

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Soil Biology and Biochemistry



journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/soilbio

The influence of biocrusts on the spatial pattern of soil bacterial communities: A case study at landscape and slope scales



Yan-gui Su^{a,b}, Ying-Wu Chen^c, Francisco M. Padilla^d, Yuan-ming Zhang^{b,**}, Gang Huang^{a,*}

^a Institute of Geography Science, Fujian Normal University, 8 Shangshan Road, Cangshan District, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350007, China

^b Key Laboratory of Biogeography and Bioresource in Arid Land, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 818 South Beijing Road,

Urumqi, Xinjiang, 830011, China

^c Forestry College, Henan University of Science and Technology, Kaiyuan Road 263, Luoyang, 471000, China

^d Department of Agronomy, University of Almería, Carretera de Sacramento s/n, 04120, La Cañada, Almería, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Bacterial community Biocrusts Desert ecosystem Distance-decay relationship

ABSTRACT

Biocrusts are a functional unit in arid and semiarid areas, their development has significant recruitment and screening effects on soil microbial communities. Microbial composition of biocrusts exhibits significant geographical patterns, but the regulation of biocrust development on the geographical patterns in unclear. In this study, we examined bacterial communities from cyanobacterial-lichen and moss crust soils in five desert habitats in northern China, and evaluated the relative importance of environmental factors and biocrust development versus geographic distance to the distance-decay relationship. To explore the effects of the sampling scale on geographical patterns, we also examined soil bacterial communities along the slope of sand dunes. Across the five desert habitats, bacterial α -diversity, phylogenetic diversity, and the dominant bacterial phyla in cyanobacteriallichen crusts did not increase consistently with precipitation increase, instead bacterial community composition was mainly impacted by soil nutrients (SOC, TP) and biocrust development (thickness, cover and Chl a). Bacterial β-diversity in both cyanobacterial-lichen and moss crusts showed strong distance-decay relationships across the landscape scale; bacterial community composition in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts differed significantly among five desert habitats. Environmental and biocrust development variables explained bacterial community variation better than geographic distance, suggesting a weaker influence of dispersal limitation on the bacterial communities in biocrusts. In addition, the distance-decay rate was higher at the slope than that at the landscape scale, suggesting a fast turnover rate of bacterial community communities induced by topography. Our study implies that soil attributes and biocrust development have more profound impacts on soil bacterial communities than precipitation, which provides novel insights into the geographical distribution and assemblage of soil bacterial communities in deserts. Moreover, our study is significant with respect to understanding the potential responses of soil microbial communities to climate change in desert areas under the scenario of increasing precipitation variation.

1. Introduction

Geographical distribution patterns of plants and microorganisms have been studied at local (Wang et al., 2015b, 2017; Scola et al., 2018) and continental scales (Fierer and Jackson, 2006; Wang et al., 2017). Precipitation (Scola et al., 2018), soil salinity (Zhang et al., 2019), soil pH (Fierer and Jackson, 2006) and soil texture (Pasternak et al., 2013) are crucial determinants for the geographical variation of soil microbial communities in arid and semiarid regions. Until now, however, few studies have focused on the modification effects of biocrust development on soil microbes. Biocrusts are widely distributed and make up 40% of the soil surface living cover, and they are significant to ecosystem sustainability and stabilization (Belnap and Lange, 2003; Weber et al., 2016). Biocrusts show dynamic development and succession after their inhabitation in bare soils, during this process, soil nutrient accumulate and water availability changes, which may generate different microbial communities from bare soils (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2017). However, it remains unclear how spatial patterns of soil bacterial

* Corresponding author. ** Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107721

Received 3 July 2019; Received in revised form 14 January 2020; Accepted 14 January 2020 Available online 20 January 2020 0038-0717/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: zhangym@ms.xjb.ac.cn (Y.-m. Zhang), hg80@fjnu.edu.cn (G. Huang).

communities occur in terms of biocrust development (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2001, 2003; Dvořák et al., 2012).

In the process of biocrust development, the transition of dominant functional groups from cyanobacteria to lichen and moss alters the structure of bacterial communities due to different nursing effects on soil bacteria, consequently, this generates contrasting bacterial communities (Steven et al., 2013; Velasco et al., 2017). However, our understanding of bacterial community variation along successional sequences of biocrusts remains limited (Zhang, 2014; Liu et al., 2017). Studies of this knowledge gap could improve our understanding of biocrust functions in the process of ecological restoration of sandy deserts (Bowker, 2007; Winkler et al., 2018; Letendre et al., 2019), and are critical to predicting desert ecosystem responses under climate change scenarios (Weber et al., 2016).

Recent advances in molecular techniques have enabled studies of the microorganisms which flourish in barren soils (McHugh et al., 2017). Microbial communities vary with environmental variables across a geographical scale, which is systematically heterogeneous but not cosmopolitan (Green and Bohannan, 2006). Environmental filtering and dispersal limitation are two of the most fundamental mechanisms shaping the geographical pattern of microbial communities (Green and Bohannan, 2006). The geographical pattern of bacterial communities is largely determined by dispersal limitation, which is demonstrated by a distance-decay relationship in many studies (Martiny et al., 2011). Besides of the dispersal limitation, increasing geographical distance is usually accompanied with increasing environmental differences, which increasingly enhance community differences as species are selected from local taxa pool based on their niche preferences (Bell, 2010). Growing evidence supports the relative importance of environmental filtering in explaining geographical patterns of microbial communities (Bell, 2010), including soil pH (Chu et al., 2010; Rousk et al., 2010), soil texture and carbon content (Fierer and Jackson, 2006), soil organic carbon quality (Lauber et al., 2008), and aridity (Maestre et al., 2015). The discrepancies among studies may be partly due to differences in ecosystem categories (Angel et al., 2010; Bell, 2010; Bachar et al., 2012), microbial groups and taxa (Angel et al., 2010; Dvořák et al., 2012), and inconsistent sampling or sequencing methodologies (Jones et al., 2009; Dvořák et al., 2012). Environmental variables shaping microbial communities differ in terms of the geographical scale (Bell, 2010; Nemergut et al., 2011). For instance, bacterial and fungal communities are determined by environmental filtering at a local scale (Pasternak et al., 2013) and by dispersal limitation at the continental scale (Green and Bohannan, 2006).

Biocrusts usually show sequential development, with thickness and cover usually increasing and the dominant taxa shifting from cyanobacteria and algae to lichen and moss with improved soil properties at local scale (Li et al., 2012; Su et al., 2013a, b; Zhang et al., 2016). Therefore, it is reasonable to infer that soil matrix and biocrust development may be a potential filter to microbial communities at a local scale. The relative cover of early successional biocrusts (cyanobacterial crusts) versus late successional biocrusts (moss crust) generally decreases with increasing precipitation at the geographical scale (Li et al., 2017). Soil organic carbon and nutrient contents, as well as microbial biomass in moss crusts are much higher than those in cyanobacterial crusts, moreover, microbial communities vary with the succession of biocrusts at local scale (Housman et al., 2006 & 2007; Li et al., 2010). Microbial communities in biocrusts have been investigated with respect to limited regions and specific taxa (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2003; Chamizo et al., 2012; Blay et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017). However, it remains unclear how microbial communities in biocrusts differ at geographical scale.

Here, we conducted two independent experiments to investigate the variation and the factors driving bacterial communities in biocrusts. In the first experiment, we examined soil bacterial communities from cyanobacterial-lichen and moss crusts in five desert habitats stretching 2000 km in northern China, and evaluated the relative importance of

environmental factors and biocrust development versus geographic distance to a distance–decay relationship. In the second experiment, we examined soil bacterial communities at slope scale, this experiment combining the first experiment explored the effects of the sampling scale on the spatial pattern. We addressed the following two hypotheses: (1) soil and biocrust traits would regulate the geographical pattern of bacterial communities, and the rate of distance decay (the slope of the distance–decay curve) would be different between two successional biocrusts, since soil attributes and biocrust properties exhibited a sequential variation pattern along the precipitation gradient at landscape scale; (2) environmental variables would contribute more to the microbial variation at slope scale than that at landscape scale due to the substantial successional process of biocrusts in micro-habitats along the sand dune slope.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site description

For experiment I, the study sites were distributed in five desert habitats of northern China (Fig. 1A, Table S1) where biocrusts were well developed and played key ecological functions (Su et al., 2013a, b; Zhao et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017). The annual precipitation ranged from 75 mm in the center of the Gurbantunggut Desert, in northwestern China, to 559 mm in the Loess Plateau, in the middle of northern China. The aridity index ranged from 0.04 to 0.28 (Table S1). Detailed climatic, soil and vegetation properties have been described in previous studies (Zhao et al., 2010; Su et al., 2013a, b; Li et al., 2017).

For experiment II, the study site was located at the center of the Gurbantunggut Desert (45.43N, 87.41E) in northwestern China. The mean annual precipitation is 75 mm, and the mean potential annual evaporation is 1844 mm. The mean annual temperature is 7.5 $^\circ$ C. Vegetation is dominated by Haloxylon persicum Bunge ex Boiss. Et Buhse at the top and upslope of sand dunes, H. ammodendron (C.A. Meyer) Bunge and Ephedra distachya L. at the downslope and lowland. Besides shrubs and semi-shrubs, ephemerals and ephemeroids boom in spring and early summer, reaching a cover of 40%. This region is characterized with south-north fixed and semi-fixed sand dunes, with a slope gradient of 12–23°. The vertical height of the sand dunes is in the range of 7–10 m, the slope length is in the range of 15-50 m, the inter-dune width between two adjacent sand dunes usually reaches 100-220 m (Wang et al., 2012a,b). From the top to the lowland of a typical sand dune, the type of biocrusts were cyanobacterial and physical crusts on the top and the upland of a sanddune, cyanobacterial-algal crusts on the upland and midland of a sanddune, cyanobacterial-lichen crusts on the lowland and the inter-dune between two sand dunes, moreover, moss crusts were sparsely distributed on the lowland and inter-dunes (Fig. 1B).

2.2. Geographical location and climate data

The latitude, longitude, and altitude were determined using a GPS (Magellan GPS315, Magellan, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual temperature (MAT) were calculated from the WorldClim database (http://www.worldclim.org/) using ArcGIS 10.0 Spatial Analysis tool (SERI, Redlands, CA, USA) based on the geographical coordinates (Hijmans et al., 2005). Aridity index was calculated as the mean annual precipitation dividing mean annual evaporation.

2.3. Soil sampling, biocrust development index and soil microbial biomass measurements

Microbial community of biocrusts varies widely even at the millimeter scale (Grondin and Johansen, 1993; Wheeler et al., 1993). To gauge the diversity and capture the heterogeneity of each habitat, three $10,000 \text{ m}^2$ plots with a distance of 1–2 km between plots were set up in (A)



Fig. 1. Sampling site locations of the study. A) shows the distribution of sampling sites in northern China for experiment I. Site1 and Site2 were in the center and southern margin of Gurbantunggut Desert with MAP of 75 mm and 147 mm. Site 3 lied in the southern margin of Tengger Desert with a MAP of 186 mm, Site 4 lied in the Mu Us Desert with a MAP of 393 mm and Site 5 lied in the Loess Plateau with a MAP of 559 mm, B) shows the distribution of sampling sites and the spatial pattern of dominant biocrusts in a typical sand dune in the southeastern Gurbantunggut Desert in experiment II. Brown dots with black circles indicated physical crusts, light green dots indicate cyanobacterial crusts, dark solid squares with green border indicate cyanobacterial, algal and lichen mixed crusts, and yellow symbols indicate moss crusts. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

each habitat for experiment I, five individual samples (cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts) were collected from each plot and mixed as a composite sample. There were three replicates for each biocrust in a region. For experiment II, three typical sand dunes were selected, and five locations (top, upslope, downslope, lowland with cvanobacterial-lichen crusts and lowland with moss crusts) were set up along the windward slope in each sand dune (biocrusts colonized windward slope). In each location, five individual samples were collected and mixed as a composite sample, in total three replicates for each location. Bacterial communities primarily inhabit the top millimeter or centimeters in dryland soils where the majority of the sequestered carbon, nutrients and living biota reside (Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2019). Considering the maximum thickness of biocrusts in our study and the common sampling depth in arid and semiarid regions (Steven et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015a, 2017; Liu et al., 2017), the top 0-5 cm of biocrusts and sub-soil were collected intact by pushing a sterile soil core (5 cm in diameter, 5 cm in depth) into the soil and then sliding a flat metal sheet under it to remove the intact core. The collected samples were preserved in an ice box, taken to the laboratory and immediately sieved (1 mm sieve) to remove stones, plant roots, and moss. Samples were divided into two parts, one was air-dried for soil property analysis and the other was stored at -80 °C for bacterial community analysis. Specifically, nucleic acids were extracted from homogenized crust samples within 2 weeks of collection.

The development of biocrusts was generally visually assessed at a small scale using different standards (Belnap et al., 2008; Chamizo et al., 2012; Lan et al., 2013). In our study, the cover of moss exceeding 85% was regarded as a moss crust; similarly, the coverage of lichen and cyanobacteria over 85% was a cyanobacterial-lichen crust (Lan et al., 2013). The cover of biocrusts was measured using a point sampling frame (2.5 cm \times 2.5 cm grid; 169 points per 30 cm \times 30 cm quadrat, Li 2010). For thickness measurement, et al.. we lifted cyanobacterial-lichen crusts up with tweezers, and gently shook them until no soil fell from the biocrusts, and then measured the crust thickness with a vernier caliper. Moss rhizoids are critical to the crust thickness; no consensus has been reached in terms of how to measure the thickness of moss crusts. Here, moss stem and rhizoid length was included in the thickness.

Soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) were estimated using a chloroform fumigation extraction method (Brookes, 1985). Paired 20-g fresh soil samples that were either unfumigated or fumigated with alcohol-free CHCl₃ for 24 h were extracted with 0.5 M K_2SO_4 (1:2.5 v/v). C and N were analyzed using a TOC analyzer (multi N/C 3100, Jena, Germany). The efficiency factors for MBC ($K_c = 0.38$ (Vance et al., 1987),) and MBN ($K_n = 0.54$ (Brookes et al., 1985),) were used to calculate the respective biomass.

The chlorophyll *a* content of cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and the stem and leaf of moss in biocrusts were analyzed by extraction with 98% ethanol at 4 $^{\circ}$ C for 24h and measured by absorption at 649 nm and 665 nm using a spectrophotometer (UV-1700 PharmaSpec, Kyoto, Japan, Li et al., 2001).

2.4. Soil property measurements

Soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total potassium, total salt, soil bulk density, soil silt and clay content, soil pH, and electrical conductivity were used to represent the basic soil properties in our study. The soil organic carbon (SOC) content was determined using the dichromate oxidation method (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). The total nitrogen (TN) content was measured using a Kjeltec System 1026 Distilling Unit (Tecator AB, Hgan، Sweden). Soil total phosphorus and potassium contents were measured using the methods described by Olsenard and Sommers (1982). Total soil salt content was analyzed using the methods described by the Nanjing Institute of Soil Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (1980). Soil bulk density was measured using a cutting ring (5 cm in inner diameter and 5 cm in depth), calculated as soil weight after oven-dried at 105 °C for 48h dividing the volume of the cutting ring. The soil particle size was analyzed using the pipette method (Loveland and Walley, 2001). The soil pH and electrical conductivity were determined in a 1:5 ratio soil:water suspension using a calibrated pH meter (Mettler Toledo FE28, Zurich, Switzerland) and electrical device (Mettler Toledo FE20, Zurich, Switzerland). Soil mass water content was measured monthly from May to September and calculated as soil volumetric water content.

2.5. DNA extraction and Illumina MiSeq sequencing of bacterial communities

DNA extraction was performed following the manufacturer's protocol using the MOBIO Power Soil DNA Isolation Kit (CAT: 12,888-100, MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). For MiSeq sequencing, the universal forward and reverse 515F primers (5' -GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') (5'-GGACand 806R TACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3'), respectively, were used to amplify the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene. A unique 12 bp barcode was added at the 5' end of the reverse primer, and each sample had its own unique barcode. The resulting PCR product was approximately 281 bp. The PCR mixture (25 µl) contained 1x PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, deoxynucleoside triphosphate at 0.4 mM, each primer at 1.0 μ M, 0.5 U ExTaq (TaKaRa, Dalian), and 10 ng of soil genomic DNA. The PCR amplification program included an initial denaturation at 98 °C for 1 min, followed by 30 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR products were purified using the GeneJET™ Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). All purified PCR products were mixed at equal molar amounts for library construction using the TruSeq DNA kit and sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at the Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

Sequence processing, clustering, taxonomic assignments, and biodiversity calculations were performed with the QIIME (V1.7.0, http://qiime.org/index.html, Caporaso et al., 2010). First, sequences were de-multiplexed and the primer and barcode sequences were removed. The sequences with high quality (length > 260 bp, without ambiguous 'N' bases, and an average base quality score > 30) were used for downstream analyses. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were generated by an open-reference OTU picking protocol, where the sequences were clustered against the GreenGene Database, with a 97%

similarity cutoff. Taxonomic assignments were performed against the SILVA (SSU115) 16S rRNA database using a confidence threshold of 70% (Jörg, 2007; McDonald et al., 2012). The raw reads were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database (accession number: SRP229704).

2.6. Statistical analysis

The differences in soil properties, biocrust attributes, MBC, MBN, bacterial richness, α-diversity, and phylogenetic diversity among sampling sites were all analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Correlation between alpha-diversity, phylogenetic diversity and richness with climate, soil and biocrust properties were analyzed by SPSS software (SPSS 13.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The other statistical analyses were conducted using the program R v.3.5.1 (R Development Core Team, 2018). The non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was conducted with the "metaMDS" (Minchin, 1987) and the multiple response permutation procedure using 'mrpp' was used to test the bacterial community composition differences among sampling sites. Monte Carlo permutation test (permutest) and "envfit" functions (Legendre et al., 2011) were used to test the significant environmental variables during NMDS analysis. The "Bray-Curtis" dissimilarity matrix for the bacterial community composition and the "Euclidean" dissimilarity matrices for geographic distance, soil and biocrust variables were constructed with the "vegdis" function (Oksanen et al., 2016). The significant PCNM vectors constructed with the "pcnm" (Borcard and Legendre, 2002) and environmental variables were used as explanatory variables in NMDS for variation partition analysis with "varpart" function (Peres-Neto et al., 2006). BioEnv procedure was performed to select the environmental variables which were further used to construct environmental distance matrix (Clarke and Ainsworth, 1993). Mantel tests with 999 permutations (Legendre and Legendre, 2012) were used to examine the correlation (Pearson's rank correlation) between geographic, soil variables, biocrust properties and bacterial community distance. Moreover, linear regression was used to examine the relationship between the geographic distance and similarity in bacterial composition among samples. Arrhenius (log-log) function was used to investigate the species-geographic distance relationship in the form: ln(Ss) = constant-2z ln(D), where Ss is the pairwise similarity in bacterial community composition, D is the geographic distance and z is a measure of the species turnover rate across distance. A one-sample *t*-test between the original slope and a mean of bootstrapped slopes by random pairing of the original set (permuted 999 times) was performed for testing the significance of z values (Zhou et al., 2008).

3. Results

3.1. Biocrust and soil properties

At the landscape scale, the thickness and cover of cyanobacteriallichen crusts decreased from hyper-arid (S1) to semi-arid habitat (S5) (Table 1), the cover of moss crusts was significantly higher in semi-arid than in hyper-arid habitat, and the thickness showed no consistent pattern across five habitats (Table 1). Biocrust thickness and cover increased significantly with their development from the top to the lowland of the sand dunes (Table 1), consistently, MBC and MBN also increased significantly (Table 1).

Soil properties differed significantly among the sampling sites at both the landscape and slope scales (Table S2, all P < 0.05). Soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, soil silt and clay content increased, soil bulk density and pH decreased with precipitation increase at landscape scale (Table S2). At the slope scale, soil organic carbon and total nitrogen, soil silt and clay contents all increased, soil bulk density and pH decreased from the top to the lowland of sand dunes (Table S2).

Table 1

Biocrust properties comprising thickness (mm), cover (%) and Chl a content (μ g cm⁻²) of crusts layer and soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC, mg kg⁻¹) and nitrogen (MBN, mg kg⁻¹) contents at 0–5 cm soil layer. Values are mean \pm SE (n = 3). S1–S5 indicated five sampling desert habitats as demonstrated in Fig. 1, with annual mean precipitation denoted in brackets. Different small letters indicate significant difference between sampling habitats at landscape scale and between sampling location at sand dune scale.

Site	Thickness (mm)	Cover (%)	Chl a (µg cm ⁻²)	MBC (mg kg ⁻¹)	MBN (mg kg ⁻¹)
Geographical so	cale				
Cyanobcterial-lie	chen crusts				
S1 (75 mm)	1.77 \pm	$\textbf{68.33} \pm$	$3.55 \pm$	313.15 \pm	$28.76~\pm$
	0.09a	1.67a	0.34a	23.04a	1.77a
S2 (147 mm)	1.23 \pm	44.33 \pm	$2.56~\pm$	234.71 \pm	$29.32~\pm$
	0.09b	2.96b	0.35b	73.19 ab	9.55a
S3 (186 mm)	$0.90~\pm$	$\textbf{38.33} \pm$	$1.70~\pm$	193.62 \pm	$24.92 \ \pm$
	0.06c	1.67c	0.23c	28.70b	5.33a
S4 (393 mm)	$0.83~\pm$	$\textbf{35.00} \pm$	$1.28~\pm$	336.64 \pm	$31.07~\pm$
	0.09c	2.89c	0.20cd	50.17a	13.91a
S5 (559 mm)	$\textbf{0.70}~\pm$	$\textbf{25.00} \pm$	$1.16~\pm$	384.47 \pm	$36.50~\pm$
	0.06c	2.89d	0.14d	37.62c	3.44a
Moss crusts					
S1 (75 mm)	$\textbf{22.87}~\pm$	5.67 \pm	$41.23~\pm$	424.40 \pm	$36.98~\pm$
	1.36a	0.67a	3.50a	79.08a	4.63a
S2 (147 mm)	15.73 \pm	$2.60~\pm$	$26.20~\pm$	$\textbf{365.07} \pm$	$25.80~\pm$
	1.45b	0.70b	2.89b	35.28 ab	6.71a
S3 (186 mm)	17.40 \pm	8.33 \pm	$35.90~\pm$	335.05 \pm	$\textbf{45.98} \pm$
	1.19b	2.03c	3.10 ab	30.74b	17.94a
S4 (393 mm)	$21.83~\pm$	$9.00~\pm$	$26.60~\pm$	461.56 \pm	$45.00~\pm$
	1.45a	1.00c	2.90b	85.63a	7.64a
S5 (559 mm)	18.30 \pm	10.67 \pm	$35.85~\pm$	537.41 \pm	$84.07~\pm$
	1.34 ab	2.33c	3.17 ab	75.37a	37.67b
Sand dune scale	e				
Тор	$\textbf{0.00} \pm$	$0.00~\pm$	$0.00~\pm$	42.78 \pm	$9.66~\pm$
	0.00a	0.00a	0.00a	15.61a	5.84a
Upslope	$0.83~\pm$	$29.00~\pm$	$0.52 \pm$	148.50 \pm	$\textbf{8.81}~\pm$
	0.09b	2.08b	0.07b	9.35b	0.71b
Downslope	1.30 \pm	42.33 \pm	1.38 \pm	$231.73~\pm$	19.60 \pm
	0.06c	1.45c	0.21c	36.44c	6.61a
Lowland-CL	1.77 \pm	$\textbf{68.33} \pm$	$3.55 \pm$	313.1 \pm	$28.76~\pm$
crusts	0.09d	1.67d	0.34d	23.04d	1.77c
Lowland-	$\textbf{22.87}~\pm$	$\textbf{85.67} \pm$	$41.23~\pm$	424.40 \pm	$36.98~\pm$
Moss crusts	1.36e	4.67e	3.50e	79.08d	4.63b

3.2. The composition and richness of bacterial communities in biocrusts at landscape scale

In total, 45 libraries of bacterial 16S rRNA were constructed and a total of 203,952,000 V4 region gene sequences were obtained. From the sequence data, 14,307 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were annotated at 97% similarity. In total, 37 phyla were retrieved at genetic distances of 3% (Fig. S1), 18 phyla were observed with the relative abundance of the total bacterial communities higher than 1% in our study (Fig. 2). The dominant phyla were Actinobacteria (\sim 27.4%), Proteobacteria (\sim 24.98%), Bacteroidetes (\sim 11.25%), Acidobacteria (\sim 6.14%), Cyanobacteria (\sim 4.70%) and Chloroflexi (\sim 4.76%), they together accounted for more than 75% of the bacterial sequences (Fig. 2).

Bacterial α -diversity, phylogenetic diversity and Shannon index showed no consistent varying pattern in five habitats along the precipitation gradient (Table 2). Crust thickness positively affected richness and Shannon diversity in both two biocrusts (Table S3). Bacterial α -diversity and richness in moss crusts were higher than those in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts (Table 2, *P* < 0.05). The shared OTUs of the five habitats took up nearly one third of the total bacterial OTUs (Fig. S2). The relative abundance of Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria and Proteobacteria in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts were highest in the semihumid habitat with highest precipitation (Table S4). In contrast, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were negatively related with MAP (Table S4). In moss crusts, the relative abundance of Planctomycetes, Proteobacteria and Verrucomicrobi were positively related with precipitation (Table S4).

3.3. Bacterial community structure and β -diversity at the landscape scale

Bacterial community structure in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts did not change regularly with precipitation, bacterial communities in sites 1 and 2 (MAP<150 mm) were clustered together, and sites 3–5 (MAP: 186–559 mm) were clustered as another group (Fig. 3A). MAP, SOC, TP and soil clay content were significantly correlated with bacterial community structure in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts (Table 3, P < 0.05), biocrust properties, including thickness, cover and Chl a also impacted bacterial community composition in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts at the landscape scale (Table 3, P < 0.05). Soil bacterial communities in moss crusts were similar at thelandscape scale (Fig. 3B), MAP, TN, TP, and bulk density were correlated with bacterial communities in moss crusts (Table 3, P < 0.05), while biocrust thickness and cover exerted no significant impacts on bacterial communities across the landscape scale (Table 3, P < 0.05).

3.4. Relationships between bacterial community similarity and environmental and geographic distance

Geographic distance, soil and biocrust properties as a whole were significantly related with bacterial community composition in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts (Table 4, r = 0.79, P = 0.002). Soil properties were significantly related with bacterial community composition when controlling geographic distance and biocrust properties (Table 4, r = 0.32, P = 0.002), while biocrust properties were not related with bacterial communities when controlling geographic distance and soil properties (Table 4, P > 0.05).

A significant distance–decay relationship was observed for microbial communities in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts (Fig. 4, $r^2 = 0.39$, P < 0.001), with an estimated z-value of 0.01 of the whole community (Table 5). The slopes differed among the first dominant 10 phyla, with the highest slope of 0.11 for Firmicutes and the lowest of 0.0005 for Armatimonadetes (Table 5). Bacterial community dissimilarity increased significantly with the dissimilarity of soil (Fig. 4, $r^2 = 0.42$, P < 0.001) and biocrust properties (Fig. 4, $r^2 = 0.07$, P = 0.007). Geographic distance explained 15.4% variation of bacterial community variations among habitats, and environmental variables explained 22.8% variation (Fig. 5A).

Soil properties were significantly related with bacterial communities in moss crusts when controlling the geographic distance and biocrust variables (Table 4, r = 0.33, P = 0.024). Bacterial community dissimilarity increased with geographical distance (Fig. 4, $r^2 = 0.16$, P < 0.001), z-value of bacterial communities as a whole was 0.0045, and differed in terms of the phylum (Table 5). Bacterial community dissimilarity also increased with the dissimilarity of soil properties (Fig. 4, $r^2 = 0.22$, P < 0.001). Geographic distance explained 9.7% of the variation in bacterial community differences among sampling sites, and environmental variables explained 13.3% of the variation (Fig. 5B).

3.5. Bacterial community structure and β -diversity at the slope scale

Bacterial community composition differed significantly among slope locations (Fig. 6, P < 0.001, MRPP). Bacterial communities in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts among the upslope, downslope and lowland were similar and clustered together, while they were separated from that at the top of the sand dune and lowland dominated by moss crusts (Fig. 6). Of all soil properties, SOC, TN, clay content, and soil pH were significantly correlated with bacterial community composition (Table 3, P < 0.05). Biocrust thickness and Chl a content were significantly related with bacterial community composition (Table 3, P < 0.05).

Spatial, soil and biocrust distances together were significantly correlated with bacterial community composition (Table 4, r = 0.75, *P*



Fig. 2. Relative abundance of bacterial communities at phylum level in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts at the five desert habitats at landscape scale. Only 18 phyla, found at a relative abundance greater than 1%, were included by name, all other phyla were grouped into "others", completing the count to 100% of the number of identified phyla.

Table 2

Bacterial richness, α -diversity, and phylogenetic diversity for cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts at landscape scale, and at slope scale. Values are means \pm SE (n = 3). S1–S5 indicated five sampling desert habitats as demonstrated in Fig. 1, with annual mean precipitation denoted in brackets. In landscape scale, values are from three replications, each replication was a composite sample from five individual samples. At slope scale, values are from three replications which were collected in three typical sand dunes, each replication was a composite sample from five individual samples. Different small letters indicated significant differences between sampling sites at *P* < 0.05 level.

Site	Richness			Alpha-diversity		Phylogenetic diversity	
	Observed species	Chao1	ACE	Shannon	Simpson		
Landscape scale							
Cyanobcterial-lichen crusts							
S1 (75 mm)	$3720.67 \pm 42.65a$	$4876.34 \pm 60.17a$	$5104.65 \pm 76.85a$	$9.56\pm0.08a$	$1.00\pm0.00a$	$\textbf{272.44} \pm \textbf{1.21a}$	
S2 (147 mm)	$3769.67 \pm 71.34a$	$5001.13 \pm 74.53a$	$5321.78 \pm 67.41a$	$\textbf{9.48} \pm \textbf{0.06a}$	$0.99\pm0.00a$	$272.24\pm7.30a$	
S3 (186 mm)	$3338.33 \pm 47.03b$	$4241.01 \pm 48.10b$	$4458.85 \pm 70.97 b$	$9.45\pm0.09b$	$0.98\pm0.01b$	$250.46\pm2.58b$	
S4 (393 mm)	3538.33 ± 328.63 ab	$4587.18 \pm 573.79 \text{ ab}$	$4798.74 \pm 601.49 b$	$9.08\pm0.41c$	$0.99\pm0.00a$	$265.46 \pm 16.71a$	
S5 (559 mm)	$3740.33 \pm 98.96a$	$5006.15 \pm 249.52 a$	$5184.62 \pm 246.59 \text{ ab}$	$9.36\pm0.20b$	$0.99\pm0.01a$	$\textbf{276.48} \pm \textbf{10.86a}$	
Moss crusts							
S1 (75 mm)	4191.33 ± 310.32 ab	$5489.98 \pm 508.29 a$	$5848.51 \pm 485.97 \text{ ab}$	$10.08\pm0.20a$	$1.00\pm0.00a$	$308.47\pm20.41a$	
S2 (147 mm)	$3920.67 \pm 128.68 a$	$5087.46 \pm 395.61b$	$5394.94 \pm 355.48a$	$9.87\pm0.11~ab$	$1.00\pm0.00a$	$\textbf{285.18} \pm \textbf{9.81a}$	
S3 (186 mm)	$3903.33 \pm 98.56a$	$4961.80 \pm 264.47 b$	$5260.90 \pm 251.57 a$	$9.72\pm0.05b$	$0.99\pm0.00b$	$283.79\pm3.00a$	
S4 (393 mm)	$4451.33 \pm 80.62b$	$5943.72 \pm 102.75 c$	$6232.60 \pm 50.08 b$	$10.28\pm0.05a$	$1.00\pm0.00a$	$318.92 \pm \mathbf{5.21a}$	
S5 (559 mm)	$3771.67 \pm 83.72a$	5162.53 ± 176.50 ab	$5318.73 \pm 142.43a$	$9.52\pm0.38c$	$0.99\pm0.01b$	$275.71 \pm 3.46a$	
Slope scale							
Тор	$1856.33 \pm 54.82a$	$2392.98 \pm 30.77a$	$2467.64 \pm 3.16a$	$\textbf{7.27} \pm \textbf{0.31a}$	$0.96\pm0.02a$	$164.78\pm4.18a$	
Upslope	$3012.00 \pm 71.63b$	$4083.07 \pm 182.25 b$	$4297.55 \pm 138.87 b$	$\textbf{8.44} \pm \textbf{0.04b}$	$0.98\pm0.01b$	$241.15\pm3.12b$	
Downslope	$3351.00 \pm 340.84 bc$	$4605.42 \pm 378.01 c$	$4773.90 \pm 429.03 bc$	$\textbf{9.07} \pm \textbf{0.49c}$	$0.99\pm0.01b$	$251.46 \pm 20.04 b$	
Lowland-CL crusts	$3720.67 \pm 42.65 c$	$4876.34 \pm 60.17 c$	$5104.65 \pm 76.85 c$	$9.56\pm0.08d$	$0.99\pm0.00b$	$272.44 \pm 1.21 bc$	
Lowland-Moss crusts	$4191.33 \pm 310.32c$	$5489.98 \pm 508.29 c$	$5848.51 \pm 485.97 d$	$10.08 \pm 0.20 e$	$1.00\pm0.00b$	$308.47 \pm \mathbf{20.41c}$	

= 0.001). Soil (Table 4, r = 0.41, *P* = 0.001) and biocrust (Table 4, r = 0.58, *P* = 0.001) variables were both significantly correlated with bacterial community composition. Bacterial community dissimilarity increased linearly with the spatial distance (Fig. 7, $r^2 = 0.23$, *P* < 0.001), with an estimated z-value of 0.0605 when all OTUs were pooled as a whole (Table S6); moreover, bacterial community dissimilarity also increased linearly with the dissimilarity of both soil properties (Fig. 7, $r^2 = 0.28$, *P* < 0.001) and biocrust properties (Fig. 7, $r^2 = 0.33$, *P* < 0.001).

Spatial distance explained 16.0% of the variation, and environmental variables explained 19.2% of the variation in bacterial community differences at the slope scale (Fig. S3).



Fig. 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis on bacterial community composition in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts at five desert habitats at landscape scale. The stress was 0.056 and 0.202 for cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts. Vector direction represents the average direction of environmental gradients. Vector length is proportional to the magnitude of correlation between the environmental parameter and bacterial community composition. Manually drawn ellipses indicate no difference of bacterial community composition between sampling regions within the same ellipse. The label represents five sampling regions at the landscape scale as shown in Fig. 1.

4. Discussion

4.1. Soil bacterial community composition and its influencing factors in desert ecosystems

The main bacterial phyla in biocrusts were Actinomycetes, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria and Chloroflexi in our study. This is consistent with the dominant phyla in an adjacent grassland ecosystem (Wang et al., 2017) and in the Gurbantunggut desert in northwestern China (Liu et al., 2019). However, the dominant phyla showed inconsistent patterns with precipitation change across five habitats at the landscape scale. The relative abundance of Actinomycetes is related to soil pH (Lauber et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2009), but it was negatively correlated with precipitation and independent of soil pH in our study, suggesting the relative abundance of Actinomycetes is

Table 3

Associations of bacterial community composition with climate, soil and biocrust parameters at landscape scale for cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts, and for biocrusts development at slope scale. MAP, mean annual precipitation; MAT, mean annual temperature; SOC, soil organic carbon content; TN, soil total nitrogen; TP, soil total phosphorus; TK, soil total potassium; EC, electrical conductivity; SVWC, soil volumetric water content; Chl a, chlorophyll *a* content; MBC, microbial biomass carbon; MBN, microbial biomass nitrogen.

Parameters	Landscape scale				Slope scale	
	Cyanoba crusts	Cyanobacterial-lichen crusts		Moss crusts		
	r ²	Р	r ²	Р	r ²	Р
Climatic varial	bles					
MAP	0.5509	0.005	0.3433	0.034	-	-
MAT	0.023	0.842	0.1005	0.576	-	-
Soil properties						
SOC	0.7379	0.003	0.1160	0.447	0.5305	0.018
TN	0.3356	0.056	0.4215	0.042	0.4349	0.046
Bulk density	0.1411	0.395	0.4248	0.042	0.263	0.230
Silt	0.2852	0.110	0.1503	0.401	0.3092	0.130
Clay	0.4449	0.020	0.2932	0.109	0.3997	0.047
TP	0.4413	0.036	0.4469	0.049	0.2373	0.253
TK	0.0435	0.764	0.1175	0.482	0.0573	0.676
Total salt	0.0410	0.758	0.0842	0.740	0.093	0.379
рН	0.0579	0.687	0.0694	0.614	0.4198	0.041
EC	0.0357	0.732	0.2563	0.156	0.2407	0.172
SVWC	-	-	-	-	0.2844	0.109
Biocrust properties						
Thickness	0.4769	0.039	0.3589	0.094	0.6293	0.010
Cover	0.5606	0.003	0.0944	0.600	0.2345	0.201
Chl a	0.5099	0.005	0.3237	0.115	0.5048	0.033
MBC	0.6537	0.002	0.0219	0.866	0.0949	0.529
MBN	0.0407	0.792	0.2752	0.143	0.1361	0.454

Table 4

Influence of geographic distance, soil traits and biocrust development on microbial community composition. Mantel and partial Mantel test were used and statistical significance was tested based on 999 permutations.

Effects of	Controlling for	Mantel	Mantel				
		statistic R	statistic P				
Landscape scale							
Cyanobcterial-lichen crusts							
Geographic, soil and	l biocrust distances	0.7932	0.002				
Geographic distance	2	0.5705	0.001				
Soil variables		0.4229	0.001				
Biocrust variables		0.3576	0.001				
Geographic distance	Soil and biocrust variables	0.1374	0.082				
Soil variables	Geographic and biocrust properties	0.3225	0.002				
Biocrust variables	Geographic and soil properties	-0.0505	0.655				
Moss crusts		0.4955	0.001				
Geographic, soil and	1 BSC distances						
Geographic distance	2	0.1747	0.045				
Biocrust variables		0.0958	0.276				
Soil variables		0.2791	0.034				
Geographic distance	Soil and biocrust variables	0.0727	0.164				
Soil variables	Geographic and biocrust properties	0.3289	0.024				
Biocrust variables	Geographic and soil	-0.105	0.835				
Slope scale	properties						
Spatial, soil and bio	crust distances	0.7462	0.001				
Spatial distance		0.2466	0.037				
Soil variables		0.4678	0.001				
Biocrust variables		0.5947	0.001				
Spatial distance	Soil and biocrust variables	0.2626	0.059				
Soil variables	Spatial and biocrust properties	0.4171	0.001				
Biocrust variables	Spatial and soil properties	0.5787	0.001				



Fig. 4. Distance-decay relationship and the correlation of bacterial community dissimilarity with dissimilarity of soil properties and dissimilarity of biocrust properties for bacterial communities in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts at landscape scale. Each circle represents the pairwise similarity of a microbial community (Bray-Curtis index).

Table 5

Summary statistics of the distance-decay relationship for the dominant bacterial phyla in cyanobacterial-lichen and moss crusts at landscape and slope scales.

	Landscape scale			Slope scale		
	z	t	P-value	z	t	P-value
Cyanobacterial-lichen crusts						
All OTUs	0.0100	-4.732	< 0.001	0.0605	-6.365	< 0.001
Acidobacteria	0.0250	-2.755	0.007	0.0835	-5.03	< 0.001
Actinobacteria	0.0205	-2.643	0.010	0.0555	-4.69	< 0.001
Armatimonadetes	0.0005	0.135	0.893	0.0360	-3.381	0.001
Bacteroidetes	0.0200	-2.845	0.005	0.0425	-2.573	0.012
Chloroflexi	0.0105	-1.115	0.267	0.0220	-2.358	0.020
Cyanobacteria	0.0995	-2.799	0.006	0.1515	-2.48	0.015
Firmicutes	0.1125	-3.204	0.002	0.0870	-7.755	0.007
Planctomycetes	0.0065	0.038	0.704	0.0495	-3.329	0.001
Proteobacteria	0.0090	-1.978	0.051	0.0590	-5.898	< 0.001
Verrucomicrobia	0.0335	-2.263	0.026	0.0815	-3.416	0.001
Moss crusts						
All OTUs	0.0045	-3.509	0.001			
Acidobacteria	0.0270	-2.745	0.007			
Actinobacteria	0.0110	-3.072	0.003			
Armatimonadetes	0.0380	-3.675	< 0.001			
Bacteroidetes	0.0175	-4.999	< 0.001			
Chloroflexi	0.0260	-4.975	< 0.001			
Cyanobacteria	0.0325	-3.005	0.003			
Firmicutes	0.0500	-2.656	0.009			
Planctomycetes	0.0360	-2.543	0.013			
Proteobacteria	0.0155	-3.839	< 0.001			
Verrucomicrobi	0.0240	-3.900	< 0.001			



Fig. 5. Variation partition analysis of the effects of geographic distance and environmental variables on bacterial communities in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts and moss crusts.



Fig. 6. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis on bacterial community composition at the slope scale. Manually drawn ellipses indicate no difference of bacterial community composition between sampling locations along the sand dune slope within the same ellipse. The label represents five sampling locations from the top to the lowland of the sand dune as shown in Fig. 1.

higher in drier regions. This result is consistent with studies conducted in other desert ecosystems (Pointing et al., 2009; Rego et al., 2019). The relative abundance of Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria increased with precipitation in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts while decreasing in moss crusts. Acidobacteria belong to oligotrophic bacteria and are negatively correlated with soil carbon availability (Jones et al., 2009; Männistö et al., 2013; Kielak et al., 2016). Soil organic carbon and nitrogen content in moss crusts were ca. two times those in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts, this can explain the lower relative abundance of Acidobacteria in moss crusts. Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, especially β -Proteobacteria, belong to copiotrophic bacteria, their relative abundance increases with soil available carbon (Fierer et al., 2007). Cyanobacteria showed a higher relative abundance in our study as compared with other regions, this may be caused by the development of biocrusts. The relative abundance of Cyanobacteria was positively related with precipitation in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts, this is of great significance to the ecological functions of biocrusts since a large number of species in Cyanobacteria are photoautotrophic species or participate in N fixation (Maier et al., 2018).

Many studies have demonstrated that water availability positively influences vegetation production, plant diversity and abundance (Soininen et al., 2007). Bacterial community diversity was also largely influenced by water availability in some previous studies (Clark et al., 2009; Angel et al., 2010). However, bacterial α -diversity and richness were not influenced by precipitation at the landscape scale in our study. This may be related to the following causes. Firstly, the responses of bacterial community diversity might not change consistently in a linear manner with precipitation, and a response threshold might exist. This can be evidenced by an aridity threshold for microbial metabolism (Hou et al., 2019) and N transformation (Wang et al., 2014) along a gradient of precipitation. Biocrusts exhibited a better development as demonstrated by thickness and cover in more arid deserts (MAP<200 mm), suggesting the development and succession of cyanobacterial-lichen crusts were not always positively related with the improved soil nutrients and increasing precipitation (Büdel, 2003). Secondly, considering the microbial acclimation to drought (Crowther and Bradford, 2013; Makhalanyane et al., 2015), a large number of bacteria would be shared among different desert habitats, as demonstrated by the high shared OTUs in our study. In addition, although the sampling depth of 5 cm was chosen based on our investigation and many previous studies in northern China (Lan et al., 2013; Su et al., 2013a; Zhang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017), it was quite deep especially for cyanobacterial-lichen crusts in less arid habitats. This may be partly responsible for the similar bacterial α -diversity, because the sub-soil composition is less likely to vary compared to the very top surface.

Our study demonstrated that the impacts of environmental factors on soil bacterial communities were dependent on spatial scale, this is in line with some previous studies (Ettema and Wardle, 2002; Franklin and Mills, 2003; Fierer et al., 2009). Biocrust thickness was the most important factor controlling bacterial α -diversity at the slope scale, meanwhile, soil organic carbon content and clay content, which are closely related with the colonization of biocrusts, were also fundamental to bacterial community pattern at slope scale. Similar patterns were also observed in some restoration experiments in sandy deserts, showing increasing microbial biomass and chlorophyll with increasing biocrust



Fig. 7. Distance-decay relationship (A) and the correlation of bacterial community dissimilarity of biocrusts with dissimilarity of soil properties (B) and dissimilarity of biocrust properties (C) at sand dune slope scale. Each circle represents the pairwise similarity of a microbial community (Bray-Curtis index).

thickness along restoration processes (Rao et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010, 2014).

Soil pH is regarded as the most important determinant for bacterial communities at the landscape scale (Fierer and Jackson, 2006; Lauber et al., 2009; Plassart et al., 2019). However, it had no impacts in our study. This may be caused by the narrow variation of soil pH (7.74–8.51) and similar soil taxa, which were grey desert soil and sierozem across the five habitats in our study.

Bacterial α -diversity in moss crusts was significantly higher than that in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts within the same habitat. This result suggests that moss crusts can provide more favorable resources and protection for soil bacterial communities due to their higher dust capture, water and nutrient retention (Maier et al., 2018). Moreover, moss crusts exhibit later successional stage as compared with cyanobacterial-lichen crusts (Belnap et al., 2008; Chamizo et al., 2012; Lan et al., 2013), this result also suggests that the development and succession of biocrusts influence soil bacterial communities. Biocrusts exhibit sequential development from the top to the lowland along sand dune slope, our study demonstrates that bacterial diversity and richness are positively related with the index of biocrust development (thickness) at slope scale. This result suggests that the development of biocrusts increase with soil bacterial diversity and this is critical to the improvement of soil nutrients in bare soils (Lan et al., 2013; Su et al., 2013a).

4.2. The influences of biocrust development on the spatial pattern of bacterial communities

Bacterial community similarity was significantly correlated with geographic, soil and biocrust distances as a whole for both moss and cyanobacterial-lichen crusts, and was independent of geographic distance when soil and biocrust variables were controlled. This result indicates that the geographical variation of bacterial community composition in biocrusts was caused by the soil heterogeneity among sampling habitats.

Soil microbes usually exhibit strong adaptation to the fluctuation of ambient environment, and the dispersal limitation impacts on soil microbes are very weak (Bailey et al., 2018). Soil microbial assemblage is largely dependent on habitat, environmental filtering always exerts a profound impact on the geographical pattern of bacterial communities (Bell et al., 2009; Andrew et al., 2012; Bachar et al., 2012). In our study, geographic distance only explained 15.4% and environmental variables only explained 22.8% of the variation of bacterial communities in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts, therein, SOC played key roles in constructing bacterial communities. Soil texture and nutrient content generally improve persistently with the development and succession of biocrusts (Li et al., 2002 & 2003), which favors microbial inhabitation and growth. Besides, many studies have shown that environmental variables are the primary determinants for geographical variation of soil bacterial communities (Fierer and Jackson, 2006; Lauber et al., 2009). Different from cyanobacterial-lichen crusts, geographic distance and environmental variables explained 9.7% and 13.3% bacterial communities of the variation in moss crusts, while they explained 16.0% and 19.2% of the variation at slope scale. The relative importance of geographic distance and environmental filtering on bacterial communities differs among studies, the spatial scale is considered to be an important factor determining their relative importance (Jenkins et al., 2007; Martiny et al., 2011; Prevost-Boure et al., 2014). Dispersal limitation exerts stronger impacts on large body-size organisms at large landscape scales (Jenkins et al., 2007; Bailey et al., 2018), while environmental variables are more important to small body-size organisms since they are more dependent on living habitats (Bailey et al., 2018).

Soil bacterial communities exhibited significant distance-decay relationships at both slope and landscape scales in our study. This distance-decay relationship was stronger at slope scale as suggested by the higher z-value. Meanwhile, biocrust development and environmental variables also exerted profound impacts on bacterial community similarity. In our study, the distance-decay rates ranged from 0.01 in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts at landscape scale and 0.065 at slope scale; they were in the range of previous studies (Horner-Devine et al., 2004). The distance-decay rate was 0.0045 in moss crusts, combining with the similar MBC and MBN among five habitats, this result suggests that bacterial communities in moss crusts are very consistent across different habitats. Moss crusts generally dwell in soils under shrub canopies at lowland (Yin et al., 2017), soil moisture and nutrients are higher than adjacent soils due to "fertilization island" effects (Su et al., 2013b; Zhao et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017). Caution should be taken to explain the similar bacterial community patterns of moss crusts at landscape scale.

More importantly, the distance-decay rate was dependent on the spatial scale. Steeper slope at slope scale suggests a faster turnover rate of bacterial communities than that at the landscape scale. The heterogeneities of soil attributes and biocrust development were determined by the slope location. At the landscape scale, samples were collected at lowland, therefore, topography exerted negligible impacts on soil attributes and bacterial communities. This result suggests that the spatial pattern of soil bacterial communities is partly regulated by biotic factors, including biocrust development. When biocrust development and environmental variables were controlled, geographic distance had no significant impacts on bacterial community similarity. This result demonstrates that environmental variables (soil texture and biocrust development) are more important than geographic distance to soil bacterial communities. The dominant phyla of Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Acidobacteria showed larger distance-decay rates as indicated by the higher z-values, this suggests that bacterial community dissimilarity among habitats was mainly originated from the discrepancies of Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Acidobacteria. At the slope scale, Cyanobacteria showed the highest z value, suggesting Cyanobacteria are the most important phylum for bacterial community turnover rate. The 61.7% unexplained variation of bacterial communities may be caused by two reasons. Firstly, the lack of auto-correlation of measured parameters in desert ecosystems increased the unexplained variation (Martiny et al., 2011); secondly, some inner stochastic processes, including drift and some accidental historical events (Hawkes et al., 2017), which were beyond the scope of our projection may also have contributed to the large unexplained variation.

5. Conclusion

Bacterial community similarity showed obvious distance-decay relationships with geographic distance at both landscape and slope scales. The slope of the distance-decay relationship for cyanobacterial-lichen crusts was larger than that for moss crusts. The bacterial community structure in cyanobacterial-lichen crusts differed profoundly, whereas it was similar in moss crusts among the habitats in northern China. Bacterial communities varied sequentially with the development and succession of biocrusts at the slope scale. Variation partition analysis showed that environmental variables exhibited larger explanation for bacterial community differences than geographic distance, suggesting weaker influences of dispersal limitation on the bacterial community structure in biocrusts. Our study demonstrates that the succession of biocrusts profoundly alters bacterial community patterns at the landscape scale, and soil attributes, influenced by the regional environment and biocrust development, are the dominant factors driving bacterial community pattern in biocrusts.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We greatly appreciate Prof. Timothy Clough for the language edit of the last version of our paper, two anonymous reviewers for their time and valuable comments on our paper. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U1703332, 41730638, 41671115 and 41571256).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107721.

References

- Andrew, D.R., Fitak, R.R., Munguia-Vega, A., Racolta, A., Martinson, V.G., Dontsova, K., 2012. Abiotic factors shape microbial diversity in sonoran desert soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 78, 7527–7537.
- Angel, R., Soares, M.I.M., Ungar, E.D., Gillor, O., 2010. Biogeography of soil archaea and bacteria along a steep precipitation gradient. The ISME Journal 4, 553–563. Bachar, A., Soares, M.I.M., Gillor, O., 2012. The effect of resource islands on abundance
- and diversity of bacteria in arid soils. Microbial Ecology 63, 694–700.
- Bailey, R.I., Molleman, F., Vasseur, C., Woas, S., Prinzing, A., 2018. Large body size constrains dispersal assembly of communities even across short distances. Scientific Reports 8, 10911.
- Bell, C.W., Acosta-Martinez, V., McIntyre, N.E., Cox, S., Tissue, D.T., Zak, J.C., 2009. Linking microbial community structure and function to seasonal differences in soil moisture and temperature in a Chihuahuan Desert grassland. Microbial Ecology 58, 827–842.
- Bell, T., 2010. Experimental tests of the bacterial distance-decay relationship. The ISME Journal 4, 1357–1365.

- Belnap, J., Lange, O.L., 2003. Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function and Management. Spriner, Berlin.
- Belnap, J., Phillips, S.L., Witwicki, D.L., Miller, M.E., 2008. Visually assessing the level of development and soil surface stability of cyanobacterially dominated biological soil crusts. Journal of Arid Environments 72, 1257–1264.
- Blay, E.S., Schwabedissen, S.G., Magnuson, T.S., Aho, K.A., Sheridan, P.P., Lohse, K.A., 2017. Variation in biological soil crust bacterial abundance and diversity as a function of climate in cold steppe ecosystems in the Intermountain West, USA. Microbial Ecology 74, 691–700.
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P., 2002. All-scale spatial analysis of ecological data by means of principal coordinates of neighbour matrices. Ecological Modelling 153, 51–68.
- Bowker, M.A., 2007. Biological soil crust rehabilitation in theory and practice: an underexploited opportunity. Restoration Ecology 15, 13–23.
- Brookes, P.C., 1985. Microbial biomass and activity measurements in soil. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 36, 269–270.
- Brookes, P.C., Landman, A., Pruden, G., Jenkinson, D.S., 1985. Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil-nitrogen - a rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 17, 837–842.
- Büdel, B., 2003. In: Belnap, J., Lange, O.L. (Eds.), Synopsis: Comparative Biogeography and Ecology of Soil-Crust Biota-Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function and Management. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 141–154.
- Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., 2010. QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data. Nature Methods 7, 335–336.
- Chamizo, S., Canton, Y., Miralles, I., Domingo, F., 2012. Biological soil crust development affects physicochemical characteristics of soil surface in semiarid ecosystems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 49, 96–105.
- Chu, H., Fierer, N., Lauber, C.L., Caporaso, J.G., Knight, R., 2010. Soil bacterial diversity in the Arctic is not fundamentally different from that found in other biomes. Environmental Microbiology 12, 2998–3006.
- Clark, J.S., Campbell, J.H., Grizzle, H., Acosta-Martinez, V., Zak, J.C., 2009. Soil microbial community response to drought and precipitation variability in the Chihuahuan Desert. Microbial Ecology 57, 248–260.
- Clarke, K.R., Ainsworth, M., 1993. A method of linking multivariate community structure to environmental variables. Marine Ecology Progress Series 92, 205–219.
- Crowther, T.W., Bradford, M.A., 2013. Thermal acclimation in widespread heterotrophic soil microbes. Ecology Letters 16, 469–477.
- Dvořák, P., Hašler, P., Poulíčková, A., 2012. Phylogeography of the Microcoleus vaginatus (Cyanobacteria) from three continents-A spatial and temporal characterization. PLoS One 7, e40153.
- Ettema, C.H., Wardle, D.A., 2002. Spatial soil ecology. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17, 177–183.
- Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A., Jackson, R.B., 2007. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. Ecology 88, 1354–1364.
- Fierer, N., Jackson, R.B., 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103, 626–631.
- Fierer, N., Strickland, M.S., Liptzin, D., Bradford, M.A., Cleveland, C.C., 2009. Global patterns in belowground communities. Ecology Letters 12, 1238–1249.
- Franklin, R.B., Mills, A.L., 2003. Multi-scale variation in spatial heterogeneity for microbial community structure in an eastern Virginia agricultural field. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 44, 335–346.
- Garcia-Pichel, F., Johnson, S.L., Youngkin, D., Belnap, J., 2003. Small-scale vertical distribution of bacterial biomass and diversity in biological soil crusts from arid lands in the Colorado Plateau. Microbial Ecology 46, 312–321.
- Garcia-Pichel, F., López-Cortés, A., Nübel, U., 2001. Phylogenetic and morphological diversity of cyanobacteria in soil desert crusts from the Colorado Plateau. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67, 1902–1910.
- Green, J., Bohannan, B.J.M., 2006. Spatial scaling of microbial biodiversity. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 21, 501–507.
- Grondin, A.E., Johansen, J.R., 1993. Microbial spatial heterogeneity in microbiotic crusts in Colorado National Monument. Great Basin Naturalist 53, 24–30.
- Hawkes, C.V., Waring, B.G., Rocca, J.D., Kivlin, S.N., 2017. Historical climate controls soil respiration responses to current soil moisture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114, 201620811.
- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A., 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology 25, 1965–1978.
- Horner-Devine, M.C., Lage, M., Hughes, J.B., Bohannan, B.J.M., 2004. A taxa-area relationship for bacteria. Nature 432, 750–753.
- Hou, J., Dijkstra, F.A., Zhang, X., Wang, C., Lü, X., Wang, P., Han, X., Cheng, W., 2019. Aridity thresholds of soil microbial metabolic indices along a 3,200 km transect across arid and semi-arid regions in Northern China. PeerJ 7, e6712.
- Housman, D.C., Powers, H.H., Collins, A.D., Belnap, J., 2006. Carbon and nitrogen fixation differ between successional stages of biological soil crusts in the Colorado Plateau and Chihuahuan Desert. Journal of Arid Environments 66, 620–634.
- Housman, D.C., Yeager, C.M., Darby, B.J., Sanford, R.L., Kuske, C.R., Neher, D.A., Belnap, J., 2007. Heterogeneity of soil nutrients and subsurface biota in a dryland ecosystem. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 39, 2138–2149.
- Jenkins, D.G., Brescacin, C.R., Duxbury, C.V., Elliott, J.A., Evans, J.A., Grablow, K.R., 2007. Does size matter for dispersal distance? Global Ecology and Biogeography 16, 415–425.
- Jones, R.T., Robeson, M.S., Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2009. A comprehensive survey of soil acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone library analyses. The ISME Journal 3, 442–453.

Jörg, P., 2007. SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Research 35, 7188–7196.

- Kielak, A.M., Barreto, C.C., Kowalchuk, G.A., van Veen, J.A., Kuramae, E.E., 2016. The ecology of Acidobacteria: moving beyond genes and genomes. Frontiers in Microbiology 7, 744.
- Lan, S.B., Li, W., Zhang, D.L., Hu, C.X., 2013. Assessing level of development and successional stages in biological soil crusts with biological indicators. Micrbial Ecology 22, 394–403.
- Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2009. Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75, 5111–5120.
- Lauber, C.L., Strickland, M.S., Bradford, M.A., Fierer, N., 2008. The influence of soil properties on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities across land-use types. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 40, 2407–2415.
- Legendre, P., Oksanen, J., Braak, C.J.F., 2011. Testing the significance of canonical axes in redundancy analysis. Method. Ecol. Evol. 2, 269–277.
- Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 2012. Numerical Ecology, 3rd English Edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Letendre, A.C., Coxson, D.S., Stewart, K.J., 2019. Restoration of ecosystem function by soil surface inoculation with biocrust in mesic and xeric Alpine Ecosystems. Ecological Restoration 37, 101–112.
- Li, C.H., Tang, L.S., Jia, Z.J., Li, Y., 2015. Profile changes in the soil microbial community when desert becomes oasis. PLoS One 10, e0139626.
- Li, D., Liu, Y., Song, L., 2001. Hormogonia mass differentiation from Nostoc sphaeroides and the comparison of structural characteristics between hormogonia and vegetative filaments. Phycological Research 49, 81–87.
- Li, H., Rao, B.Q., Wang, G.H., Shen, S., Li, D.H., Hu, C.X., Liu, Y.D., 2014. Spatial heterogeneity of cyanobacteria-inoculated sand dunes significantly influences artificial biological soil crusts in the Hopq Desert (China). Environment and Earth Science 71, 245–253.
- Li, X.R., He, M.Z., Stefan, Z., 2010. Micro-geomorphology determines community structure of BSCs at small scale. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 35, 932–940.
- Li, X.R., Song, G., Hui, R., Wang, Z.R., 2017. Precipitation and topsoil attributes determine the species diversity and distribution patterns of crustal communities in desert ecosystems. Plant and Soil 420, 163–175.
- Li, X.R., Wang, X.P., Li, T., Zhang, J.G., 2002. Microbiotic soil crust and its effect on vegetation and habitat on artificially stabilized desert dunes in Tengger Desert, North China. Biology and Fertility of Soils 35, 147–154.
 Li, X.R., Zhang, P., Su, Y.G., Jia, R.L., 2012. Carbon fixation by biological soil crusts
- Li, X.R., Zhang, P., Su, Y.G., Jia, R.L., 2012. Carbon fixation by biological soil crusts following revegetation of sand dunes in arid desert regions of China: a four-year field study. Catena 97, 119–126.
- Li, X.R., Zhou, H.Y., Wang, X.P., Zhu, Y.G., O'Conner, P.J., 2003. The effects of sand stabilization and revegetation on cryptogam species diversity and soil fertility in the Tengger Desert, Northern China. Plant and Soil 251, 237–245.
- Liu, L.C., Liu, Y.B., Hui, R., Xie, M., 2017. Recovery of microbial community structure of biological soil crusts in successional stages of Shapotou desert revegetation, northwest China. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 107, 125–128.
- Liu, Y.B., Wang, Z.R., Zhao, L.N., Wang, X., Liu, L.C., Hui, R., 2019. Differences in bacterial community structure between three types of biological soil crusts and soil below crusts from the Gurbantunggut Desert, China. European Journal of Soil Science 70, 630–643.
- Loveland, P.J., Walley, W.R., 2001. In: Simth, K.A., Mullins, C.E. (Eds.), Particle Size Analysis-Soil and Environmental Analysis, Physical Methods. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Maestre, F.T., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Jeffries, T.C., Eldridge, D.J., Ochoa, V., Gozalo, B., 2015. Increasing aridity reduces soil microbial diversity and abundance in global drylands. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112, 15684–15689.
- Maier, S., Tamm, A., Wu, D., Caesar, J., Grube, M., Weber, B., 2018. Photoautotrophic organisms control microbial abundance, diversity, and physiology in different types of biological soil crusts. The ISME Journal 12, 1032–1046.
- Makhalanyane, T.P., Valverde, A., Gunnigle, E., Frossard, A., Ramond, J.B., Cowan, D.A., 2015. Microbial ecology of hot desert edaphic systems. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 39, 203–221.
- Männistö, M.K., Kurhela, E., Tiirola, M., Häggblom, M.M., 2013. Acidobacteria dominate the active bacterial communities of Arctic tundra with widely divergent winter-time snow accumulation and soil temperatures. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 84, 47–59.
- Martiny, J.B.H., Eisen, J.A., Penn, K., Allison, S.D., Horner-Devine, M.C., 2011. Drivers of bacterial beta-diversity depend on spatial scale. In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108, pp. 7850–7854.
- McDonald, D., Price, M.N., Goodrich, J., Nawrocki, E.P., DeSantis, T.Z., Probst, A., Andersen, G.L., Knight, R., Hugenholtz, P., 2012. An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. The ISME Journal 6, 610–618.
- McHugh, T.A., Compson, Z., van Gestel, N., Hayer, M., Ballard, L., Haverty, M., 2017. Climate controls prokaryotic community composition in desert soils of the southwestern United States. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 93, fix116.
- Minchin, P.R., 1987. An evaluation of relative robustness of techniques for ecological ordinations. Vegetatio 69, 89–107.
- Nanjing Institute of Soil Research, CAS, 1980. Analysis of Soil Physicochemical Features. Shanghai Science and Technology Press, Shanghai, p. 260.
- Nelson, D.W., Sommers, L.E., 1982. In: Page, A.L. (Ed.), Total Carbon, Organic Carbon and Organic Matter, Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. American Society of Agronomy (ASA), Madison, pp. 539–577.

- Nemergut, D.R., Costello, E.K., Hamady, M., Lozupone, C., Jiang, L., Schmidt, S.K., 2011. Global patterns in the biogeography of bacterial taxa. Environmental Microbiology 13, 135–144.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., 2016. Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.3–5. https://CRAN.R-pro ject.org/package=vegan.
- Olsenard, S.R., Sommers, L.E., 1982. In: Page, A.L., Miller, R.H., Keeney, D.R. (Eds.), Phosphorus. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2-Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Soil Science and Society of America, Madison, pp. 403–430.
- Pasternak, Z., Al-Ashhab, A., Gatica, J., Gafny, R., Ávraham, S., Minz, D., 2013. Spatial and temporal biogeography of soil microbial communities in arid and semiarid regions. PLoS One 8, e69705.
- Peres-Neto, P.P., Legendre, S.D., Borcard, D., 2006. Variation partitioning of species data matrices: estimation and comparison of fractions. Ecology 87, 2614–2625.
- Plassart, P., Prevost-Boure, N.C., Uroz, S., Dequiedt, S., Stone, D., Creamer, R., 2019. Soil parameters, land use, and geographical distance drive soil bacterial communities along a European transect. Scientific Reports 9, 605.
- Pointing, S.B., Chan, Y., Lacap, D.C., Lau, M.C.Y., Jurgens, J.A., Farrell, R.L., 2009. Highly specialized microbial diversity in hyper-arid polar desert. In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, nn 19964–19969
- Prevost-Boure, N.C., Dequiedt, S., Thioulouse, J., Lelievre, M., Saby, N.P.A., Jolivet, C., Arrouays, D., Plassart, P., Lemanceau, P., Ranjard, L., 2014. Similar processes but different environmental filters for soil bacterial and fungal community composition turnover on a broad spatial scale. PLoS One 9, e111667.
- Rao, B.Q., Liu, Y.D., Wang, W.B., Hu, C.X., Dunhai, L., Lan, S.B., 2009. Influence of dew on biomass and photosystem II activity of cyanobacterial crusts in the Hopq Desert, northwest China. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 4, 2387–2393.
- Rego, A., Raio, F., Martins, T.P., Ribeiro, H., Sousa, A.G.G., Séneca, J., 2019. Actinobacteria and Cyanobacteria diversity in terrestrial antarctic microenvironments evaluated by culture-dependent and independent methods. Frontiers in Microbiology 10, 1018.
- Rousk, J., Baath, E., Brookes, P.C., Lauber, C.L., Lozupone, C., 2010. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. The ISME Journal 4, 1340–1351.
- Scola, V., Ramond, J.B., Frossard, A., Zablocki, O., Adriaenssens, E.M., Johnson, R.M., 2018. Namib Desert soil microbial community diversity, assembly, and function along a natural xeric gradient. Microbial Ecology 75, 193–203.
- Soininen, J., McDonald, R., Hillebrand, H., 2007. The distance decay of similarity in ecological communities. Ecography 30, 3–12.
- Steven, B., Gallegos-Graves, L.V., Belnap, J., Kuske, C.R., 2013. Dryland soil microbial communities display spatial biogeographic patterns associated with soil depth and soil parent material. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 86, 101–113.
- Steven, B., Gallegos-Graves, L.V., Yeager, C., Belnap, J., Kuske, C.R., 2014. Common and distinguishing features of the bacterial and fungal communities in biological soil crusts and shrub root zone soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 69, 302–312.
- Su, Y.G., Li, X.R., Chen, Y.W., Zhang, Z.S., Lu, Y., 2013a. Carbon fixation of cyanobacterial-algal crusts after desert fixation and its implication to soil organic carbon accumulation in desert. Land Degradation & Development 24, 342–349.
- Su, Y.G., Wu, L., Zhou, Z.B., Liu, Y.B., Zhang, Y.M., 2013b. Carbon flux in deserts depends on soil cover type: a case study in the Gurbantunggute desert, North China. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 58, 332–340.
- Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1987. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 19, 703–707.
- Velasco, A.S., Giraldo, S.A., Nelson, C., Barger, N.N., Garcia-Pichel, F., 2017. Microbial nursery production of high-quality biological soil crust biomass for restoration of degraded dryland soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 83 e02179-16.
- Wang, C., Wang, X.B., Liu, D.W., Wu, H.H., Lu, X.T., Fang, Y.T., Bai, E., 2014. Aridity threshold in controlling ecosystem nitrogen cycling in arid and semi-arid grasslands. Nature Communications 5 ncomms5799.
- Wang, J., Bao, J.T., Su, J.Q., Li, X.R., Chen, G.X., Ma, X.F., 2015a. Impact of inorganic nitrogen additions on microbes in biological soil crusts. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 88, 303–313.
- Wang, X., Van Nostrand, J.D., Deng, Y., Lu, X., Wang, C., Zhou, J., Han, X., 2015b. Scaledependent effects of climate and geographic distance on bacterial diversity patterns across northern China's grasslands. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 91 fiv133.
- Wang, X.B., Lü, X.T., Yao, J., Wang, Z.W., Deng, Y., Cheng, W.X., Zhou, J.Z., Han, X.G., 2017. Habitat-specific patterns and drivers of bacterial β-diversity in China's drylands. The ISME Journal 11, 1345.
- Wang, B.Z., Zhang, C.X., Liu, J.L., Zeng, X.W., Li, F.R., Wu, Y.C., 2012a. Microbial community changes along a land-use gradient of desert soil origin. Pedosphere 22, 593–603.
- Wang, X.Q., Jiang, J., Zhang, Y.M., Song, C.H., Yang, F., 2012b. Habitat change and natural establishement of plants in the southern part of Gurbantunggut Desert, China during 10 years since construction of engineering protective system. Journal of Desert Research 32, 373–379 in Chinese.
- Weber, B., Büdel, B., Belnap, J., 2016. Biological Soil Crusts: an Organizing Principle in Drylands. Springer International Publishing, Berlin.
- Wheeler, C.C., Fletcher, V.R., Johansen, J.R., 1993. Microbial spatial heterogeneity in microbiotic crusts in Colorado National Monument II. Great Basin Naturalist 53, 31–39.
- Winkler, D.E., Backer, D.M., Belnap, J., Bradford, J.B., Butterfield, B.J., Copeland, S.M., 2018. Beyond traditional ecological restoration on the Colorado Plateau. Restoration Ecology 26, 1055–1060.

- Yin, B.F., Zhang, Y.M., Lou, A.R., 2017. Impacts of the removal of shrubs on the physiological and biochemical characteristics of Syntrichia caninervis Mitt: in a temperate desert. Scientific Reports 7 srep.45268.
- Zhang, B.C., Kong, W.D., Wu, N., Zhang, Y.M., 2016. Bacterial diversity and community along the succession of biological soil crusts in the Gurbantunggut Desert, Northern China. Journal of Basic Microbiology 56, 670–679.
- Zhang, K., Shi, Y., Cui, X., Yue, P., Li, K., Liu, X., Tripathi, B.M., Chu, H., 2019. Salinity is a key determinant for soil microbial communities in a desert ecosystem. mSystems 4 e00225-18.
- Zhang, Z.S., 2014. Topographic differentiations of biological soil crusts and hydraulic properties in fixed sand dunes, Tengger Desert. J. Arid Land 7, 205–215.
- Zhao, Y.G., Qin, N.Q., Weber, B., Xu, M.X., 2014. Response of biological soil crusts to raindrop erosivity and underlying influences in the hilly Loess Plateau region, China. Biodiversity & Conservation 23, 1669–1686.
- Zhao, Y.G., Xu, M.X., Belnap, J., 2010. Potential nitrogen fixation activity of different aged biological soil crusts from rehabilitated grasslands of the hilly loess plateau, China. Journal of Arid Environments 74, 1186–1191.
- Zhou, J., Kang, S., Schadt, C.W., Garten, C.T., 2008. Spatial scaling of functional gene diversity across various microbial taxa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105, 7768–7773.