RESEARCH ARTICLE

How do mycorrhizal suppression and plant functional group loss affect plant communities in Inner Mongolia Steppe?

Xin Yang[1] | Yue Shen[2] | Jishan Chen[3] | Yanping Guo[1] | Yingjun Zhang[1] (iD)

[1]College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

[2]College of Animal Science and Technology, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China

[3]Institute of Paratacultural Science, Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin, China

Correspondence
Yingjun Zhang, College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China.
Email: zhangyj@cau.edu.cn

Abstract

Aims: Although many studies have investigated how biodiversity loss impacts ecosystem functioning, we still have little understanding of how it interacts with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) to affect plant communities in natural grasslands. Here, we conducted a removal experiment to examine how AMF suppression and plant functional group (PFG) removal affect above-ground productivity and community composition in a grassland, and to determine whether AMF alter the compensation ability of the remaining plants.

Location: Inner Mongolian grassland, China.

Methods: We suppressed AMF activities by applying Topsin®-M as a soil drench and selectively removed PFGs to give three treatments (no removal, removal of C_3 grasses and removal of both C_4 grasses and forbs). We then measured various plant, soil and AMF parameters for each treatment combination.

Results: The addition of Topsin-M effectively reduced mycorrhizal root colonization across all of the PFG removal treatments. Furthermore, above-ground productivity was significantly impacted by both the presence of AMF and the removal of PFGs. When C_3 grasses were removed, neither C_4 grasses nor forbs compensated for the biomass decline, and the presence of AMF did not affect their compensation ability. Conversely, C_3 grasses could completely compensate for the removal of both C_4 grasses and forbs, but the presence of AMF reduced their compensation ability. The removal of both C_4 grasses and forbs dramatically increased the shoot P content of C_3 grasses but AMF slightly decreased this. In contrast, AMF significantly increased the plant P content of C_4 grasses and forbs across all three PFG removal treatments.

Conclusion: Our results highlight the importance of AMF in structuring natural above-ground productivity under various biodiversity loss scenarios, and indicate that AMF will be able to modify vegetation dynamics in response to the future loss of plant diversity.

KEYWORDS
arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, biodiversity, compensation, ecosystem functioning, grassland ecosystem, plant functional group, plant productivity, removal experiment

1 | INTRODUCTION

The effect of biodiversity loss on ecosystem functions and services has attracted a large amount of interest among ecologists in recent years (Hooper et al., 2005; Loreau et al., 2001; Tilman, Reich, & Isbell, 2012). However, although it has been shown that biodiversity loss greatly affects ecosystem structure and functioning in artificial communities (Cardinale et al., 2012), it has been argued that these

results and conclusions cannot be generalized to native communities because synthetic communities only contain particular plant species and assemblages (Duffy, 2009; Jiang, Wan, & Li, 2009). Specifically, plant diversity lies at the core of the links between biodiversity and ecosystem functions (Isbell et al., 2011). Therefore, knowledge of vegetation dynamics in natural ecosystem responses to plant diversity loss is important to understand the possible effects of future biodiversity loss.

A growing body of research has demonstrated that plant diversity loss affects vegetation properties (e.g., primary productivity and plant species richness), plant-available nutrients in the soil and net ecosystem C exchange (Kong et al., 2011; Maestre et al., 2012; McLaren & Turkington, 2010; Pan et al., 2016; Wardle et al., 1999; Winfree, Fox, Williams, Reilly, & Cariveau, 2015). Furthermore, recent experimental studies have shown that plant diversity loss also affects the structure and functioning of the below-ground community (Cardinale et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2016; Marshall, McLaren, & Turkington, 2011). For example, Chen et al. (2016) found that the loss of dominant plant functional groups (PFGs) in a temperate ecosystem altered the below-ground communities (microbes and nematodes), whereas the loss of sub-dominant PFGs had no effect. Here, PFGs are defined as the groups of plants in a community that share similar plant morphological characteristics and show similar growth responses to environmental changes, and therefore have similar influences on main ecosystem processes (Lavorel, McIntyre, Landsberg, & Forbes, 1997). However, Marshall et al. (2011) found that the soil microbial community in a northern Canadian grassland ecosystem was relatively insensitive to the loss of PFGs. Therefore, differences in the responses of soil microbes to PFG loss might relate to specific characteristics of different grasslands.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are an important component of the soil microbial community as they play a critical role in altering plant community diversity, composition and primary productivity (Hartnett & Wilson, 1999; van der Heijden et al., 1998). AMF improve the uptake of P in host plants, which, in return, provides them with photosynthates for their survival (Smith & Read, 2008). Experiments with artificial communities have demonstrated that plant community diversity affects AMF properties such as mycorrhizal colonization and the number and species composition of the spores (Burrows & Pfleger, 2002; Chen, Tang, Fang, & Shimizu, 2004; van der Heijden et al., 1998), whereas field studies found that the PFG removal did not affect AMF colonization or spore density in a mountain shrubland (Urcelay et al., 2009) or a northern grassland (Marshall et al., 2011), indicating AMF community resilience to experimental PFG loss. However, we still lack a comprehensive understanding of how AMF interact with their host plants under different diversity loss scenarios in natural grasslands.

A major feature of native grasslands is that the PFGs have different abundances within a particular community (Ives & Cardinale, 2004). Previous studies have shown that loss of dominant PFGs has a greater impact on ecosystem functions, such as primary productivity and soil N use, than the loss of rare PFGs (Longo, Seidler, Garibaldi, Tognetti, & Chaneton, 2013; Pan et al., 2016; Winfree

et al., 2015). For example, Pan et al. (2016) found that the loss of two dominant PFGs from a C_3 grass-dominated grassland resulted in declines in plant community biomass, even when the sub-dominant PFGs were present. However, McLaren and Turkington (2010, 2011) found that the biomass declines that were induced by the loss of dominant PFGs in a forb-dominated grassland were partly compensated for by sub-dominant PFGs. Thus, it appears that dominant and sub-dominant PFGs may have different and/or context-dependent effects on primary productivity. Moreover, the mycorrhizal dependence of sub-dominant species or PFGs determines the effects of AMF on plant community composition (Urcelay & Díaz, 2003). Whereas, to the best of our knowledge, there is little understanding of how AMF alter the growth responses of dominant and sub-dominant PFGs under PFG loss.

Pioneering experiments have shown that the effects of biodiversity loss on ecosystem properties depend on the identities of not only the lost PFGs but also those that remain (Cardinale et al., 2012; McLaren & Turkington, 2010), as these can expand to replace those that were lost through a process known as compensation (Adler & Bradford, 2002; Pan et al., 2016). It has previously been found that grasses colonize quickly after the removal of other species, whereas forbs show no increase in biomass (McLaren & Turkington, 2011). In addition, PFGs are also considered to be more important than other factors for predicting plant mycorrhizal growth responses, with forbs showing more positive responses to AMF than C_3 grasses (Hoeksema et al., 2010). However, few studies have examined whether AMF alter the degree of biomass compensation after PFG removal.

The aim of this study was to improve our understanding of the effects of AMF on native vegetation dynamics under PFG loss by conducting a removal experiment in the Inner Mongolia grassland, China. Removal experiments are particularly useful in ecosystems where artificial communities are difficult to create, such as Arctic and temperate ecosystems that are dominated by long-lived perennial species (McLaren & Turkington, 2011; Wu et al., 2015). Specifically, we addressed the following questions: (a) how do AMF and PFG removal affect above-ground productivity, community composition and plant nutrient uptake (P); and (b) do AMF also alter the compensation ability of the remaining plants after PFG removal?

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study site

This experiment was conducted in a semi-arid steppe (42°01′ N, 116°17′ E; 1,324 m a.s.l.) in Inner Mongolia, China. This region has a monsoon climate, with a long-term (1953–2010) mean annual precipitation of ~378 mm and mean annual temperature of 2.1°C. The soils are classified as Calcis-orthic Aridisol and the vegetation type is classified as a temperate steppe, in which the dominant plant species are mainly perennial herbs such as *Artemisia frigida* and *Stipa krylovii* (Bai et al., 2015). More than 80% of the plant species at the study site have been identified as mycorrhizal plants (Bao & Yan, 2004; Tian, Gai,

Zhang, Christie, & Li, 2009). Previous studies have shown that PFG richness is more strongly related to primary productivity than plant species diversity (Bai, Han, Wu, Chen, & Li, 2004; Hooper et al., 2005), and that C_4 grasses and forbs have stronger mycorrhizal dependencies than C_3 grasses (Hoeksema et al., 2010; Lin, McCormack, & Guo, 2015). Therefore, prior to our study, we categorized the initial plant community into three PFGs: C_3 grasses, C_4 grasses and forbs, which accounted for ~14.77%, 11.16% and 74.06%, respectively, of the total above-ground biomass (Yang et al., 2014).

2.2 | Experimental design

From May 2015 to Sept 2017, we carried out a removal experiment using a two-factor random block design, which included factorial combinations of fungicide (F; no fungicide vs. fungicide treatment) and PFG removal (removal; no removal, removal of C_3 grasses, and removal of both C_4 grasses and forbs), as well as one treatment with no water or fungicide addition (Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S1, S2, Supporting information: Appendix S2: Figure S1). The plots were 2.2 m × 2.2 m in size and were spaced 2.0 m apart. The AMF suppression (fungicide treatment) plots received Topsin®-M as a soil drench (1.25 g of the active ingredient in 1.875 L water per m^2 every 2 weeks), while the control (mycorrhizal treatment) plots only received 1.875 L water per m^2 every 2 weeks, and both treatments were simultaneously conducted from May to Sept of each year (2015–2017). Previous field studies have used the fungicide benomyl to suppress AMF (Wilson, Rice, Rillig, Springer, & Hartnett, 2009; Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). However, here, we chose to use Topsin-M because it is a successful alternative for the suppression of AMF that has the same mode of action as benomyl (Wilson & Williamson, 2008) and can effectively reduce the mycorrhizal colonization of roots to ~60%–80% compared with those in control plots (McCain, Wilson, & Blair, 2011; Wilson & Williamson, 2008).

For the PFG removal treatments, the target PFGs were completely removed by clipping the above-ground parts of the plants and tillering the nodes at 0–5 cm soil depth to minimize physical disturbance to the soil (Chen et al., 2016). To ensure that the growth of the targeted PFGs stopped or at least was significantly suppressed, we clipped the plants in early Jun of each year.

2.3 | Sampling procedure

We established one permanent quadrat (1 m × 1 m) in each plot to estimate plant species richness in early Aug of each year. In mid-Aug of each year, we then clipped all of the plants to ground level in one sampling quadrat (0.5 m × 1.0 m) within each plot to determine the above-ground biomass of the community and each plant species. All plants were sorted into species and oven-dried at 65°C for 48 hr before being weighed. The dry weight of each plant species was recorded separately and expressed as the shoot biomass per m^2. Unlike the determination of shoot biomass, the root biomass of each species/PFG cannot be determined precisely due to the roots of plants being tangled in the field. The relatively coarse roots of

individual plant species could be collected after washing with water (Bai et al., 2015), but fine roots could not be completely isolated because they were intermingled with roots of other plants (van der Heijden et al., 2006). Therefore, we did not measure the root biomass of each species/PFG in the present study. In addition, we calculated the Shannon–Wiener index (H') for each sampling occasion using the equation:

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} pi \ln pi$$

where S is the number of plant species in a given plot and pi is the relative biomass of species i in a plot.

In mid-Aug of each year, we also randomly collected three soil cores (10 cm depth and 7 cm diameter) from the outside area of the permanent quadrat and the previously sampled quadrats in each plot, which were combined in situ into one composite sample. Stones and roots were removed from each soil sample (~200 g) by sieving through a 2-mm mesh, and the roots were then separated from the stones by washing with water. In addition, to assess the impacts of fungicide application and PFG removal on soil microbes, the soil samples (~15 g) were frozen at −80°C for phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis in 2016 (see below).

2.4 | Calculation of the compensation index

To evaluate the compensation capabilities of PFGs and their potential interactions with AMF, we calculated the compensation index (CI) for each treatment using the following equation:

$$CI = \frac{\sum_i^n (O_i - E_i)}{\sum_i^N E_i - \sum_i^n E_i}$$

where O_i is the observed shoot biomass of PFG i in the depleted community, E_i is the expected shoot biomass of PFG i in the depleted community (equal to the yield of PFG i in the full community), n is the set of PFGs in the depleted community, and N is the set of PFGs in the full community. $CI < 0$ indicates no compensation, $0 < CI < 1$ indicates partial compensation, $CI = 1$ indicates complete compensation, and $CI > 1$ indicates overcompensation (Adler & Bradford, 2002).

2.5 | Laboratory analyses

To estimate the effects of field treatments on the main function of AMF in the host plant growth (P acquisition), we analysed the shoot P concentrations of C_3 grasses, C_4 grasses and forbs that produced sufficient shoot biomass for measurement. After digesting the shoots with perchloric and nitric acids (Bélanger & Rees, 2007), the shoot P concentration was measured using a spectrophotometer, with ammonium molybdate and ascorbic acid as colour reagents.

Soil nutrient availablity can also alter AMF effects on plant growth and P acquisition (Johnson, Wilson, Bowker, Wilson, & Miller, 2010; Johnson, Wilson, Wilson, Miller, & Bowker, 2015). To estimate potential effects of field treatments on soil chemical properties, we

TABLE 1 *F* ratios resulting from the repeated measures ANOVA testing the effects of year (Y) and treatments on the plant shoot biomass from 2015 to 2017

Effects		Community biomass				C_3 grass biomass		C_4 grass biomass		Forb biomass	
	df	F	p	df		F	p	F	p	F	p
Between-subject											
Block	5, 25	1.56	0.21	5,15		1.36	0.29	0.53	0.75	2.01	0.14
F	1, 25	3.69	0.07	1,15		**10.23**	**0.01**	**6.85**	**0.02**	0.03	0.86
Removal	2, 25	**17.49**	**<0.01**	1,15		**118.58**	**<0.01**	0.14	0.71	0.06	0.81
F × Removal	2, 25	**4.73**	**0.02**	1,15		**5.66**	**0.03**	0.12	0.73	0.16	0.70
Within-subject											
Y	2, 50	**182.95**	**<0.01**	2,30		**141.41**	**<0.01**	1.23	0.31	**41.43**	**<0.01**
Y × Block	10, 50	**2.70**	**0.01**	10,30		**2.15**	**0.05**	1.87	0.09	1.29	0.28
Y × F	2, 50	1.24	0.30	2,30		2.77	0.08	2.91	0.07	0.74	0.48
Y × Removal	4, 50	**24.99**	**<0.01**	2,30		**169.58**	**<0.01**	0.61	0.55	2.24	0.12
Y × F × Removal	4, 50	0.81	0.52	2,30		2.08	0.14	0.80	0.46	0.13	0.88

Treatments were fungicide (F), plant functional group removal (Removal). C_3 grasses, C_4 grasses and forbs all have the same *df*. Bold values are significant at *p* < 0.05.

measured soil available P and inorganic N. The soil available P was determined using the Olsen method (Bélanger & Rees, 2007), the soil inorganic N (NH_4^+ N and NO_3^- N) contents were measured with a flow injection autoanalyzer (Flowsys; Ecotech, Germany) and soil moisture was measured by oven-drying a fresh soil sample from each plot (20 g) at 105°C for 24 hr.

To estimate the influences of treatments on the extra-radical and intra-radical abundance of AMF, we analysed PLFA concentration in soil and mycorrhizal root colonization, respectively. Qualitative and quantitative PLFA analyses were performed using a modification of the Bligh and Dyer method (Frostegard, Tunlid, & Baath, 1991) with an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and Sherlock software (MIDI, Newark, NJ, USA). The following fatty acids were used as indicators: $16:1\omega5c$ for AMF; $18:2\omega6,9$ for other fungal PLFAs (Schnoor, Martensson, & Olsson, 2011); a15:0, a17:0, i15:0, i16:0, i17:0, $16:1\omega7$, 17:0, cy17:0 and cy19:0 for the total bacterial PLFAs (Buyer, Roberts, & Russek-Cohen, 1999; Moore-Kucera & Dick, 2008).

Mycorrhizal root colonization could not be determined precisely for each species due to the roots of plants being tangled in the field. To estimate AMF root colonization, the tangled roots were cut into 1 cm root segments, which were cleaned in 10% (w/v) potassium hydroxide (KOH) at 90°C in a water bath for 2 hr, acidified with 2% (w/v) hydrochloric acid (HCl) for 5 min, and then washed and stained with 0.05% (w/v) trypan blue. We examined 30 root segments from each root sample microscopically to estimate AMF root colonization (Trouvelot, 1986).

2.6 | Statistical analyses

We found no significant difference between the treatment with no water or Topsin-M addition and the treatment with water and no Topsin-M addition in terms of the AMF properties and shoot

biomass of each PFG. We therefore removed the former treatment from all subsequent analyses.

To test the effects of year and treatments on each of the plant, soil and AMF parameters, we used repeated measures ANOVA, with the AMF and PFG removal treatments included as between-subject factors and year included as a within-subject factor. Because year had a significant effect for nearly all of the parameters tested (shoot biomass, species richness, Shannon–Wiener index, compensation index and shoot P content), a separate two-way ANOVA (GLM) was performed for each year to test the effects of treatments and their interactions. A two-way ANOVA was used to analyse the effect of treatments on the soil microbial PLFA concentrations because these were estimated only once in 2016. We also used a two-way ANOVA to analyse the treatment effects on AMF root colonization, soil inorganic N and soil available P because these were only estimated once in Aug 2017. An independent samples *t*-test was used to detect significant differences between the control and Topsin-M treatments for each PFG removal level. The homogeneity and normality of variances were verified for all data using Levene and Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests, respectively. All data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical package (v 17.0; IBM, Armonk, New York, USA) with a significance level of *p* < 0.05.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Effects of treatments on plant shoot biomass and diversity

There was a significant interaction effect between fungicide and PFG removal on the shoot biomass of the community over time (F × Removal: $F_{2,25} = 4.73$, *p* < 0.05; Table 1). The fungicide treatment significantly increased the community shoot biomass in the combined C_4 grasses and forbs removal plots but had less effect

FIGURE 1 Effects of fungicide (F) and functional group removal (Removal) on the shoot biomass of the plant community in 2015 (a), 2016 (b) and 2017(c). 0, 1 and 2 indicate no removal, removal of C_3 grasses and removal of both C_4 grasses and forbs, respectively. Data are means ± SE. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; NS $P > 0.05$

in the control and C_3 grasses removal plots (Figure 1a–c). Across 3 years of treatment, PFG removal significantly reduced plant species richness and the Shannon–Wiener index, particularly when both C_4 grasses and forbs were removed (Figure 2). The fungicide treatment only reduced the Shannon–Wiener index across all removal treatments in 2017 (Figure 2).

There was also a significant interaction between fungicide and PFG removal in their effects on the shoot biomass of C_3 grasses across the 3 years (F × Removal: $F_{1,25} = 5.66$, $p < 0.05$; Table 1). The fungicide treatment significantly increased the shoot biomass of C_3 grasses only in the combined C_4 grass and forb removal plots from 2015 to 2017 (Figure 3a–c) and significantly reduced the shoot biomass of C_4 grasses only in 2017 (Figure 3d–f). Neither fungicide or PFG removal significantly affected the shoot biomass of forbs (all $p > 0.05$; Figure 3g–i).

3.2 | Effects of treatments on plant shoot P content

There was a significant interaction effect between fungicide and PFG removal on the shoot P content of the plant community over time (F × Removal: $F_{2,25} = 7.46$, $p < 0.01$; Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S2). The fungicide treatment increased the shoot P content of the plant community in both the control and the combined C_4 grasses and forbs removal plots but reduced it in the C_3 grasses removal plots from 2015 to 2017 (Figure 4; Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S2). PFG removal significantly increased the shoot P content of C_3 grasses and this was not affected by AMF across 3 years (Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S2, Figure 5a–c), whereas the fungicide treatment significantly reduced the shoot P content of C_4 grasses and forbs, which was not affected by PFG removal (Figure 5d–i; Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S2).

3.3 | Effects of treatments on compensation index

The *CI*s were much higher for C_3 grasses (close to 1 in 2016 and 2017; Figure 6a) than for C_4 grasses and forbs (much less than 0;

Figure 6b,c). The fungicide treatment significantly increased the *CI*s of C_3 grasses but did not affect those of C_4 grasses and forbs (independent-samples *t*-test; Figure 6a–c).

3.4 | Effects of treatments on AMF and soil properties

There was a significant interaction between fungicide and PFG removal in their effects on mycorrhizal root colonization (F × Removal: $F_{2,25} = 12.45$, $p < 0.01$), with the addition of fungicide decreasing mycorrhizal root colonization by 26.92% in the no removal plots and by 63.04% in the C_3 grasses removal plots, but having no effect on mycorrhizal root colonization in the combined C_4 grasses and forbs removal plots (Figure 7). Fungicide addition significantly suppressed the AMF PLFA concentration across all removal treatments ($F_{1,25} = 4.93$, $p < 0.05$; Supporting information: Appendix S2: Figure S2) but did not alter the bacterial and other fungal PLFA concentrations in 2016 (all $p > 0.05$; Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S3). Neither fungicide addition nor PFG removal affected soil inorganic N and available P contents (all $p > 0.05$; Supporting information: Appendix S2: Figure S3).

4 | DISCUSSION

Above-ground productivity was significantly decreased when both C_4 grasses and forbs were removed from plots, but it was not affected by the loss of C_3 grasses (Figure 1, Table 1). It is suggested that the PFG loss could erode above-ground productivity in a temperate steppe community (Figure 1, Table 1), consistent with the conclusions of other removal experiments (Flombaum & Sala, 2008; Pan et al., 2016; Wardle & Zackrisson, 2005). Changes in above-ground productivity were derived from not only the identity of the PFGs but also the presence of AMF. Our results showed that AMF strongly suppressed C_3 grass growth when both C_4 grasses and forbs were removed from the plots (Figure 3a–c, Table 1), it might be reasoned from AMF suppressing the shoot

FIGURE 2 Effects of fungicide (F) and functional group removal (Removal) on the species richness (a, b and c) and Shannon-Wiener index (d, e and f) from 2015 to 2017. See Figure 1 for treatment abbreviations. Data are means ± SE. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; NS $P > 0.05$

P content of C_3 grasses (Figure 5a–c; Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S2). Similar to our study, previous studies also found that the application of a fungicide increased graminoid shoot biomass (McLaren & Turkington, 2010, 2011). However, below-ground productivity of the plant community was much higher than above-ground productivity in a temperate steppe (Yang, Fang, Ma, Guo, & Mohammat, 2010). Unfortunately, we only measured the above-ground productivity, not below-ground one, thus total plant productivity was not assessed and might provide a future study.

The removal of both C_4 grasses and forbs dramatically reduced plant species richness and diversity, whereas the removal of C_3 grasses had little effect (Figure 2). This suggests that forbs are the main contributor to plant richness and diversity in this grassland ecosystem (Figure 2). The addition of fungicide also had no effect on plant richness over time regardless of the PFG removal (Figure 2a–c), which is consistent with findings in a similar grassland ecosystem (Yang et al., 2014).

Wardle et al. (1999) predicted that compensation for biomass loss depended on the traits of the remaining plants, not of those removed. However, the degree of biomass compensation depended on both the identity of the remaining PFGs and the presence of AMF in this study. After 3 years of recovery, C_3 grasses could completely compensate for the biomass loss of both C_4 grasses and forbs but AMF decreased this biomass compensation ability (Figure 6). The present results might be reasoned from the C_3 grasses at our study site, containing perennial rhizomatous grasses (*Carex korshinskyi*) and perennial bunch grasses (*Stipa*

krylovii), which have been shown to have a strong compensation ability (Supporting information: Appendix S2: Figure S4) due to their highly developed rhizome system (Wang, Li, Han, & Ming, 2004), allowing them to quickly occupy the space created by species losses (Symstad & Tilman, 2001). Alternatively, the C_3 grasses usually have fibrous and highly branched root systems with rapid nutrient uptake rates as a result of the high root surface area (Wilson & Hartnett, 1998). Therefore, C_3 grasses are less dependent on AMF, and often show few positive and sometimes even negative responses to AMF inoculation (Lin et al., 2015; Wilson & Hartnett, 1998).

In the C_3 grass removal plots, neither C_4 grasses nor forbs compensated for the biomass loss of C_3 grasses (Figure 6), and AMF slightly improved the biomass of C_4 grasses (Figure 3f) and their shoot P content (Figure 5; Supporting information: Appendix S1: Table S2). Similarly, previous studies have also found that C_4 grasses and forbs do not usually compensate for the PFG loss (McLaren & Turkington, 2011; Pan et al., 2016; Symstad & Tilman, 2001). C_4 grasses have strong recruitment limitation and do not quickly occupy the space caused by PFG losses (Symstad & Tilman, 2001). The responses of forbs to PFG loss are very variable, ranging from small, annual, early season, low mycorrhizal responsive plants such as *Salsola collina* to robust, perennial, deep-rooted, late season, high mycorrhizal responsive species such as *Artemisia frigida* (Symstad & Tilman, 2001; Tian et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2014). In this study, we evaluated the CI fairly soon after the initiation of PFG removal, whereas compensatory growth of the remaining PFGs (and particularly C_4

FIGURE 3 Effects of fungicide (F) and functional group removal (Removal) on the shoot biomass of C_3 grasses (a, b and c), C_4 grasses (d, e and f) and forbs (g, h and i) from 2015 to 2017. See Figure 1 for treatment abbreviations. Data are means ± SE. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; NS $P > 0.05$


FIGURE 4 Effects of fungicide (F) and functional group removal (Removal) on the shoot P content of the plant community in 2015 (a), 2016 (b) and 2017 (c). See Figure 1 for treatment abbreviations. Data are means ± SE. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; NS $P > 0.05$

FIGURE 5 Effects of fungicide (F) and functional group removal (Removal) on the shoot P content of C_3 grasses (a, b and c), C_4 grasses (d, e and f) and forbs (g, h and i) from 2015 to 2017. See Figure 1 for treatment abbreviations. Data are means ± SE. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; NS $p > 0.05$


FIGURE 6 Effects of fungicide (F) and functional group removal (Removal) on compensation index in 2015 (a), 2016 (b) and 2017(c). See Figure 1 for treatment abbreviations. Data are means ± SE. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; NS $p > 0.05$

FIGURE 7 Effects of fungicide (F) and plant functional group removal (Removal) on mycorrhizal root colonization in 2017. See Figure 1 for treatment abbreviations. Data are means ± SE. $*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$; NS $p > 0.05$

grasses and perennial forbs) may last for several years (Pan et al., 2016), such forbs compensated completely for the biomass loss of graminoids after 5 years of recovery (McLaren & Turkington, 2011).

The identity of the removed PFGs also affects mycorrhizal root colonization at the community level, which only increased in the C_3 grass removal plots (Figure 6). Our results were similar to the findings of Urcelay et al. (2009), where graminoid loss tended to increase mycorrhizal root colonization. Most C_3 grasses, particularly *C. korshinskyi* and *Stipa krylovii*, exhibit a low percentage of mycorrhizal colonization (Bao & Yan, 2004). Therefore, the higher proportion of both C_4 grasses and forbs that were present in the C_3 grass removal plots might explain the higher mycorrhizal root colonization observed in this study (Supporting information: Appendix S2: Figure S5).

The addition of Topsin-M over three growing periods led to a significant decrease in the mycorrhizal root colonization and PLFA concentration of AMF in the control plots, which is consistent with the findings with Topsin-M applied to native grasslands (McCain et al., 2011; Wilson & Williamson, 2008). The shoot P content of the plant community was lower in the Topsin-M addition plots than the control, which illustrates that Topsin-M can effectively suppress the functions of AMF in the host plant. Several studies have previously shown that fungicide addition may alter plant growth by increasing soil nutrient availability (Allison, Rajaniemi, Goldberg, & Zak, 2007; Chen & Edwards, 2001). However, in the present study, Topsin-M addition did not measurably alter the soil inorganic N and available P content, consistent with previous work in the field (Yang et al., 2014). Furthermore, Topsin-M addition did not totally suppress mycorrhizal root colonization in this study, suggesting that the in situ effects of AMF on the growth and compensation ability of plants might have been underestimated (Kahiluoto, Ketoja, & Vestberg, 2000; Yang et al., 2014).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The AMF could decrease above-ground productivity responses to PFG loss, and furthermore affect the degree of compensation in the remaining PFGs, which decrease biomass compensation ability of C_3 grasses to buffer the impacts of biomass loss of both C_4 grasses and forbs in a natural ecosystem (Adler & Bradford, 2002; McLaren & Turkington, 2011; Pan et al., 2016). Thus, our results demonstrate that AMF affect plant growth responses at the PFG and community level, and could increase our ability to ameliorate the responses of plant communities to future plant diversity loss.

ORCID

Yingjun Zhang [iD] http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5776-4392

REFERENCES

Adler, P. B., & Bradford, J. B. (2002). Compensation: An alternative method for analyzing diversity–productivity experiments. *Oikos*, *96*, 411–420. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.960303.x

Allison, V. J., Rajaniemi, T. K., Goldberg, D. E., & Zak, D. R. (2007). Quantifying direct and indirect effects of fungicide on an old-field plant community: An experimental null-community approach. *Plant Ecology*, *190*, 53–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-006-9190-8

Bai, W., Guo, D., Tian, Q., Liu, N., Cheng, W., Li, L., & Zhang, W.-H. (2015). Differential responses of grasses and forbs led to marked reduction in below-ground productivity in temperate steppe following chronic N deposition. *Journal of Ecology*, *103*, 1570–1579. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12468

Bai, Y., Han, X., Wu, J., Chen, Z., & Li, L. (2004). Ecosystem stability and compensatory effects in the Inner Mongolia grassland. *Nature*, *431*, 181–184. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02850

Bao, Y., & Yan, W. (2004). Arbuscular mycorrhizae and their structural types on common plants in grasslands of mid-western Inner Mongolia. *Biodiversity Science*, *12*, 501–508.

Bélanger, N., & Rees, K. C. J. V. (2007). *Soil sampling and methods of analysis*, 2 ed. (pp. 15–24). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

Burrows, R. L., & Pfleger, F. L. (2002). Host responses to AMF from plots differing in plant diversity. *Plant and Soil*, *240*, 169–180. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015850905754

Buyer, J. S., Roberts, D. P., & Russek-Cohen, E. (1999). Microbial community structure and function in the spermosphere as affected by soil and seed type. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, *45*, 138–144. https://doi.org/10.1139/w98-227

Cardinale, B. J., Duffy, J. E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D. U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., … Naeem, S. (2012). Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature*, *486*, 59–67. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148

Chen, S. K., & Edwards, C. A. (2001). A microcosm approach to assess the effects of fungicides on soil ecological processes and plant growth: Comparisons of two soil types. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, *33*, 1981–1991. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00132-8

Chen, D., Pan, Q., Bai, Y., Hu, S., Huang, J., Wang, Q., … Han, X. (2016). Effects of plant functional group loss on soil biota and net ecosystem exchange: A plant removal experiment in the Mongolian grassland. *Journal of Ecology*, *104*, 734–743. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12541

Chen, X., Tang, J., Fang, Z., & Shimizu, K. (2004). Effects of weed communities with various species numbers on soil features in a subtropical orchard ecosystem. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *102*, 377–388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.08.006

Duffy, J. E. (2009). Why biodiversity is important to the functioning of real-world ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *7*, 437–444. https://doi.org/10.1890/070195

Flombaum, P., & Sala, O. E. (2008). Higher effect of plant species diversity on productivity in natural than artificial ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *105*, 6087–6090. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704801105

Frostegard, A., Tunlid, A., & Baath, E. (1991). Microbial biomass measured as total lipid phosphate in soils of different organic content. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, *14*, 151–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(91)90018-L

Hartnett, D. C., & Wilson, G. W. T. (1999). Mycorrhizae influence plant community structure and diversity in tallgrass prairie. *Ecology*, *80*, 1187–1195. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[1187:MIPCSA]2.0.CO;2

van der Heijden, M. G. A., Klironomos, J. N., Ursic, M., Moutoglis, P., Streitwolf-Engel, R., Boller, T., … Sanders, I. R. (1998). Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature*, *396*, 69–72. https://doi.org/10.1038/23932

van der Heijden, M. G. A., Streitwolf-Engel, R., Riedl, R., Siegrist, S., Neudecker, A., Ineichen, K., … Sanders, I. R. (2006). The mycorrhizal contribution to plant productivity, plant nutrition and soil structure in experimental grassland. *New Phytologist*, *172*, 739–752. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01862.x

Hoeksema, J. D., Chaudhary, V. B., Gehring, C. A., Johnson, N. C., Karst, J., Koide, R. T., … Umbanhowar, J. (2010). A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecology Letters*, *13*, 394–407. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01430.x

Hooper, D. U., Chapin, F. S., Ewel, J. J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., … Wardle, D. A. (2005). Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: A consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological Monographs*, *75*, 3–35. https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0922

Isbell, F., Calcagno, V., Hector, A., Connolly, J., Harpole, W. S., Reich, P. B., … Loreau, M. (2011). High plant diversity is needed to maintain ecosystem services. *Nature*, *477*, 199–202. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10282

Ives, A. R., & Cardinale, B. J. (2004). Food-web interactions govern the resistance of communities after non-random extinctions. *Nature*, *429*, 174–177. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02515

Jiang, L., Wan, S., & Li, L. (2009). Species diversity and productivity: Why do results of diversity-manipulation experiments differ from natural patterns? *Journal of Ecology*, *97*, 603–608. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01503.x

Johnson, N. C., Wilson, G. W. T., Bowker, M. A., Wilson, J. A., & Miller, R. M. (2010). Resource limitation is a driver of local adaptation in mycorrhizal symbioses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *107*, 2093–2098. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906710107

Johnson, N. C., Wilson, G. W. T., Wilson, J. A., Miller, R. M., & Bowker, M. A. (2015). Mycorrhizal phenotypes and the Law of the Minimum. *New Phytologist*, *205*, 1473–1484. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13172

Kahiluoto, H., Ketoja, E., & Vestberg, M. (2000). Creation of a non-mycorrhizal control for a bioassay of AM effectiveness 1. Comparison of methods. *Mycorrhiza*, *9*, 241–258. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00009989

Kong, D., Wu, H., Zeng, H., Lue, X., Simmons, M., Wang, M., … Han, X. (2011). Plant functional group removal alters root biomass and nutrient cycling in a typical steppe in Inner Mongolia, China. *Plant and Soil*, *346*, 133–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0803-1

Lavorel, S., McIntyre, S., Landsberg, J., & Forbes, T. D. A. (1997). Plant functional classifications: From general groups to specific groups based on response to disturbance. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *12*, 474–478. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(97)01219-6

Lin, G., McCormack, M. L., & Guo, D. (2015). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal effects on plant competition and community structure. *Journal of Ecology*, *103*, 1224–1232. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12429

Longo, G., Seidler, T. G., Garibaldi, L. A., Tognetti, P. M., & Chaneton, E. J. (2013). Functional group dominance and identity effects influence the magnitude of grassland invasion. *Journal of Ecology*, *101*, 1114–1124. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12128

Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J. P., Hector, A., … Wardle, D. A. (2001). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, *294*, 804–808. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064088

Maestre, F. T., Quero, J. L., Gotelli, N. J., Escudero, A., Ochoa, V., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., … Zaady, E. (2012). Plant species richness and ecosystem multifunctionality in global drylands. *Science*, *335*, 214–218. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215442

Marshall, C. B., McLaren, J. R., & Turkington, R. (2011). Soil microbial communities resistant to changes in plant functional group composition. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, *43*, 78–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.09.016

McCain, K. N. S., Wilson, G. W. T., & Blair, J. M. (2011). Mycorrhizal suppression alters plant productivity and forb establishment in a grass-dominated prairie restoration. *Plant Ecology*, *212*, 1675–1685. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-011-9940-0

McLaren, J. R., & Turkington, R. (2010). Ecosystem properties determined by plant functional group identity. *Journal of Ecology*, *98*, 459–469. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01630.x

McLaren, J. R., & Turkington, R. (2011). Biomass compensation and plant responses to 7 years of plant functional group removals. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *22*, 503–515. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01263.x

Moore-Kucera, J., & Dick, R. P. (2008). PLFA profiling of microbial community structure and seasonal shifts in soils of a Douglas-fir chronosequence. *Microbial Ecology*, *55*, 500–511. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-007-9295-1

Pan, Q., Tian, D., Naeem, S., Auerswald, K., Elser, J. J., Bai, Y., … Han, X. (2016). Effects of functional diversity loss on ecosystem functions are influenced by compensation. *Ecology*, *97*, 2293–2302. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1460

Schnoor, T. K., Martensson, L.-M., & Olsson, P. A. (2011). Soil disturbance alters plant community composition and decreases mycorrhizal carbon allocation in a sandy grassland. *Oecologia*, *167*, 809–819. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-011-2020-2

Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. (2008). *Mycorrhizal symbiosis*, 3rd ed. New York, NY: Elsevier.

Symstad, A. J., & Tilman, D. (2001). Diversity loss, recruitment limitation, and ecosystem functioning: Lessons learned from a removal experiment. *Oikos*, *92*, 424–435. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2001.920304.x

Tian, H., Gai, J. P., Zhang, J. L., Christie, P., & Li, X. L. (2009). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with wild forage plants in typical steppe of eastern Inner Mongolia. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, *45*, 321–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2009.05.003

Tilman, D., Reich, P. B., & Isbell, F. (2012). Biodiversity impacts ecosystem productivity as much as resources, disturbance, or herbivory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *109*, 10394–10397. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208240109

Trouvelot, A. 1986. Mesure du taux de mycorhization VA d'un systeme radiculaire. Recherche de methodes d'estimation ayant une signification fonctionnelle. In: *Aspects Physiologiques Et Genetiques Des Mycorhizes*, *Proceedings of the European Symposium on Mycorrhizae, Dijon*, FR, 1–5 July, pp. 217–221.

Urcelay, C., & Díaz, S. (2003). The mycorrhizal dependence of subordinates determines the effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plant diversity. *Ecology Letters*, *6*, 388–391. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00444.x

Urcelay, C., Diaz, S., Gurvich, D. E., Chapin, F. S. III, Cuevas, E., & Dominguez, L. S. (2009). Mycorrhizal community resilience in response to experimental plant functional type removals in a woody ecosystem. *Journal of Ecology*, *97*, 1291–1301. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01582.x

Wang, Z. W., Li, L. H., Han, X. G., & Ming, D. (2004). Do rhizome severing and shoot defoliation affect clonal growth of *Leymus chinensis* at ramet population level? *Acta Oecologica*, *26*, 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2004.08.007

Wardle, D. A., Bonner, K. I., Barker, G. M., Yeates, G. W., Nicholson, K. S., Bardgett, R. D., ... Ghani, A. (1999). Plant removals in perennial grassland: Vegetation dynamics, decomposers, soil biodiversity, and ecosystem properties. *Ecological Monographs*, *69*, 535–568. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(1999)069[0535:PRIPGV]2.0.CO;2

Wardle, D. A., & Zackrisson, O. (2005). Effects of species and functional group loss on island ecosystem properties. *Nature*, *435*, 806–810. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03611

Wilson, G. W., & Hartnett, D. C. (1998). Interspecific variation in plant responses to mycorrhizal colonization in tallgrass prairie. *American Journal of Botany*, *85*, 1732–1738. https://doi.org/10.2307/2446507

Wilson, G. W. T., Rice, C. W., Rillig, M. C., Springer, A., & Hartnett, D. C. (2009). Soil aggregation and carbon sequestration are tightly correlated with the abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: Results from long-term field experiments. *Ecology Letters*, *12*, 452–461. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01303.x

Wilson, G. W. T., & Williamson, M. W. (2008). Topsin-M: The new benomyl for mycorrhizal-suppression experiments. *Mycologia*, *100*, 548–554. https://doi.org/10.3852/08-024R

Winfree, R., Fox, J. W., Williams, N. M., Reilly, J. R., & Cariveau, D. P. (2015). Abundance of common species, not species richness, drives delivery of a real-world ecosystem service. *Ecology Letters*, *18*, 626–635. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12424

Wu, J., Naeem, S., Elser, J., Bai, Y., Huang, J., Kang, L., ... Han, X. (2015). Testing biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationship in the world's largest grassland: Overview of the IMGRE project. *Landscape Ecology*, *30*, 1723–1736. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-015-0155-y

Yang, Y., Fang, J., Ma, W., Guo, D., & Mohammat, A. (2010). Large-scale pattern of biomass partitioning across China's grasslands. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *19*, 268–277. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00502.x

Yang, G., Liu, N., Lu, W., Wang, S., Kan, H., Zhang, Y., ... Chen, Y. (2014). The interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and soil phosphorus availability influences plant community productivity and ecosystem stability. *Journal of Ecology*, *102*, 1072–1082. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12249

Zhang, B., Li, S., Chen, S., Ren, T., Yang, Z., Zhao, H., ... Han, X. (2016). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi regulate soil respiration and its response to precipitation change in a semiarid steppe. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 19990. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19990

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Appendix S1 The list of experimental treatments (Table S1); effects of fungicide and PFG removal on plant shoot P content (Table S2) and phospholipid fatty acid analysis (Table S3) from 2015 to 2017

Appendix S2 Experimental plot layout (Figure S1); effects of fungicide and PFG removal on AMF PLFA concentration (Figure S2), soil available nutrients (Figure S3), the shoot biomass of C3 grass species (Figure S4) and plant community composition (Figure S5) from 2015 to 2017