provide the department details for Affiliation [2].	College of Advanced Agricultural Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
Q19	Provide the complete details for "(13)."	Yes, we have completed it.
Q20	Provide the doi for "(28)."	Yes, we have added it.
Q21	Please specify the "*" inside the artwork of "Figure 6" which is explained in the caption.	Yes, we have modified it.
Q22	Please specify the "*" inside the artwork of "Figure 5" which is explained in the caption.	Yes, we have modified it.





59

60 61

62

63

64 65

66

67

68

69

70 71

72 73

74 75

76

77 78

79

80

82

<sup>83</sup> Q18

84

85

Q2

Q3

81 Q17

# Supplementing Synbiotic in Sows' Diets Modifies Beneficially Blood Parameters and Colonic Microbiota Composition and Metabolic Activity in Suckling Piglets

## Cui Ma<sup>1,2</sup>, Qiankun Gao<sup>1</sup>, Wanghong Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Qian Zhu<sup>1,2</sup>, Wu Tang<sup>1,2</sup>, Francois Blachier<sup>3</sup>, Hao Ding<sup>1</sup> and Xiangfeng Kong<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Agro-Ecological Processes in Subtropical Region, Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Animal Nutritional Physiology and Metabolic Process, National Engineering Laboratory for Pollution Control and Waste Utilization in Livestock and Poultry Production, Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changsha, China, <sup>2</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, AgroParisTech, INRAE, UMR PNCA, Paris, France

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Amlan Kumar Patra, West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, India

#### Reviewed by:

Demin Cai, Yangzhou University, China Sungkwonk Park, Sejong University, South Korea

> \*Correspondence: Xiangfeng Kong nnkxf@isa.ac.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Animal Nutrition and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 01 July 2020 Accepted: 04 November 2020 Published: xx November 2020

#### Citation: Ma C, Gao Q, Zhang W, Zhu Q, Tang W, Blachier F, Ding H and

Kong X (2020) Supplementing Synbiotics in Sows' Diets Modifies Beneficially Blood Parameters and Colonic Microbiota Composition and Metabolic Activity in Suckling Piglets. Front. Vet. Sci. 7:575685. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.575685

86 Nutrients in the maternal diet favor the growth and development of suckling piglets 87 and alter their out microbiota composition and metabolic activity, thus affecting the 88 89 hosts. The present study analyzed, in suckling piglets from sows receiving antibiotic 90 or synbiotic supplements from pregnancy to lactation, several biochemical parameters, 91 oxidative/anti-oxidative indices, inflammatory cytokines, and ingestion-related factor 92 levels in plasma, as well as colonic microbiota composition and metabolic activity, and 93 mucosal expression of genes related to the intestinal barrier function. Compared with 94 95 the control group, maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) the plasma 96 levels of glucose, AMM, TC, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), MDA, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 97 ghrelin, CCK, PP, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-2, IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , Ala, Cys, Tau, and  $\beta$ -AiBA, the levels of 98 propionate and total short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) in the colonic luminal content, 99 and colonic abundances of RFN20, Anaerostipes, and Butyricimonas; while increased 100 101 (P < 0.05) the plasma levels of urea nitrogen (UN), Ile, Leu,  $\alpha$ -AAA,  $\alpha$ -ABA, and 102 1-Mehis, as well as colonic abundances of Sphingomonas, Anaerovorax, Sharpea, and 103 Butyricicoccus. Compared with the antibiotic group, maternal synbiotic supplementation 104 decreased (P < 0.05) the plasma levels of glucose, gastrin, and Ala, as well as 105 abundances of Pasteurella and RFN20 and propionate level in the colonic content. 106 107 Expression of genes coding for E-cadherin, Occludin, ZO-1, ZO-2, IL-10, and interferon-α 108 were down-regulated in the colonic mucosa. The synbiotic supplementation increased 109 (P < 0.05) the plasma levels of UN, Leu,  $\alpha$ -ABA, and 1-Mehis, the abundances 110 of Anaerovorax, Sharpea, and Butyricicoccus and expression of genes coding for 111 112 E-cadherin, Occludin, ZO-1, ZO-2, IL-10, and interferon-α. Spearman correlation analysis 113 showed that there was a positive correlation between colonic Anaerostipes abundance 114

2

3

Q13

172

173

174

175

176

177

178 **Q14** 

179

180

181

182

183

115

116

- 07 125
- 126 127

015

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

**INTRODUCTION** Economic benefit in swine farm is directly affected by the survival rate, growth and development, and health of suckling piglets (1). The survival and health of suckling piglets are largely dependent on maternal milk quality (2). Maternal nutrition during lactation is an important factor affecting the quality and quantity of the maternal milk. Therefore, improving maternal nutrient level could help to enhance sows lactating performance and promote

metabolic activity in suckling piglets.

the growth and development of piglets. Gut microbiota is involved in the metabolism, growth, and development of the host (3). Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) 136 are products of some specific gut bacteria and could serve as 137 luminal energy substrates in colonocytes (4). In addition, SCFAs 138 exert an anti-inflammatory effect in the gut (5). Microbiota 139 colonization in infant gut begins from their mother's wombs 140 (6) and is affected by diets and other environmental factors 141 (7). Exposure to antibiotics via oral administration as a kind 142 medicine (especially the broad-spectrum antibiotics) in newborn 143 animals has a major effect on gut microbiota composition (8). 144 Antibiotics was reported to promote nutrient absorption and 145 increase the piglet growth (9). However, antibiotic overuse leads 146 to drug residues in animals and their products, thus leading to 147 antibiotic resistance and affecting humans health (10). Synbiotics, 148 the mixed additive of prebiotics and probiotics, have shown 149 several beneficial effects in pig production. For instance, several 150 studies showed that dietary synbiotic supplementation improved 151 the intestinal microbiota and growth performance of weaned 152 piglets (11, 12). Therefore, we speculated that synbiotics in the 153 maternal diet could affect the offspring, notably by modifying the 154 gut microbiota and metabolic activity. 155

Our previous study showed that dietary synbiotic supplementation increased the piglet survival rate by improving the glycolipids absorption and utilization and altering the gut microbiota composition and abundances of sows (13). The present study hypothesizes that maternal synbiotic supplementation may modify beneficially blood indices, gut microbiota composition and metabolic activity, and the mucosal mRNA expression of genes related to the intestinal barrier function. Therefore, the effects of synbiotic supplementation in sows' diets were measured on several parameters in suckling piglets, including plasma biochemical parameters, oxidative/anti-oxidative indices, inflammatory and ingestion-related factors, and free amino acids. In addition, colonic microbiota composition and metabolic activity were measured in piglets, as well as expression of colonic mucosa genes involved in epithelial barrier function and inflammation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Experimental Design**

and acetate and SCFAs levels; whereas a negative correlation between Fusobacteria

and Fusobacterium abundances and acetate level. These findings suggest that synbiotic

supplementation in the maternal diet improved nutrient metabolism and intestinal barrier

permeability, reduced oxidative stress, and modified colonic microbiota composition and

Keywords: biochemical parameters, gut microbiota, metabolites, sows, suckling piglets, synbiotic

184 The animal experiment was conducted in Hantang Agriculture Co. Ltd., Shimen, Hunan, China. Forty-eight pregnant Bama 185 186 mini-pigs were selected and randomly allocated into one of 187 three groups (16 sows per group). The sows in the control 188 group were fed a basal diet, those in the antibiotic group were 189 fed a basal diet supplemented with 50 g/t virginiamycin, and those in the synbiotic group were fed a basal diet supplemented 190 191 with 200 mL/d fermentation broth per animal and 500 g xylooligosaccharides (XOS) per ton diet. The fermentation broth 192 was provided by Hunan Lifeng Biotechnology Co. Ltd. and 193 contained  $\geq 1.2 \times 10^8$  CFU/g viable Lactobacillus plantarum 194 B90 (BNCC1.12934)  $\geq 1.0 \times 10^8$  CFU/g and Saccharomyces 195 196 cerevisiae P11 (BNCC2.3854) >  $0.2 \times 10^8$  CFU/g. The XOS 197 was provided by Shandong Longlive Biotechnology Co., Ltd., 198 Shandong, China; and contained xylobiose, xylotriose, and xylotetraose at level  $\geq$  35%. The diet composition and nutrient 199 200 levels for the sows met the Chinese pig local standard (NY-2004), 201 and the premixes for pregnant and lactating sows met the NRC recommended requirements (NRC, 2012)(Supplementary Table 202 203 1). The experimental period was from mating to weaning 204 (postpartum 21 d). During the trial period, there were four sows 205 returned to estrus in the control group, two sows returned to estrus in the antibiotic group, and three sows returned to estrus 206 in the synbiotic group. The diets were fed twice daily (8:00 a.m. 207 and 5:00 p.m.) fluctuating with the physical condition of the 208 sows throughout the trail, and water was available freely. 209 210

## Sample Collection and Preparation

213 At 21 day-old (weaned), the piglets from 12 litters were weighed 214 after fasted for about 12 h and one piglet with middle body 215 weight (BW) per litter was selected. Twelve piglets per group 216 were exsanguinated after electrical stunning (120 V, 200 Hz). 217 Each piglet per group was randomly chosen to collect blood 218 samples from precaval vein into 10 mL heparin coated-tubes and 219 plasma was separated by centrifuging at 3,500 g and 4°C for 10 220 min and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for further analysis. Colonic contents 221 (middle section) were collected in 10 mL sterile centrifuge tubes 222 and stored immediately at -20°C for subsequent analysis of 223 microbiota composition and metabolites. After washing with 224 cold physiological saline, the colonic mucosal tissues were 225 sampled and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen ( $\sim 2$  g), and 226 then stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for mRNA analyses.

227 228

211

212

Q6

#### **Determination of Plasma Biochemical**

#### **Parameters**

The plasma levels of albumin (ALB), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), ammonia (AMM), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glucose (GLU), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), total protein (TP), and urea nitrogen (UN) were determined using commercially available kits (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland) with the Roche automatic biochemical analyzer (Cobas c311, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland). 

**Determination of Plasma** Oxidative/Anti-oxidative Indices. Inflammatory Cytokines, and Ingestion **Related Factors** 

The plasma levels of catalase (CAT), hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), were determined as per commercially available kit directions (Suzhou keming, Co. Ltd, Jiangsu, China) with Multiscan Spectrum (Tecan, Infinite M200 Pro, Switzerland). 

The plasma levels of gastrin, ghrelin, cholecystokinin (CCK), interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, interferon (IFN)-α, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, leptin (LEP), pancreatic polypeptide (PP), peptide YY (PPY), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-a were measured according to the Meimian ELISA kit directions (Jiangsu Yutong Biological Technology, Co. Ltd., Jiangsu, China) on Multiscan Spectrum (Tecan, Infinite M200 Pro, Switzerland). 

## **Determination of Plasma Free Amino Acids**

Approximately 1.00 mL plasma sample was added into 1.00 mL 8% salicylic acid solution, mixed thoroughly and overnighted at 4°C, and then centrifuged at 8,000 r/min for 10 min to obtain the supernatant. The processed samples were filtered through a 0.45-µm membrane prior to analysis of free amino acids with an automatic AA analyzer (L8900, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

## **DNA Extraction and 16S rRNA Gene** Sequencing

The total genomic DNA of colonic content samples was extracted using the Fast DNA SPIN extraction kits (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA). The DNA concentration was determined using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The V3-V4 regions was amplified using the primer 338F (5'-GCACCTAA YTGGGYDTAAAGNG-3') and 806R (5'-TACNVGGGTATCTA ATCC-3'). The protocol of PCR amplification was conducted according to our previous study (13). The PCR products were successfully separated using 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis, purified using Agencourt AMPure Beads (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN), and further quantified using the PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified amplicons were then subjected to paired-end  $(2 \times 300)$  sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, USA) using the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (600 cycles) according to the standard protocol, which was performed by Shanghai Personal Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China. The raw Illumina pair-end read data for all samples are available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive with accession number PRJNA609410.

## Determination of Metabolites in Colonic Contents

The SCFAs in colonic contents were measured with gas chromatography (Agilent Technologies 1206, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the previous description (14). The levels of bioamines, indole, and skatole in colonic contents were measured using reverse phase-high performance liquid chromatography





bv

(Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to a previous study (14).

**Determination of mRNA Expression of** 

The primers for target genes and reference gene  $\beta$ -actin (listed

in Supplementary Table 2) were designed using Primer-BLAST.

RNA extraction and real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-

PCR) analyses were conducted as a previous report (15). The

relative expression level of each target gene was determined

RT-PCR with performing on a 480II system (Roche,

Genes Related to Intestinal Health

LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 480II, Switzerland) and calculated by the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (16).

## Statistical Analysis

The plasma indices, colonic metabolite levels, and colonic microbiota alpha diversity were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's multiple range post hoc test with SPSS 22. The microbial community structural variation among samples was performed by the beta diversity analysis (PERMANOVA) (17) and was showed using the partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA). The colonic microbiota abundance and overall composition at 



Frontiers in Veterinary Science | www.frontiersin.org



FIGURE 4 | Effect of maternal synbiotic supplementation on plasma ingestion-related factor levels in suckling Bama mini-piglets. Data represent the means ± SEM. <sup>\*</sup>indicates statistically significant (P < 0.05). n = 8 per group.

phyla and genus levels were analyzed using Metastats (http:// metastats.cbcb.umd.edu/) (18). The graph preparation was performed using GraphPad Prism ver7.0 (San Diego, CA, USA). Spearman's correlation between colonic microbiota abundances and retabolite levels was analyzed with t he R package. All data were presented as means ±SEM. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

## RESULTS

### Plasma Biochemical Parameters of Piglets

As shown in Figure 1, compared with the control group, maternal synbiotic supplementation increased (P < 0.05) plasma UN level while decreased (P < 0.05) plasma GLU, AMM, TC, and LDL-C levels. Maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) plasma ALT and GLU levels, increased (P < 0.05) UN level, and showed an increased trend in TG level (P = 0.074), when compared with the antibiotic group.

#### Plasma Oxidative/Anti-oxidative Indices, Inflammatory Cytokines, and Ingestion **Related Factors of Piglets**

As shown in Figure 2, compared to the control group, maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05)

plasma MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels and antibiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) plasma MDA level. However, the plasma T-AOC, SOD, and CAT indices did not reach statistical significance (P > 0.05).

As presented in Figure 3, maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) plasma levels of IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-2, IL-6, and TNF- $\alpha$ ; and antibiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) plasma levels of IGF-1, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-2, IL-6, and TNF- $\alpha$ , when compared with the control group.

As listed in Figure 4, maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) plasma ghrelin, CCK, and PP levels and had a decreased trend in LEP level (P = 0.05); and maternal antibiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) plasma gastrin, ghrelin, CCK, PP, LEP, and SS levels, when compared with the control group. Maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased plasma gastrin (P < 0.05) and LEP (P = 0.05) levels relative to the antibiotic group.

## Plasma Free Amino Acid Levels of Piglets

As shown in **Table 1**, synbiotic supplementation decreased (P <0.05) plasma Ile, Leu, α-AAA, α-ABA, and 1-Mehis levels and antibiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) plasma Hypro level, when compared with the control group. The plasma Leu,  $\alpha$ -ABA, and 1-Mehis levels in the synbiotic group

Q6 571

572

612

613

614

615

616

617

Colonic Microbiota in Piglets

528	
529	

TABLE 1   Effects of maternal synbiotic supplementation on plasma
concentrations of free amino acids in suckling Bama mini-piglets ( $\mu q/mL$ ; $n = 8$ )

Items	Control group	Antibiotic group	Synbiotic group
Ala	$29.58 \pm 2.90^{a}$	$28.04 \pm 2.83^{a}$	$13.64 \pm 1.5^{b}$
Ans	$0.87\pm0.17$	$0.51 \pm 0.03$	$0.57\pm0.08$
Arg	$18.44 \pm 1.55$	$16.06 \pm 0.56$	$18.60 \pm 1.60$
Asp	$2.45\pm0.54$	$2.48 \pm 0.24$	$1.96 \pm 0.21$
Car	$7.18\pm0.36$	$8.37\pm0.72$	$5.86\pm0.44$
Cit	$9.28\pm0.58$	$8.93\pm0.66$	$10.91 \pm 0.83$
Cys	$0.85\pm0.15^{a}$	$1.69\pm0.37^{ab}$	$1.95 \pm 0.21^{\rm b}$
Cysthi	$3.43\pm0.33$	$3.31 \pm 0.13$	$4.12 \pm 0.31$
EOHNH <sub>2</sub>	$0.56 \pm 0.39$	$1.29 \pm 0.56$	$3.10 \pm 0.24$
Glu	$39.12 \pm 9.02$	$27.93 \pm 3.12$	$21.61 \pm 1.97$
Gly	$31.35 \pm 1.92$	$35.56 \pm 2.24$	$31.80 \pm 1.06$
His	$10.27 \pm 0.52$	$10.74 \pm 0.55$	$11.10 \pm 0.69$
Hypro	$10.52 \pm 0.85^{b}$	$12.88 \pm 0.57^{a}$	$12.08 \pm 0.7^{ab}$
lle	$15.73 \pm 1.98^{b}$	$16.44 \pm 1.5^{\rm ab}$	$21.23 \pm 1.53^{a}$
Leu	$19.66 \pm 1.79^{b}$	$20.76 \pm 1.96^{b}$	$26.94 \pm 1.92^{a}$
Lys	$20.25 \pm 1.69$	$22.22 \pm 2.17$	$23.60 \pm 0.79$
Met	$3.90 \pm 0.46$	$3.80 \pm 0.37$	$3.97 \pm 0.21$
Orn	$6.76 \pm 0.61$	$7.32 \pm 0.65$	$6.62 \pm 0.44$
Phe	$13.88 \pm 0.93$	$14.68 \pm 0.64$	$15.58 \pm 0.40$
Pro	$16.35 \pm 1.14$	$16.48 \pm 1.10$	$18.37 \pm 0.88$
Sar	$1.09 \pm 0.23$	$1.42 \pm 0.43$	$1.30 \pm 0.33$
Ser	$11.92 \pm 1.02$	$12.47 \pm 1.06$	$10.96 \pm 0.82$
Tau	$9.97 \pm 0.36^{a}$	$9.42\pm0.75^{ab}$	$8.16\pm0.42^{b}$
Thr	17.31 ± 1.10	$16.7 \pm 1.21$	$15.56 \pm 1.20$
Tyr	$11.31 \pm 1.38$	$11.28 \pm 1.00$	$10.66 \pm 0.53$
Val	$32.45 \pm 4.11$	$32.73 \pm 3.56$	$37.71 \pm 2.38$
α-ΑΑΑ	$6.86 \pm 0.84^{\rm b}$	$7.86\pm0.93^{ab}$	$9.80 \pm 0.73^{a}$
α-ABA	$3.55 \pm 0.39^{\rm b}$	$3.43 \pm 0.51^{b}$	$4.94 \pm 0.32^{a}$
β-ΑίΒΑ	$0.25\pm0.04^{\text{a}}$	$0.18\pm0.02^{ab}$	$0.40 \pm 0.16^{\rm b}$
β-Ala	$1.00 \pm 0.12$	$1.11 \pm 0.14$	$1.20 \pm 0.22$
1-Mehis	$0.38\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	$0.55 \pm 0.11^{b}$	$1.21\pm0.22^{a}$
3-Mehis	$2.29 \pm 0.12$	$2.05 \pm 0.12$	$2.23 \pm 0.17$

Data in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05). Asp:</li>
 Asp + Asn; Glu: Glu + Gln; α-AAA, L-alpha-aminoadipic acid; α-ABA, DL-alpha-amino n-butyric acid; β-AiBA, DL-beta-aminoisobutyric acid; β-Ala, beta-alanine; 1-Mehis,
 L-1-methylhistidine; 3-Mehis, L-3-methylhistidine.

was higher (P < 0.05) while plasma Ala level was lower (P < 0.05) compared with the antibiotic group.

## <sup>618</sup> Diversity of Colonic Microbiota in Piglets

Total 993,960 high-quality reads were generated from 48 colonic 620 content samples, and each sample contained an average of 41,415 621 reads (range from 31,377 to 57,987). As shown in Figure 5, the 622 Chao1, ACE, Simpson, and Shannon indices showed no 623 difference among the three groups (P > 0.05). PLS-DA showed 624 that samples from the three groups tended to exhibit a distinct 625 clustering of microbiota composition although there was a 626 partial overlap between the antibiotic group and synbiotic group. 627

## Composition and Abundance of Colonic Microbiota in Piglets

As shown in **Figure 6**, the top five dominant phyla were *Firmicutes* (80.7%), *Proteobacteria* (7.3%), *Bacteroidetes* (6.3%), *Spirochaetes* (2.8%), and *Fusobacteria* (1.4%), which account for > 98% of total colonic bacteria. At phylum level, only *Fusobacteria* relative abundance in the antibiotic group was higher (P < 0.01) than that in the control group. 630

At genus level, Lactobacillus (23.2%), p-75-a5 (3.4%), 636 637 Herbaspirillum (3.3%), Treponema (2.5%), and Oscillospira (2.5%) were the top dominant genera of colonic microbiota with 638 639 a clear classification status (Figure 7). Further, the abundances 640 of colonic microbiota with a clear classification status of 20 most abundant bacterial genera were analyzed. Relative 641 642 to the control group, maternal synbiotic supplementation 643 increased (P < 0.05) the abundances of p\_Proteobacteria;g\_ *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Anaerovorax,* 644 Sphingomonas, *p\_Firmicutes*; 645 g\_Holdemania, *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Sharpea, p* Firmicutes; g\_Butyricicoccus, 646 and *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Anaerostipes*; while decreased (P < 0.05) the abundances of *p\_Firmicutes*; 647 648 g\_Facklamia, *p\_Firmicutes;g\_RFN20, p\_Actinobacteria*; g\_Arcanobacterium, and p\_Proteobacteria;g\_Brevundimonas. 649 650 Maternal antibiotic supplementation decreased (P< 0.05) the abundances of *p\_Proteobacteria;g\_Acinetobacter*, 651 652 *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Facklamia*, *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Streptococcus*, and *p* Proteobacteria; *g* Brevundimonas while increased (P < 0.05) 653 654 *p\_Fusobacteria;g\_Fusobacterium* abundance. Compared with the 655 antibiotic group, maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased 656 (P < 0.05) the abundances of *p\_Proteobacteria*;g\_Pasteurella 657 and *p\_Firmicutes;g\_RFN20*, while increased (P < 0.01) the abundances of *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Anaerovorax*, *p\_Firmicutes;* 658 659 g\_Holdemania, p\_Firmicutes;g\_Sharpea, and p\_Firmicutes; 660 g\_Butyricicoccus.

## Metabolite Levels in Colonic Contents of Piglets

As shown in **Figure 8**, compared with the control group, the levels of propionate, straight-chain fatty acids, and SCFAs were decreased (P < 0.05) and spermidine level showed a decreased trend (P = 0.055) in the synbiotic group. Moreover, maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased (P < 0.05) the propionate level and increased (P = 0.055) spermidine level compared with the antibiotic group. The differences in other determined metabolites among the three groups did not present statistically significant (P > 0.05) (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

## Correlation Between Microbiota and Metabolites in Colonic Content of Piglets

As shown in **Figure 9**, *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Butyricicoccus* abundance 677 was positively correlated (P < 0.05) with isovalerate and 678 branched-chain fatty acid (BCFA) levels, as well as *p\_Firmicutes*; 679 g Anaerostipes abundance with acetate and SCFAs levels. 680 However, a significant negative correlation (P < 0.05)681 was observed between *p\_Fusobacteria* and *p\_Fusobacteria*; 682 g Fusobacterium abundances and acetate level. In addition, there 683 was a negative correlation (P < 0.05) between *p\_Firmicutes*; 684

Ma et al.





*g\_Facklamia* abundance and tryptamine level, as well as *p\_Actinobacteria;g\_Arcanobacterium* abundance and tryptamine and skatole levels.

## mRNA Expression of Genes Related to Intestinal Health in Piglets

As shown in **Figure 10**, maternal synbiotic supplementation upregulated (P < 0.05) the mRNA expression of colonic E-cadherin, Occludin, ZO-1, ZO-2, IL-10, and IFN- $\alpha$  compared with the antibiotic group. Compared with the control group, maternal synbiotic and antibiotic supplementation failed to affect the expression of determined genes.

## DISCUSSION

The present study explored the effects of synbiotic supplementation in the maternal diets from pregnancy to lactation on the intestine health of suckling piglets by determining colonic microbiota composition, metabolite levels, and mucosal gene expression, as well as plasma parameters. We found that maternal antibiotic supplementation is counter-productive for the intestinal health based on the measurement of parameters related to the intestinal barrier permeability, whereas synbiotic supplementation improved parameters related to nutrient metabolism and intestinal health. 

The piglets utilize efficiently dietary fat when blood TC level decreases. LDL-C transports TC synthesized by the liver to extrahepatic tissue, thus preventing excessive lipid deposition in the liver (19). In the present study, maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased plasma TC and LDL-C levels,

suggesting that dietary fat was highly utilized by piglets to favor their growth. Shakeri et al. (20) reported that supplementing synbiotics reduced the blood TC level by altering gut microbiota metabolism. UN is a metabolite of amino acid and/or protein (21), plasma level of which reflects the profiles of protein absorption and utilization in the animal body (22). AMM reflects the liver function and the decrease of plasma AMM level indicates the increase of liver ability for synthesizing urea (23). The present study showed that plasma UN level increased while AMM level decreased in the synbiotic group, suggesting that maternal synbiotic supplementation promoted protein utilization of suckling piglets. These findings suggest that maternal synbiotic supplementation, but not antibiotic supplementation, would enhance the nitrogen metabolism of suckling piglets. 

Amino acids (AAs), apart for being an important component of tissue protein, play several important roles in protein metabolism in animals (24). Weanling piglets use branched-chain amino acids (including Ile, Leu, and Val) to maintain their growth and development, especially Leu which contributes to regulate protein synthesis and tissue growth of animals (25). In the present study, maternal synbiotic supplementation increased the plasma Ile and Leu levels in suckling piglets. In addition, previous studies showed that Tau and Cys, main products of Met metabolism, play a vital role in the growth and health of piglets (26). Ala is the main substrate for glucose synthesis in the liver, which can play a role in the body's immune function (27). Tau, mostly found at a high level in animal tissues, has been shown to improve animal lipid metabolism (28). The present study showed that maternal 

Q21<sup>820</sup>



**FIGURE 6** [Effect of maternal synbiotic supplementation on the colonic microbial community structure in suckling Bama mini-piglets. Colonic microbiota distributed at the phylum level (A) and all phyla were listed. A comparison of relative abundances at the phylum level (B) was analyzed by Metastats analysis, and the discrepancy of the top 10 colonic microbiota was listed. Phyla with proportion < 0.001 were grouped in others. \*\*P < 0.01. n = 8 per group.



synbiotic supplementation decreased the plasma levels of Tau, Cys, and Ala in piglets, suggesting that dietary synbiotics may modify amino acid metabolism in the offspring. These above-mentioned findings suggested that maternal synbiotic supplementation affects the protein synthesis by altering plasma amino acids levels.

Plasma MDA level reflects lipid peroxidation in the body tissues (29). H<sub>2</sub>O<mark>2 is</mark> a reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can increase the oxidative stress in tissues (30). A previous 904 study showed that piglets may produce excessive reactive 905 oxygen species thus leading to oxidative stress, which may 906 lead to intestinal barrier dysfunction in weaned piglets (31). 907 Interestingly, we found that maternal synbiotic supplementation 908 decreased plasma MDA and  $H_2O_2$  levels, suggesting that 909 the synbiotics could relieve the oxidative stress exposure to 910 suckling piglets. Among prebiotics, XOS produces SCFAs which 911 



Gut microbiota is involved in nutrient utilization and affects the growth and development of the host (34). Maternal nutrition during pregnancy and lactation modified the gut microbiota composition and health of offspring (35). Gut microbiota diversity was closely related with the host's health (36). The  $\alpha$ diversity of microbiota is decreased, which may be associated with a higher occurrence of low-grade inflammation and some metabolic diseases (37). In the present study, after maternal antibiotic or synbiotic supplementation, the  $\alpha$ -diversity of colonic microbiota in piglets did not change, whereas the microbiota composition and abundances changed markedly, 1021

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

1018

1019



<sup>3</sup> suggesting that maternal synbiotic might not exert a negative
 <sup>4</sup> effect on suckling piglets.

In the animal gut, the dominant phyla usually includes Firmicutes, Bacteroides, Proteobacteria, and Fusobacterium (38). In the present study, the abundances of Firmicutes, Bacteroides, and Proteobacteria accounted for 94.3% of the total sequences. Firmicutes plays a vital role in the degradation of polysaccharides and oligosaccharides (39), which involves some key metabolic conversions by the gut microbial community (40). In addition, maternal synbiotic supplementation increased 1063 the abundances of Butyricicoccus and Sharpea belonged to 1064 Firmicutes. Butyricicoccus can reduce the production of pro-1065 inflammatory cytokines to inhibit the host's inflammation 1066 (41). We found that maternal synbiotic supplementation 1067 increased Butyricicoccus abundance, which might reduce the 1068 inflammation occurrence of suckling piglets via altering gut 1069 microbiota composition and abundance. Sharpea promotes 1070 SCFAs (especially butyrate) and lactate production (42). Our 1071 study showed that maternal synbiotic supplementation increased 1072 Sharpea abundance in the offspring, which may favor inhibition 1073 of the proliferation of potential pathogenic bacteria by reducing 1074 the gut pH value. Additively, Fusobacterium can use glucose 1075 as a carbon source, the abundance of which is increased by 1076 polysaccharide degradation (43). Several studies reported that 1077 Fusobacterium might be a contributing factor for inflammation 1078 (44), the abundance of which increased in neonatal piglets with 1079 diarrhea (45). In the present study, the *Fusobacterium* abundance 1080 showed a decreased trend in the synbiotic group, implying that 1081 maternal synbiotic supplementation reduced this potential 1082 pathogenic bacteria. 1083

Colonic SCFAs can exert crucial effects on intestinal function 1110 1111 and health of the host before and after absorption in the blood (46). In addition of providing 60–70% of total energy to colonic 1112 1113 cells (47), the SCFAs are associated with the reduction of the host's inflammation (48) and the relieving symptoms of other 1114 metabolic diseases (49). Among them, propionate reduces the 1116 serum cholesterol level and liver lipogenesis of rats (50). Our 1117 study showed that maternal synbiotic supplementation 1118 decreased propionate level in the colonic content. These findings suggested that maternal synbiotic supplementation increased <sup>1119</sup> certain gut microbiota species and promoted the production of <sup>1120</sup> specific metabolites. In addition, colonic 1121 *p\_Firmicutes;g\_Anaerostipes* abundance was positively correlated <sup>1122</sup> with acetate and SCFAs levels; and Fusobacteria and 1123 *p* Fusobacteria; *g* Fusobacterium abundances were negatively <sup>1124</sup> correlated with acetate level, suggesting that Anaerostipes might 1125 promote the SCFAs production while Fusobacteria and 1126 Fusobacterium would diminish them by a underlying mechanism <sup>1127</sup> 1128 that needs to be determined.

Cytokines can regulate the systemic inflammatory response of 1129 1130 the body. The SCFAs promote the migration of leukocytes to the 1131 inflammatory site and production of several anti- and proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, and 1132 1133 IL-10 (51). Acetate, propionate, and butyrate reduce the production of TNF- $\alpha$  (52), IL-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6 (53). Interestingly, we 1134 1135 found that maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased the plasma levels of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-2, and IL-6 in offspring piglets, 1136 suggesting that dietary synbiotics might reduce inflammation in 1137 1138 piglets via modifying several bacterial metabolite productions. 1139 Additionally, cytokines have the function of regulating immune 1140 and inflammatory responses and maintaining barrier integrity



1176 (54). In the present study, maternal synbiotics up-regulated 1177 (54). In the present study, maternal synbiotics up-regulated 1178 the mRNA expression of colonic mucosal IFN- $\alpha$ , suggesting 1178 that the synbiotic addition in the maternal diets enhances 1179 the immune response of suckling piglets via regulating gut 1180 microbiota composition and metabolic activity as previously 1181 proposed (55).

The SCFAs can modulate hormone secretion (e.g., Leptin) 1182 (56) and are involved in modulating the production of Ghrelin 1183 (57). CCK can suppress the appetite by acting on the central 1184 nervous system (58). Ghrelin can act on appetite (59) and satiety 1185 by regulating the gut microbial community of the host. The 1186 PP secretion can be stimulated by dietary fat (60). Our study 1187 showed that maternal synbiotic supplementation decreased the 1188 plasma levels of Ghrelin, CCK, and PP of piglets, suggesting 1189 1190 that maternal synbiotic addition might affect plasma hormone secretion of suckling piglet by mediating gut microbiota and 1191 their metabolites. 1192

When the intestinal mucosal barrier is damaged, the permeability of which would increase, thus causing intestinal inflammation or other diseases due to harmful substances invading the body tissues (55). Compared with the antibiotic

group, dietary synbiotic supplementation up-regulated the 1233 mRNA expression of colonic mucosal E-Cadherin, Occludin, 1234 ZO-1, and ZO-2, suggesting that the maternal synbiotic 1235 administration might improve tight-junction integrity of colonic 1236 intestinal epithelial cells via colonic microbiota. Shi et al. 1237 (61) found that the mixture of Lactobacillus species increased 1238 the colonic mucosal tight-junction proteins and relieved 1239 inflammation in antibiotic-supplemented mice by modulating 1240 their microbiota structure. Yin et al. (62) also showed that 1241 dietary XOS supplementation improved the intestinal barrier by 1242 up-regulating ZO-1 expression. Further work is required to 1243 explore the dose of synbiotic supplementation in maternal diets 1244 presenting an impact on the intestinal permeability in piglets. 1245

In conclusion, maternal synbiotic supplementation from 1246 pregnancy to lactation may improve glycolipid and protein 1247 metabolism, reduce oxidative stress level, and improve the 1248 intestinal health of suckling piglets. Notably, these findings 1249 provide a new perspective for manipulating gut microbiota 1250 with synbiotic addition to improve the nutrient metabolism 1251 and intestine health of offspring. The changes in maternal 1252 milk composition after maternal synbiotic supplementation need 1253

1313

1322

1323

1324

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336 1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

019

Q11

1256 1257

1255

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272 1273

1279

1280

1281 1282

1283

1284

Q9

## Q8 1258

# further analysis in the <mark>future</mark> to <mark>full</mark> interpret the findings of the present study.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/, PRJNA609410.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Subtropical Agriculture. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

1274 XK designed the experiment. CM, QG, WZ, QZ, HD, and WT
1275 carried out the animal trail, and sample collection and analysis.
1276 CM and WZ performed the statistical analyses. CM wrote the
1277 manuscript. FB and XK revised the manuscript. All authors
1278 reviewed this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Liang H, Yan L, Chuan Y, Xie P, Qin X, Yue X, et al. Postnatal nutritional restriction affects growth and immune function of piglets with intra-uterine growth restriction. *Br J Nutr.* (2015) 114:53–62. doi: 10.1017/S0007114515001579
- Noble MS, Rodriguez-Zas S, Cook JB, Bleck GT, Hurley WL, Wheeler MB. Lactational performance of first-parity transgenic gilts expressing bovine alpha-lactalbumin in their milk. J Anim Sci. (2002) 80:1090–6. doi: 10.2527/2002.8041090x
- 3. Stecher B. The roles of inflammation, nutrient availability and the commensal microbiota in enteric pathogen infection. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2015) 3:3.
   doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.MBP-0008-2014
- 4. Thibault R, Blachier F, Darcy-Vrillon B, de Coppet P, Bourreille A, Segain JP. Butyrate utilization by the colonic mucosa in inflammatory bowel diseases: a transport deficiency. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* (2010) 16:684–695. doi: 10.1002/ibd.21108
- 1297 5. Ziar H, Gérard P, Riazi A. Effect of prebiotic carbohydrates on growth, bile
   1298 survival and cholesterol uptake abilities of dairy-related bacteria. J Sci Food
   1299 Agric. (2014) 94:1184–90. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6395
- Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM, Ganu R, Petrosino J, Versalovic J. The placenta harbors a unique microbiome. *Sci Transl Med.* (2014) 6:237.
   doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3008599
- 1302 7. Doré J, Blottière H. The influence of diet on the gut microbiota and its consequences for health. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* (2015) 32:195–9. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2015.01.002
- Jin Y, Wu S, Zeng Z, Fu Z. Effects of environmental pollutants on gut microbiota. *Environ Pollut.* (2017) 222:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.045
- 1306 9. Nobel YR, Cox LM, Kirigin FF, Bokulich NA, Yamanishi S, Teitler I, et
  1307 al. Metabolic and metagenomic outcomes from early-life pulsed antibiotic
  1308 treatment. *Nat Commun.* (2015) 6:7486. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8486
- Maxwell A, Dowson CG, Spencer J. The molecular basis of antibiotic action and resistance. *J Mol Biol.* (2019) 431:3367–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.06.018
- 1311

## FUNDING

The present study was jointly supported by the National Key 1314 Research and Development Project (2018YFD0500404-4), 1315 National Natural Science Foundation of China (31772613), 1316 STS regional key project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences 1317 (KFJ-STS-QYZD-052), Talent Projects of Guangxi Science 1318 and Technology Department (AD17195043), and Special 1319 Funds for Construction of Innovative Provinces in Hunan 1320 Province (2019RS3022). 1321

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff and postgraduate students of Hunan1325Provincial Key Laboratory of Animal Nutritional Physiology and1326Metabolic Process for collecting samples, and technicians from1327CAS Key Laboratory of Agro-ecological Processes in Subtropical1328Region for providing technical assistance.1329

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets. 2020.575685/full#supplementary-material

- Mair C, Plitzner C, Domig KJ, Schedle K, Windisch W. Impact of inulin and a multispecies probiotic formulation on performance, microbial ecology and concomitant fermentation patterns in newly weaned piglets. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). (2010) 94:e164–e77. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2010.01000.x
- Umu Ö, Frank JA, Fangel JU, Østindjer Ma Silva (\$, Bolhuis EJ, et al. Resistant starch diet i nduces change i n the swine incrobiome and a predominance of beneficial bacterial populations. *Mrobiome.* (2015) 3:16. doi: 10.1186/s40168-015-0078-5
- Ma C, Zhang WH, Gao QK, Zhu Q, Song MT, Ding H, et al. Dietary synbiotic supplementation alters reproductive performance, plasma biochemical parameters, fecal microbiota composition, and metabolite levels in pregnant and lactating sows. *J Funct Food*. (2020) 75: 104221. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2020.104221
- Hu G, Li FN, Dan YH, Yin YL, Kong XF. Glutamic acid supplementation reduces body f at weight i n finishing pigs when provided solely or i n combination with arginine and i t i s associated with colonic propionate and butyrate concentrations. *Food Funct.* (2019) 10:4693–704. doi: 10.1039/ c9fo00520j
- Duan Y Zeng L, Li F, Mig WLi Y Guo Qet al. Effect of branched-chain amino acid r atio on the proliferation, differentiation, and expression l evels of key regulators i nvolved i n protein metabolism of mocytes. Nutrition. (2017) 36:8–16. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2016.10.016
- Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. *Nat Protoc.* (2008) 3:1101. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.73
- McArdle BH, Anderson MJ. Fitting multivariate models to community data: A comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. *Ecology*. (2001) 82:290–297. doi: 10.1890/0012-96582001082[0290:Fmmtcd]2.0.Co;2
- 18. White JR, Ngarajan NPop Mstatistical methods f or detecting differentially abundant f eatures i n clinical metagenomic samples. PLoS Comput Biol. (2009) 5:e1000352. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000352
   1362
- Chen Y Gong X Li G, Lin MHuo Y Li S, et al. Effects of dietary alfalfa flavonoids extraction on growth performance, organ development and blood biochemical i ndexes of Yangzhou geese aged f rom 28 to 70 days. Anim Nutr. (2016) 2:318–322. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2016.09.004

1431

1432

1433

1435

1436

1437

1456

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1472

1475

1482

- 20. Shakeri H, Hadaegh H, Abedi F, Tajabadi-Ebrahimi M, Mazroii N, Ghandi Y, 1369 et al. Consumption of synbiotic bread decreases triacylglycerol and vldl levels 1370 while increasing hdl levels in serum from patients with type-2 diabetes. Lipids. 1371 (2014) 49:695-701. doi: 10.1007/s11745-014-3901-z
- 1372 21. Blachier F, Mariotti F, Huneau JF, Tome D. Effects of amino 1373 acid-derived luminal metabolites on the colonic epithelium and physiopathological consequences. Amino Acids. (2007) 33:547-62. 1374 doi: 10.1007/s00726-006-0477-9 1375
- 22. Cole NA, Clark RN, Todd RW, Richardson CR, Gueye A, Greene LW, et 1376 al. Influence of dietary crude protein concentration and source on potential 1377 ammonia emissions from beef cattle manure. J Anim Sci. (2005) 83:722-31. 1378 doi: 10.2527/2005.833722x
- Tian QY, Zeng ZK, Zhang YX, Long SF, Piao XS. Effect of L- or DL-methionine 23. 1379 supplementation on nitrogen retention, serum amino acid concentrations 1380 and blood metabolites profile in starter pigs. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci. (2016) 1381 29:689-94. doi: 10.5713/ajas.15.0730
- 1382 Wu G, Bazer FW, Dai Z, Li D, Wang J, Wu Z. Amino acid nutrition in animals: 24 1383 protein synthesis and beyond. Annu Rev Anim Biosci. (2014) 2:387-417. doi: 10.1146/annurey-animal-022513-114113 1384
- 25. Mao X, Zeng X, Huang Z, Wang J, Qiao S. Leptin and leucine 1385 synergistically regulate protein metabolism in C2C12 myotubes and mouse 1386 skeletal muscles. Br J Nutr. (2013) 110:256-64. doi: 10.1017/s00071145120 1387 04849
- 26. Wang WW, Qiao SY, Li DF. Amino acids and gut function. Amino Acids. 1388 (2009) 37:105-10. doi: 10.1007/s00726-008-0152-4 1389
- 27. Li P, Yin YL, Li FN, Kim SW, Wu GY. Amino acids and immune function. Br 1390 J Nutr. (2007) 98:237-52. doi: 10.1017/S000711450769936X29
- 1391 Ripps H, Shen, W. Review: taurine: a "very essential" amino acid. Mol Vision. 28 1392 (2012) 18:2673-86. doi:http://hdl.handle.net/1912/5720.
- Salehi-Abargouei A, Ghiasvand R, Hariri M. Prebiotics, prosynbiotics 1393 29. and synbiotics: can they reduce plasma oxidative stress parameters? 1394 A systematic review. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. (2017) 9:1-11. 1395 doi: 10.1007/s12602-016-9248-4
- 1396 30. Hussain T, Tan B, Yin YL, Blachier F, Tossou MCB, Rahu N. Oxidative stress 1397 and inflammation: what polyphenols can do for us? Oxid Med Cell Longev. (2016) 2016:7432797. doi: 10.1155/2016/7432797 1398
- 31. Zhu LH, Zhao KL, Chen XL, Xu JX. Impact of weaning and an antioxidant 1399 blend on intestinal barrier function and antioxidant status in pigs. J Anim Sci. 1400 (2012) 90:2581-9. doi: 10.2527/jas.2012-4444
- 1401 32. Vinolo MA, Rodrigues HG, Nachbar RT, Curi R. Regulation of inflammation 1402 by short chain fatty acids. Nutrients. (2011) 3:858-76. doi: 10.3390/nu3100858
- 33. Ito M, Sawada H, Ohishi K, Yoshida Y, Yokoi W, Watanabe T, et 1403 al. Suppressive effects of bifidobacteria on lipid peroxidation in the 1404 colonic mucosa of iron-overloaded mice. J Dairy Sci. (2001) 84:1583-9. 1405 doi: 10.3168/ids.S0022-0302(01)74591-2
- 1406 34. Blanton LV, Barratt MJ, Charbonneau MR, Ahmed T, Gordon JI. Childhood 1407 undernutrition, the gut microbiota, and microbiota-directed therapeutics. Science. (2016) 352:1533. doi: 10.1126/science.aad9359 1408
- 35. De Waard M, Brands B, Kouwenhoven SMP, Lerma JC, Crespo-Escobar 1409 P, Koletzko B, et al. Optimal nutrition in lactating women and its effect 1410 on later health of offspring: a systematic review of current evidence and 1411 recommendations (Early Nutrition project). Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. (2017) 57.4003-16 doi: 10.1080/10408398.2016.1158149 1412
- 36. Clarke SF, Murphy EF, O'Sullivan O, Lucey AJ, Humphreys M, Hogan A, et 1413 al. Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial diversity. 1414 Gut. (2014) 63:1913-20. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306541
- 1415 37. Wilmanski T, Rappaport N, Earls JC, Magis AT, Manor O, Lovejoy J, et 1416 al. Blood metabolome predicts gut microbiome a-diversity in humans. Nat Biotechnol. (2019) 37:1217-28. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0233-9 1417
- 38. Guevarra RB, Hong SH, Cho JH, Kim BR, Shin J, Lee JH, et al. The 1418 dynamics of the piglet gut microbiome during the weaning transition in 1419 association with health and nutrition. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. (2018) 9:54. 1420 doi: 10.1186/s40104-018-0269-6
- 39. Tyakht AV, Kostryukova ES, Popenko AS, Belenikin MS, Pavlenko 1421 AV, Larin AK, et al. Human gut microbiota community structures in 1422 urban and rural populations in Russia. Nat Commun. (2013) 4:2469. 1423 doi: 10.1038/ncomms3469 1424
- 1425

O20

- 40. Flint HJ, Duncan SH, Scott KP, Louis P. Interactions and competition within 1426 the microbial community of the human colon: links between diet and 1427 health. Environ Microbiol. (2007) 9:1101-11. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.0 1428 1281 x 1429
- 41. Eeckhaut V, Machiels K, Perrier C, Romero C, Maes S, Flahou B, et al. Butyricicoccus pullicaecorum in inflammatory bowel disease. Gut. (2013) 62:1745-52. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303611
- 42. Kamke J, Kittelmann S, Soni P, Li Y, Tavendale M, Ganesh S, et al. Rumen metagenome and metatranscriptome analyses of low methane yield sheep reveals a Sharpea-enriched microbiome characterised by lactic acid formation 1434 and utilisation. Microbiome. (2016) 4:56. doi: 10.1186/s40168-016-0201-2
- Honma K, Ruscitto A, Sharma A. β-glucanase activity of the oral bacterium 43. tannerella forsythia contributes to the growth of a partner species, fusobacterium nucleatum, in cobiofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol. (2017) 84: e01759-17. doi: 10.1128/aem.01759-17
- 1438 44. Nosho K, Sukawa Y, Adachi Y, Ito M, Mitsuhashi K, Kurihara H, et al. Association of Fusobacterium nucleatum with immunity and molecular 1439 alterations in colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol. (2016) 22:557-6. 1440 doi: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i2.557 1441
- 45. Hermann-Bank ML, Skovgaard K, Stockmarr A, Strube ML, Larsen N, 1442 Kongsted H, et al. Characterization of the bacterial gut microbiota of piglets 1443 suffering from new neonatal porcine diarrhoea. BMC Vet Res. (2015) 11:139. 1444 doi: 10.1186/s12917-015-0419-4
- Chambers ES, Viardot A, Psichas A, Morrison DJ, Murphy KG, Zac-Varghese 46. 1445 SE, et al. Effects of targeted delivery of propionate to the human colon on 1446 appetite regulation, body weight maintenance and adiposity in overweight 1447 adults. Gut. (2015) 64:1744-54. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307913
- 1448 Topping DL, Clifton PM, Short-chain fatty acids and human colonic function: 47. roles of resistant starch and nonstarch polysaccharides. Physiol Rev. (2001) 1449 81:1031-64. doi: 10.1152/physrev.2001.81.3.1031 1450
- 48. Rahat-Rozenbloom S, Fernandes J, Gloor GB, Wolever TM. Evidence for 1451 greater production of colonic short-chain fatty acids in overweight than lean 1452 humans. Int J Obes (Lond). (2014) 38:1525-31. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2014.46 1453 Pluznick, JL. Microbial short-chain fatty acids and blood pressure regulation. 49
- Curr Hypertens Rep. (2017) 19:25. doi: 10.1007/s11906-017-0722-5 1454 1455
- Delzenne NM, Williams CM, Prebiotics and lipid metabolism. Curr 50 Opin Lipidol. (2002) 13:61. doi: 10.1097/00041433-200202000-00009
- Vinolo MA, Rodrigues HG, Hatanaka E, Hebeda CB, Farsky SH, Curi R. 51. 1457 Short-chain fatty acids stimulate the migration of neutrophils to 1458 inflammatory sites. Clin Sci. (2009) 117:331-8. doi: 10.1042/cs20080642
- Vinolo MA, Rodrigues HG, Hatanaka E, Sato FT, Sampaio SC, Curi 52. 1459 R. Suppressive effect of short-chain fatty acids on production of 1460 proinflammatory mediators by neutrophils. J Nutr Biochem. (2011) 22:849-1461 55. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2010.07.009 1462
- 53. Cox MA, Jackson J, Stanton M, Rojas-Triana A, Bober L, Laverty M, et al. Short-chain fatty acids act as antiinflammatory mediators by regulating prostaglandin E-2 and cytokines. World J Gastroenterol. (2009) 15:5549-57. doi: 10.3748/wig.15.5549
- 54. Andrews C, McLean MH, Durum SK. Cytokine tuning of intestinal epithelial function. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:1270. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01270
- Frank DN, Amand ALS, Feldman RA, Boedeker EC, Harpaz N, Pace, et al. 55. Molecular-phylogenetic characterization of microbial community imbalances in human inflammatory bowel diseases. P Natl Acad Sci USA. (2007) 104:13780-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706625104
- 56. Zaibi MS, Stocker CJ, O'Dowd J, Davies A, Bellahcene M, Cawthorne M 1471 A, et al. Roles of GPR41 and GPR43 in leptin secretory responses of murine adipocytes to short chain fatty acids. FEBS Lett. (2010) 584:2381-6. 1473 doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2010.04.027
- 57 Delzenne NM., Cani PD, Daubioul C, Neyrinck AM. Impact of inulin and 1474 oligofructose on gastrointestinal peptides. Br J Nutr. (2005) 93:S157-S61. doi: 10.1079/bjn20041342
- 1476 58. Fakhry J, Wang J, Martins P, Fothergill LJ, Hunne B, Prieur P, et al. 1477 Distribution and characterisation of CCK containing entero endocrine cells of the mouse small and large intestine. Cell Tissue Res. (2017) 369:245-53. 1478 doi: 10.1007/s00441-017-2612-1 1479
- 59. Meier U, Gressner AM. Endocrine regulation of energy metabolism: 1480 review of pathobiochemical and clinical chemical aspects of leptin, 1481

1483	ghrelin, adiponectin, and resistin. <i>Clin Chem.</i> (2004) 50:1511–25.	<b>Conflict of Interest:</b> The authors declare that the research was conducted in the
1484	60. Cani PD, Delzenne NM. Gut microflora as a target for energy and	absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
1485	metabolic homeostasis. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. (2007) 10:729-34.	
1486	doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e3282efdebb	Copyright © 2020 Ma, Gao, Zhang, Zhu, Tang, Blachier, Ding and Kong.
1487	61. Shi Y, Zhao X, Zhao J, Zhang H, Zhai Q, Narbad A, et al. A mixture of Lactobacillus species isolated from traditional fermented foods promote	This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BV). The use distribution or reproduction
1488	recovery from antibiotic-induced intestinal disruption in mice. <i>J Appl</i>	in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the
1409	Microbiol. (2018) 124:842–54. doi: 10.1111/jam.13687	copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this
1490	62. Yin J, Li FN, Kong XF, Wen CY, Guo QP, Zhang LY, et al. Dietary xylo-	journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use,
1491	oligosaccharide improves intestinal functions in weaned piglets. <i>Food Funct.</i>	distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these
1493	(2017) 10.2/01-09. 001. 10.1039/0810024830	terms.
1494		
1495		
1496		
1497		
1498		
1499		
1500		
1501		
1502		
1503		
1504		
1505		
1506		
1507		
1508		
1509		
1510		
1511		
1512		
1513		
1514		
1515		
1517		
1518		
1519		
1520		
1521		
1522		
1523		
1524		
1525		
1526		
1527		
1528		
1529		
1530		
1531		
1532		
1533		
1534		
1535		
1536		
1537		
1538		
1539		

November 2020 | Volume 7 | Article 575685