SOILS, SEC 5 • SOIL AND LANDSCAPE ECOLOGY • RESEARCH ARTICLE

Differential responses of soil bacterial taxa to long-term P, N, and organic manure application

Ying Wang^{1,2} · Hongfei Ji² · Changqing Gao²

Received: 2 September 2015 / Accepted: 25 November 2015 / Published online: 7 December 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract

Purpose Soil microorganisms and their interactions with environmental factors govern critical ecosystem processes. However, the changes of soil microbial communities (e.g., relative abundance changes of different phylotypes) and the links between specific environmental factors and microbial communities are not well understood.

Materials and methods We applied high-throughput sequencing of 16S rRNA gene amplicons to investigate the effects of mineral fertilizers P (superphosphate), N (urea), and NP and organic manure fertilizer (M) and its combined with mineral fertilizers (NM, PM, NPM) on bacterial and archaeal communities in rain-fed winter wheat soils in a 30-year experiment in the Loess Plateau of northwest China.

Results and discussion Dramatic changes of soil respiration and the concentrations of total organic C, total N, and microbial biomass C and N were found in manure application soils (M, NM, PM, NPM) and some of them in NP soil. Soil microbial community structure shifted after fertilization, and a significant difference of prokaryotic community structure was found between mineral fertilizer soils (P, N, and NP) and ma-

Responsible editor: Jizheng He

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11368-015-1320-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Ying Wang yingwang@nwsuaf.edu.cn

- ¹ State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi Province 712100, People's Republic of China
- ² Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, CAS & MWR, Yangling, Shaanxi Province 712100, People's Republic of China

nure application soils (M, NM, PM, NPM) except the soils between PM and P. The prokaryotic community structure in M soil was different from that in NM and NPM soils and differed between N and P and NP soils. Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria were the predominant phyla (55.5-76.5 % of abundance) and, together with some other phyla, were changed by fertilization at the phylum or lower taxon ranks. No fertilizer soil had the highest relative abundances of phyla WS3 and Gemmatimonadetes. P soil changed the relative abundances of phyla Acidobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, and Verrucomicrobia, but only enriched the bacteria at the family level (Micrococcaceae) when combined with N or M application (NP, PM, and NPM). Some copiotrophic bacteria showed different responses to nitrogen and manure applications, e.g., Actinobacteria increased in abundance in nitrogen application soils (N, NP, NM, and NPM), whereas Bacteroidetes and Gammaproteobacteria increased in abundance in manure application soils (M, NM, PM, and NPM). The above patterns of the relative abundance vs nitrogen or manure application were correlated to soil C and N contents or C/N ratio.

Conclusions These results supported the hypothesis that different bacterial taxa would be favorable in P, N, and manure application soils and suggested that the changes of bacteria taxa in fertilized soils appeared to be more driven by nitrogen and manure applications than P application.

Keywords 16S rRNA · Community structure · Copiotrophic bacteria · MiSeq sequencing · Rain-fed farmland

1 Introduction

Soil harbors a wide variety of microorganisms which play key roles in terrestrial ecosystem processes such as C and N cycles



(van der Heijden et al. 2008; Whitman et al. 1998). The rapidly advancing molecular methods, especially highthroughput sequencing technologies, allow a rapid and detailed study of prokaryotic communities (e.g., relative abundances of microbial phylotypes) and factors that lead to the community changes of the prokaryote (Prosser 2012; Zimmerman et al. 2014). Soil bacterial community composition is influenced by numerous biotic and abiotic factors ranging from their geographic location (Fulthorpe et al. 2008) to site-specific environmental conditions (Fierer and Jackson 2006). Ecosystem processes may be affected by the changes of soil bacterial communities through altering bacterial activities, compositions, or interactions (Prosser 2012). However, the responses of microbial communities and community structure to specific environmental factors induced by nutrient amendments are not clear.

Fertilizer inputs are historically used to increase crop yields and maintain soil fertility in agriculture. The effects of longterm (more than 10 years) N fertilization or N combined with other mineral fertilizers (e.g., NP, NK, NPK) are intensively studied, for example, for determining the fertilization strategies effects on crop yields and soil quality (Zhao et al. 2013) or for assessing fertilization effects on soil microbial biomass, activities, and community structure (Zhong and Cai 2007). It is well established that long-term application of N or N combined with other fertilizers affects the N-cycling processes and the associated microbial communities (Chu et al. 2007; He et al. 2007; Hallin et al. 2009; Ning et al. 2015). Several studies have found that long-term N fertilization alters the overall soil microbial community structure and selects for some bacterial taxa which are related to the changed ecosystem processes (Yao et al. 2014; Turlapati et al. 2013). Longterm manure application including plant residue, animal manure, and composted organic matter usually increases soil microbial biomass and activity and changes bacterial community structure (Jangid et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2012; Li et al. 2014) and the abundances of N-cycling-related microorganisms (Chan et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2014). Compared with the studies on N or manure addition, the long-term effect of P on soil microbial communities was only found in a few studies. Long-term P effect on soil microbial communities was not consistent among studies with no changes of soil microbial communities (Shi et al. 2012; Pan et al. 2014) or shifts of soil bacterial and fungal communities (Beauregard et al. 2010; Tan et al. 2012; Wakelin et al. 2012). Generally, the long-term N application appears to select for copiotrophic taxa (Ramirez et al. 2012) which rely on more labile organic C pools and higher nutrient availabilities with fast growth rates. However, the impact of long-term P or manure fertilization on the overall belowground microbial community dynamics remains largely unknown.

This study focuses on a winter wheat farmland in the Loess Plateau of northwest China which is a traditional and typical rain-fed farming region, facing the threat of drought and nutrient deficiency with low inherent soil fertility and total N concentration 0.042 to 0.077 % (Zhu et al. 1983). Previous research has found that N or N combined with other fertilizers improves soil water content or water using efficiency (Huanga et al. 2003). soil total organic C and N concentrations, and crop yields in this region (Guo et al. 2011; Wei and Hao 2011; Zhengchao et al. 2013). The long-term effects related to fertilization have caused changes of soil microbial biomass and activity in addition to differentiated soil properties and crop yields (Fan and Hao 2003; Lai et al. 2004; Guo et al. 2011). however, the shifts of soil microbial communities associated with fertilization have obtained less attention. In the current study, the shifts of microbial communities among long-term P, N, NP, M, NM, PM, and NPM and no fertilizer soils were examined by the high-throughput sequencing of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene amplicons in a long-term experiment which was established in 1984 in Shaanxi Province, China. The objective was to determine the responses of microbial communities to long-term P, N, and manure applications and the link between the microbial communities and soil properties. We hypothesized that the long-term fertilization and the changes induced by long-term fertilization had formed distinct ecological niches for bacteria and would provide favorable conditions for different bacterial taxa in P (P, NP, PM, and NPM), N (N, NP, NM, and NPM), and manure (M, NM, PM, and NPM) application soils.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental site and sampling

The experiments were conducted in the Changwu Agroecological Experimental Station on the Loess Plateau 107° 40' E, 35° 12' N, altitude 1220 m, Shaanxi Province, China. A long-term fertilizer experiment was established in 1984 with a winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) continuous grown system, including eight treatments with triplicates in a incompletely random plot design: control without fertilizer (CK), mineral fertilizer nitrogen (N), mineral fertilizer phosphate (P), organic manure (M), N and P (NP), N and M (NM), P and M (NM), and NP plus organic manure (NPM) (Guo et al. 2011). The fertilizers N and P applied in the form of urea (120 kg N ha⁻¹ per year) and superphosphate (40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ per year) and M were mainly from cow excretion (equivalent to $87 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ per year). This site has a semiarid climate with an annual rainfall of 584 mm (1957-2001) and annual average temperature of 9.1 °C and represents a typical rain-fed agricultural area in the warm temperate zone of China. The soil is loam developed from loess deposits. The soil samples were collected in May 2014 at depths 0-20 cm. Five cores were taken from each plot and mixed to form one composite sample. Each sample was placed in a sterile plastic bag, sealed, and placed on ice during transportation to the laboratory. All samples were passed through a 2.0-mm sieve and stored at -80 °C for DNA extraction and at 4 °C for other analyses.

2.2 Soil properties

Soil pH was determined with a soil to water ratio of 1:5. Ammonium (NH_4^+ -N) was extracted from the soil by horizontal shaking with 2 M KCl (1:10) for 1 h and determined with sodium nitroprusside/dichloro-S-triazine (Searle 1984). total organic carbon (TOC) with dichromate oxidation method, and total nitrogen (TN) with the Kjeldahl method. Soil microbial biomass C and N (MBC and MBN) were measured by the chloroform fumigationextraction method (Joergensen and Brookes 1990). and the organic C extracted in unfumigated soil was considered as soil dissolved organic C (DOC). Soil basal respiration (R) was measured according to the method described by Enwall et al. (2007). The respiratory quotient Qco₂, was calculated based on Meyer et al. (1996).

2.3 Soil DNA extraction and MiSeq sequencing of 16S rRNA gene amplicons

Soil DNA was extracted from 0.5 g soil using the FastDNA[®] Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Cleveland, OH, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The purified DNA was diluted with 50 μ l sterilized water and checked for quality and quantity using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer.

DNA was amplified using the primers 515F (50-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-30) and 806R (50-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-30) designed to be universal for bacteria and archaea (Caporaso et al. 2011). Primers were tagged with unique barcodes for each replicate DNA sample. PCR reactions were carried out in a 30-µl mixture with 15 µl of Phusion[®] High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 0.2 µM of each primer, and about 10 ng template DNA. The thermal cycling was as follows: 98 °C for 1 min; 30 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min; and 72 °C for 5 min. Negative controls using sterilized water instead of soil DNA were included to avoid primer or sample DNA contamination. Each DNA sample was amplified in three technical replicates and then quantified with electrophoresis and mixed in one tube. All samples were pooled together with equal molar amounts from each sample and purified with the GeneJET gel extraction kit (Thermo Scientific). The purified library was generated using NEB Next® UltraTM DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA) and mixed with the index codes. The library quality was assessed in the Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Scientific) and Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. Then, the library was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform by which 250 bp/300 bp paired-end reads were generated.

All sequence reads were merged using FLASH (Magoc and Salzberg 2011) and assigned to each sample according to their barcodes. Sequence analysis was performed by UPARSE software package using the UPARSE-OTU and UPARSE-OTUref algorithms (Edgar 2013). Sequences with ≥ 97 % similarity were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The aligned 16S rRNA gene sequences were used for a chimera check using the Uchime algorithm (Edgar et al. 2011). Taxonomy was assigned using the Ribosomal Database Project classifier (Wang et al. 2007). Each sample was rarefied to the same number of reads (28,318 sequences) for both alpha-diversity (Chao1 estimator of richness, observed species and Shannon's diversity index) and beta-diversity (NMDS and UniFrac) analyses. The original sequence data are available at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with accession number PRJEB11700 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/ view/PRJEB11700).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Differences in relative abundances of microbial taxa and soil properties between samples were tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The linear correlations between microbial diversity, species abundance, and soil properties were analyzed using SPSS 17.0 software. Significant difference was p < 0.05.

With the untransformed microbial relative abundance at the OTU level as input data, the overall structural changes of soil microbial communities were evaluated by nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) with PC-ORD 5.0 (MjM software, www.pcord.com/). The 3D stress indicates how well the plot represents the variability in the data. A 3D stress <10 is considered to represent a good reflection of the resemblance matrix (Peck 2010). Significant differences in microbial community structure between treatments were determined by MRPP (PC-ORD 5.0, MjM software, www.pcord.com/). The Mantel test was applied to evaluate the correlations between microbial communities and environmental variables using PASSaGE (http://www.passagesoftware. net/). Environmental variables with higher Pearson's correlation coefficients with microbial communities were selected using the BioEnv procedure, and variance partitioning analysis (VPA) based on redundancy analysis procedure was performed to quantify the relative contributions of environmental variables using the varpart procedure in the R package Vegan (http://cran.r-project.org/ web/packages/vegan/index.html).

3 Results

3.1 Soil chemical and microbial properties

All the measured soil chemical and microbial properties did not show differences among the CK, P, N, and NP soils with a few exceptions (Table 1). Soil respiration (R) and DNA concentration in NP soil were higher than those in CK, P, and N soils. The concentrations of ammonium and dissolved organic carbon were highest in N soil. Soil respiratory quotient Qco2 was significantly increased in NP application soils (NP and NPM).

Soil pH was lower in NM soil than in CK soil by 0.2 units (Table 1). Soil respiration and the concentrations of total organic C, total N, microbial C (MBC), microbial N (MBN), and DNA were generally higher in manure application soils (M, NM, PM, and NPM) than in CK and mineral fertilizer soils (P, N, and NP). Compared to CK, manure application combined with N and NP increased the concentrations of total organic C and total N by more than 59 and 61 %, respectively.

3.2 Soil prokaryotic diversity and structure

In total, 1,539,393 high quality and chimera-free reads were obtained by MiSeq sequencing of 16S rRNA gene amplicons with 28,313 to 123,463 reads per sample. Both sequencing and quantitative real-time PCR data showed that the relative abundance of bacteria was more than 97.5 % while archaea was less than 2.5 % in fertilized soils (P. N. NP. M. NM. PM. and NPM). The observed species and Chao1 richness were highest in P soil and lowest in CK soil, whereas the Shannon's diversity index and OTU numbers were highest in M soil and lowest in CK soil (Table 2). The abundances of bacteria and archaea quantified by quantitative real-time PCR were generally higher in manure application soils (M, NM, PM, and NPM) than in mineral fertilizer soils (P, N, and NP) by 9.5 to 127 % and 5 to 77 %, respectively (data not shown).

The proportion of OTUs shared between CK and fertilization soils was occupied 71.6-84.0 % in CK soil, 75.2 % in P soil, 76.6 % in N soil, 76.4 in NP soil, and 72.1 to 74.9 % in manure application soils, respectively (data not shown). This was further supported by the changes of prokaryotic community structure affected by fertilization. The overall prokaryotic community structure generally differed between CK and fertilization soils (Fig. 1 and Table S1, Electronic supplementary material). There was a significant difference of prokaryotic community structure between mineral fertilizer soils (P, N, and NP) and manure application soils (M, NM, PM, NPM) except that between PM and P. The prokaryotic community structure in M soil was different from that in NM and NPM soils and differed between N and P and NP soils. The prokaryotic community structure affected by fertilization was also

Soil chemical and microbial properties

Table 1

Soil properties	CK	P	N	NP	MM	NPM	М	PM
Hc	8.68±0.07a	8.64±0ab	8.66±0.02ab	8.56±0.02ab	8.49±0.02b	8.58±0.03ab	8.71±0.06a	8.64±0.01ab
$\Gamma N \ (mg \ kg^{-1})$	$0.8\pm0.03b$	$0.78 {\pm} 0.01b$	$0.82 \pm 0.05b$	$0.95 {\pm} 0.04b$	1.29±0.01a	1.33±0.04a	1.22±0.05a	1.23±0.06a
$\Gamma OC \ (mg \ kg^{-1})$	$6.59\pm0.18c$	6.44±0.25c	$6.63 \pm 0.25c$	7.9±0.3b	10.48±0.2a	$10.73 \pm 0.2a$	$10.01 \pm 0.38a$	10.29±0.22a
N/C	16.35±0.4a	16.55±0.21a	$16.13 \pm 0.37a$	16.56±0.23a	16.16±0.23a	16.17±0.72a	16.38±0.04a	16.81±0.59a
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ -N (mg kg $^{-1}$)	$3.95 \pm 1.84b$	$4.25 \pm 0.9b$	7.81±0.52a	$3.95 \pm 1.24b$	3.39±0.45b	7.18±1.27a	$3.57 \pm 0.58b$	$3.33 \pm 0.11b$
$OOC (mg kg^{-1})$	116.62±3.15 cd	145.71±12.25bcd	245.3±31.12a	81.9±7.76d	213.22±28.24ab	142.35±5.12bcd	169.56±17.63bcd	126.28±6.44 cd
$MBC (mg kg^{-1})$	50.44±3.74b	48.12±9.47b	60.55±7.71b	51.55±4.3b	113.42±11.33a	$62.01 \pm 5.39b$	104.54±0.3a	106.33±11.82a
$MBN (mg kg^{-1})$	12.17±0.48 cd	11.61 ± 0.65 cd	9.38±0.34d	$17.33\pm0.1bc$	23.04±3.4a	18.53±0.54ab	20.55±0.17a	19.94±1.01a
$3 (mg CO_2-C kg^{-1})$	$0.2 {\pm} 0.01 d$	$0.22 \pm 0.02d$	$0.21 \pm 0.01d$	0.29±0.11c	$0.41 \pm 0.01a$	$0.36\pm0ab$	$0.34\pm0ab$	$0.37 \pm 0.01 ab$
$2co_2 \text{ (mg CO}_2\text{-C g}^{-1} \text{ MBC day}^{-1})$	$4.11\pm0.47abc$	4.92±0.71abc	3.47±0.4c	$5.71\pm0.36ab$	3.65±0.27bc	5.95±0.53a	3.29±0.02c	3.53±0.32c
ONA (ng μl ⁻¹)	4.8±0.65d	5.7±0.45d	5.2±0.1d	$7.1\pm0.22c$	$9.5 {\pm} 0.12b$	10.5±0.01ab	$10.7 \pm 0.31a$	9.8±0.22ab
Wheat yield (kg hm^{-2})	989±20b	756±25a	$1382\pm50c$	2728±102de	2844±105e	$3148\pm70f$	$3104\pm75f$	2608±95d
Values with different letters in a row	mean significant diff	erence at $n < 0.05$ Valu	es are means of th	ree renlicates+SF				
A OLD DATE THE STANDER INTOTATION THE A SAME	TIDAIL OIGHTIVATIV	arms and a contained	IN TO OTIMATIN OTI OTI	TATING TATING				

Deringer

TN total nitrogen, TOC total organic C, DOC dissolved organic C, MBC microbial biomass C, MBN microbial biomass N, R respiration, Qco2 respiration quotient

 Table 2
 Prokaryotic diversity indices at 97 % sequence similarity of 16S rRNA gene sequence calculated based on 28,313 sequences for each sample

Treatments	Chao1 estimator of richness	Observed species	Shannon's diversity index	OTU number
СК	3341±103c	2951±99c	9.82±0.08d	3398±103d
Р	4973±102a	3482±34a	$10.05{\pm}0.02ab$	4789±32a
Ν	3370±134c	3098±63bc	9.83±0.05d	4213±53bc
NP	4592±68ab	3279±32ab	9.88±0.04bcd	4578±128ab
NM	4349±173b	3348±78ab	10.06±0.02ab	4277±26bc
NPM	4507±13ab	3395±33ab	10±0.04abc	4388±130b
М	4453±97b	3359±89ab	10.12±0.02a	4880±44a
PM	4530±23ab	3409±68ab	$10.04{\pm}0.03ab$	4084±20c

Values with different letters in a column mean significant difference at p<0.05. Values are means of three replicates±SE

confirmed by hierarchical clustering based on the UniFrac distance matrix which showed distinct clusters among CK, mineral fertilizer soils, and manure application soils (Fig. 1b).

3.3 Phylogenetic composition of soil prokaryotic community

Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria were the predominant phyla in CK and fertilization soils, with relative abundances of 12.5–19.2, 19.7–27.9, and 23.3–29.4 %, respectively. The relative abundances of phyla Acidobacteria and Verrucomicrobia were lowest in CK soil and highest in P soil, whereas the phyla WS3 and Gemmatimonadetes were highest in CK soil and lowest in PM soil. P application soils (P, NP, PM, and NPM) increased the relative abundance of the family Micrococcaceae (Table 3). The relative abundance of Chloroflexi increased in nitrogen application soils (N, NP,

Fig. 1 NMDS plot of the overall prokaryotic communities in CK and fertilization soils based on all OTUs' relative abundance (a) and clustering of soil prokaryote based on the UniFrac distances (b)

NM, and NPM) and manure application soils (M, NM, PM, and NPM) (Table 3). Actinobacteria increased in abundance in nitrogen application soils, whereas Bacteroidetes increased in abundance in manure application soils at the phylum level. The above patterns of the relative abundance vs nitrogen or manure application were observed at the lower taxon ranks in addition to the phylum level. The relative abundance of Gammaproteobacteria was increased in manure application soils at the class level and lower taxon ranks. The relative abundance of Actinobacteria was negatively correlated to soil C/N ratio, whereas the relative abundances of Bacteroidetes and Gammaproteobacteria were positively correlated to soil total organic C, total N, and R (Fig. 2 and Table S2, Electronic supplementary material).

The increase of Acidobacteria relative abundance in mineral fertilizer soils and manure application soils was driven by increased abundances of dominant OTUs, such as OTU23 (order iii1-15), OTU4503 (order iii1-15), OTU53 (order iii1-15), and OTU7358 (Acidobacteria subdivision 6). The relative abundance of Chloroflexi increased in nitrogen and manure application soils, which was mainly driven by the changes of class Thermomicrobia. The increase in Actinobacteria relative abundance in nitrogen application soils was mainly driven by the changes of families Geodermatophilaceae, Nocardioidaceae, and Solirubrobacteraceae. However, the family Rubrobacteraceae was decreased in nitrogen application soils. The phylum Bacteroidetes was dominated by order Sphingobacteriales and its increase in manure soils was mainly driven by the family Flammeovirgaceae. The Micrococcaceae which increased abundance in P application soils was dominated by the genus Arthrobacter.

Based on sequence data, archaea were mainly found in the phylum Crenarchaeota (92–99 % of total archaeal reads), and its abundance decreased in mineral fertilizer soils and manure application soils compared to CK soil (Fig. 3). The abundance



Table 3 Relative abundances c	f soil bacterial comm	unities changed by fer	tilization at phylum	(a), class (b), order (c)), family (d), and gen	us (e) levels		
Prokaryotic communities	CK	Ь	Z	NP	NM	NPM	М	PM
(a) Phylum								
Acidobacteria	12.5±1.1b	19.15±0.78a	18.17±0.4a	18.25±0.81a	15.27±1.59ab	17.6±0.25a	18.06±0.85a	16.93±0.3a
Actinobacteria	19.67±0.43c	22.24±1.11bc	27.87±0.33a	26.14±0.46ab	24.36±0.84ab	24.5±1.8ab	22.45±0.69bc	22.05±1.14bc
Bacteroidetes	$2.14\pm0.48c$	2.77±0.13c	$2.19 \pm 0.16c$	$2.73 \pm 0.01c$	4.59±0.13ab	4.41±0.39ab	$4.14{\pm}0.08b$	5.4±0.23a
Chloroflexi	4.82±0.56c	5.37±0.06bc	7.18±0.31ab	7.43±0.15a	6.79±0.37ab	6.69±0.06ab	7.5±0.18a	7.33±0.32 a
Gemmatimonadetes	7.76±0.06a	6.32±0.26bc	7.35±0.22ab	6.39±0.1abc	7.74±0.49a	7.06±0.36ab	$5.98\pm0.16bc$	5.62±0.3c
Planctomycetes	$3.01 \pm 0.09b$	4.14±0.19ab	$2.86 \pm 0.11b$	3.74±0.2ab	3.77±0.83ab	$3.58 {\pm} 0.18b$	6.04±0.53a	4.06±0.83ab
Verrucomicrobia	$1.38 \pm 0.11b$	2.49±0.08a	$1.41 \pm 0.01b$	$1.71\pm0.04b$	$1.52 \pm 0.19b$	$1.71 \pm 0.08b$	2.33±0.15a	2.3±0.03a
WS3	0.55±0.01a	$0.53 \pm 0.13a$	$0.31 \pm 0.04 bc$	0.39±0.02abc	$0.26 \pm 0.03c$	0.27±0.01bc	$0.31 \pm 0.02 bc$	$0.21\pm0c$
(b) Class								
Acidobacteria subdivision 6	4.58±0.65b	8.71±0.19a	8.77±0.36a	8.55±0.56a	7.37±0.27ab	8.97±0.34a	10.19±0.69a	8.91±0.51a
Actinobacteria	7.59±0.08b	8.81±0.85ab	$11.83 \pm 0.9a$	11.15±0.33a	$10.81 \pm 0.21 ab$	11.09±1.04a	10.37±0.22ab	9.81±0.9ab
Rubrobacteria	1.35±0.11a	1.19±0.16ab	$0.82\pm0.02ab$	$1.21\pm0.29ab$	$0.63 \pm 0.08b$	0.77±0.04ab	$0.68 {\pm} 0.05b$	0.74±0.06ab
Thermoleophilia	5.46±0.83c	7.32±0.53abc	9.69±0.15a	$8.4\pm0.18ab$	7.95±0.56abc	7.73±0.66abc	7.07±0.43bc	6.66±0.37bc
Chloracidobacteria	2.85±0.14d	$5.83 \pm 0.24a$	$5.01\pm0ab$	$5.03\pm0.01ab$	3.23±0.46 cd	4.02±0.11bc	3.77±0.13 cd	3.29±0.33 cd
Thermomicrobia	$0.53 \pm 0.1c$	$1.34\pm0.08b$	$1.85 \pm 0.03a$	$1.37\pm0b$	$1.32 \pm 0.03b$	$1.44 \pm 0.07b$	$1.33 \pm 0.03b$	$1.31 {\pm} 0.04b$
Anaerolineae	$0.94\pm0b$	$1.22 \pm 0.17b$	$0.95 \pm 1.18b$	$1.18 \pm 0.12b$	1.34±0.12ab	$1.17 \pm 0.05b$	1.9±0.12a	$1.16 \pm 0.2b$
Gemmatimonadetes	$4.31{\pm}0.08a$	3.04±0 cd	$3.67\pm0.08abc$	3.07 ± 0.01 bcd	3.76±0.31ab	3.28±0.09bcd	$3.23\pm0.08bcd$	2.85±0.2d
Gemm-3	$0.51\pm0e$	$0.81 \pm 0.06 \text{ cd}$	1.12±0.04a	$0.86 \pm 0.02 bc$	$1.02\pm0.04ab$	$0.91\pm0.04bc$	$0.67 \pm 0.03 de$	0.78±0.03 cd
Gemm-5	$0.71 \pm 0.12b$	0.82±0.12ab	$1.05\pm0.15ab$	$0.81{\pm}0.02ab$	$1.25 \pm 0.06a$	$1.08\pm0.11ab$	$0.73 \pm 0.04b$	0.97±0.11ab
Gammaproteobacteria	$4.06 \pm 0.31 d$	4.66±0.54bcd	$4.85 \pm 0.06 bc$	4.18±0.11 cd	$5.86 \pm 0.16a$	$5.36\pm0.14ab$	$5.11 \pm 0.13b$	4.99±0.11b
Sphingobacteria	2.4±0.46d	2.69±0.2 cd	2.67±0.15 cd	2.68±0 cd	$4.21 \pm 0.27 ab$	3.04±0.71bcd	3.78±0.14abc	4.97±0.44a
(c) Order								
iii1-15	$3.09{\pm}0.31b$	6.32±0.14a	$6.4\pm0.24a$	$5.71 \pm 0.05a$	5.18±0.9a	$6.26 \pm 0.32a$	7.18±0.49a	6.24±0.32a
Actinomycetales	7.38±0.08c	7.69±0bc	11.57±0.92a	10.86±0.3a	$10.56 \pm 0.19a$	$10.54 \pm 1.03a$	10.14±0.21ab	7.44±0.93c
Acidimicrobiales	3.08±0.01ab	$2.72 \pm 0.04b$	$2.92\pm0.08ab$	2.86±0.07ab	3.27±0.21a	$3.03\pm0ab$	$2.62 \pm 0.12b$	3.0±0.07ab
Solirubrobacterales	5.66±0.57ab	$4.86 \pm 0.45b$	$6.95 \pm 0.13a$	$5.86\pm0.16ab$	5.67±0.36ab	5.17±0.22b	4.97±0.27b	$4.63 \pm 0.33b$
Sphingobacteriales	$3.24\pm0.51ab$	$3.1\pm0.32ab$	$2.38 \pm 0.32b$	$2.68\pm0b$	$4.21 \pm 0.27 ab$	3.69±0.71ab	$3.78\pm0.14ab$	4.97±0.44a
Xanthomonadales	3.51 ± 0.03 bcde	$2.61\pm0.02e$	3.19±0.26de	3.4±0.11 cde	$4.41\pm0.42ab$	$4.51 \pm 0.03a$	4.21±0.14abc	$3.88\pm0.04abcd$
(d) Family								
Geodermatophilaceae	$2.15 \pm 0.2b$	2.4±0.46ab	3.89±0.42a	2.72±0.21ab	2.73±0.16ab	$2.5\pm0.36ab$	$2.34{\pm}0.14b$	$1.97\pm0.34b$
Gaiellaceae	$1.65 \pm 0.29b$	2.37±0.08ab	$2.42 \pm 0.08a$	$2.43 \pm 0.02a$	2.16±0.2ab	$2.05\pm0.19ab$	1.99±0.15ab	$1.94\pm0.02ab$
Micrococcaceae	$1.33 \pm 0.08d$	1.95±0.12ab	1.36±.0.11 cd	2.22±0.11a	$1.63\pm0.15bcd$	$2.04\pm0.09ab$	1.53±0.01 cd	$1.9{\pm}0.03ab$
Nocardioidaceae	$0.75 \pm 0.06e$	0.92±0.02de	1.38±0.06abc	$1.25\pm0.04bcd$	$1.77 \pm 0.13a$	$1.64\pm0.08ab$	1.22±0.04 cd	$1.37\pm0.13abc$
Rubrobacteraceae	1.35±0.11a	1.04±0.05ab	$0.82\pm0.02bc$	$0.93 \pm 0.05 bc$	$0.63\pm0.08\mathrm{c}$	$0.74\pm0bc$	$0.68 \pm 0.05c$	$0.74 \pm 0.05 bc$

Table 3 (continued)								
Prokaryotic communities	CK	Р	Z	NP	NM	MPM	М	PM
Solirubrobacteraceae	0.67±0.07b	$0.81 {\pm} 0.02b$	1.45±0.1a	1.16±0.06a	1.25±0.04a	$1.13 \pm 0.08a$	1.14±0.05a	0.74±0.01b
Micromonosporaceae	$0.56 {\pm} 0.01b$	$0.57 {\pm} 0.07b$	$0.79 {\pm} 0.03b$	$0.67\pm0.08b$	$0.72 \pm 0.04b$	$0.73 \pm 0.07b$	1.13±0.05a	$0.64{\pm}0.08b$
Pirellulaceae	$0.55 {\pm} 0.12b$	$0.85\pm0.23ab$	$0.52 {\pm} 0.06b$	$0.81{\pm}0.07ab$	$0.75\pm0.16ab$	0.65±0.05ab	1.25±0.11a	0.71±0.14ab
Chitinophagaceae	2.22±0.22a	$1.2\pm0.04bc$	$0.84\pm0.05c$	1.29±0.03bc	1.76±0.17ab	$1.22\pm0.08bc$	$1.53\pm0.06abc$	2.26±0.29a
Flammeovirgaceae	$0.34{\pm}0.14b$	$0.42 \pm 0.11b$	$0.37 \pm 0.03b$	$0.36 {\pm} 0.03b$	$0.91 \pm 0.04a$	0.67±0.17ab	$0.69\pm0.12ab$	0.84±0.13ab
Sphingomonadaceae	$2.91\pm0.5ab$	3.01±0.2ab	$3.63 \pm 0.4a$	2.94±0.15ab	2.9±0.39ab	3.04±0.08ab	$1.96 \pm 0.07b$	2.95±0.27ab
Hyphomicrobiaceae	$1.07 \pm 0.02b$	$1.03 \pm 0.05b$	$0.91 \pm 0.07b$	$1.08\pm0.09b$	1.32±0.03ab	1.36±0.02ab	1.38±0.05ab	1.56±0.16a
Rhodospirillaceae	$0.96 {\pm} 0.01 b$	1.21±0.05ab	$1.23\pm0.06ab$	$1.21{\pm}0.05ab$	$1.38 {\pm} 0.08a$	1.34±0.03a	1.23±0.03ab	$1.43 \pm 0.07a$
Xanthomonadaceae	$1.26 \pm 0.14c$	$1.59 \pm 0.14 bc$	$1.84\pm0.16abc$	1.96 ± 0.09 abc	2.49±0.27a	2.44±0.16a	2.24±0.1ab	2.05±0.18abc
Sinobacteraceae	1.69±0.05a	$1.03 \pm 0.04c$	$1.09\pm0.03c$	$1.34\pm0bc$	1.55±0.23ab	$1.33 \pm 0.02 bc$	1.56±0.04ab	1.47±0.21ab
(e) Genus								
Actinomadura	$0.07 \pm 0.01 d$	$0.06 \pm 0.01 d$	$0.09 \pm 0.01 d$	$0.08 \pm 0.01d$	$0.26 {\pm} 0.01 ab$	$0.19 \pm 0.01 bc$	$0.31\pm0a$	$0.18 {\pm} 0.02c$
Arthrobacter	1.31±0.08 cd	$1.95\pm0.09ab$	1.31±0.1 cd	2.2±0.1a	$1.06 \pm 0.12d$	$1.75 \pm 0.09 bc$	1.35±0.01 cd	1.86±0.05ab
Modestobacter	$0.21\pm0b$	$0.25 {\pm} 0.03b$	0.44±0.05a	$0.31{\pm}0.02ab$	0.36±0.01ab	$0.31\pm0.04ab$	$0.31\pm0ab$	$0.25 \pm 0.05b$
Nocardioides	$0.15 \pm 0.02d$	0.21±0.01 cd	$0.33 \pm 0.03 bc$	$0.24\pm0bcd$	$0.51 \pm 0.04a$	0.42±0.02ab	$0.32 \pm 0.03 bc$	0.28±0.06bcd
Streptomyces	$0.45 \pm 0.02b$	$0.44 {\pm} 0.03b$	$0.64 {\pm} 0.03a$	0.64±0.02a	$0.61 \pm 0.02a$	0.54±0.04ab	$0.43 \pm 0.03 b$	0.42±0.07b
Modestobacter	$0.21\pm0b$	$0.25 \pm 0.03b$	0.44±0.05a	$0.31{\pm}0.02ab$	0.36±0.01ab	$0.31\pm0.04ab$	$0.31\pm0ab$	$0.25 \pm 0.05b$
Rubrobacter	1.35±0.11a	$1.19\pm0.16ab$	$0.82 \pm 0.02ab$	1.22±0.02ab	$0.63 \pm 0.08b$	$0.77 \pm 0.03 ab$	$0.68 {\pm} 0.05b$	$0.74 {\pm} 0.06b$
A4	$0.34 \pm 0.14c$	0.42±0.11bc	$0.37 \pm 0.03c$	0.36±0.03c	$0.91 \pm 0.04a$	$0.67 \pm 0.17b$	$0.69 {\pm} 0.12b$	0.75±0.16ab
Rhodoplanes	0.59±0.11ab	0.62±0.11ab	$0.52 \pm 0.04b$	$0.64\pm0.06ab$	$0.73 \pm 0.02ab$	$0.81\pm0.06ab$	$0.83\pm0.03ab$	0.96±0.13a
Hyphomicrobium	$0.14\pm0.03ab$	$0.09 {\pm} 0.01b$	$0.12\pm0ab$	$0.11\pm0.01ab$	$0.18\pm0a$	$0.15 \pm 0.01 ab$	0.17±0.01a	$0.13 {\pm} 0.02ab$
Sphingomonas	$0.51\pm0.05ab$	$0.55\pm0.03ab$	$0.72 \pm 0.05a$	0.58±0.07ab	$0.58\pm0.06ab$	$0.59\pm0.04ab$	$0.34 {\pm} 0.01b$	$0.44 {\pm} 0.09b$
Lysobacter	$0.28 {\pm} 0.33b$	$0.36 {\pm} 0.05b$	$0.44\pm0.05ab$	$0.42\pm0.01ab$	0.47±0.03ab	$0.57 {\pm} 0.05a$	$0.45\pm0ab$	$0.46\pm0.01ab$
DA10I	$0.38{\pm}0.03ab$	$0.45 \pm 0.05a$	$0.32\pm0.04abc$	$0.32\pm0.04abc$	$0.18{\pm}0.04\mathrm{c}$	$0.24\pm0.06bc$	$0.34\pm0.02abc$	$0.3\pm0abc$
Values with different letters in a	ow mean significant	difference at $p < 0.05$.	Values are means of 1	three replicates ±SE				

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer





Actinobacteria (%)

Bacteroidetes (%)

of Euryarchaeota and other phyla was 1.8–8 % in fertilized soils. The phylum Crenarchaeota was dominated by class Thaumarchaeota, in which the family Nitrososphaeraceae and genus *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera were higher in CK soil than in mineral fertilizer soils and manure application soils by 201 to 576 %.

3.4 Relationships between prokaryotic community structure and soil properties

The Mantel test was employed to understand the relationships between the prokaryotic community structure, diversity, biomass, and soil properties. Soil total organic C, total N, MBC, MBN, and R showed the highest Pearson's correlation (p<0.05) with prokaryotic communities (OTUs), diversity, and biomass. VPA was performed to quantify the relative contributions of different environmental variables to the changes of prokaryotic community structure (at the OTU level) by the *varpart* procedure. DOC alone explained 6.5 %, and total organic C, total N, MBC, MBN, and R explained 11.6 %, leaving 71.3 % of the variation unexplained (Fig. S1, Electronic supplementary material).

The relative abundances of prokaryotic microorganisms (at the family level) were significantly correlated to soil total Fig. 3 Relative abundance (% of total reads) of archaeal 16S rRNA gene



organic C (22 families), total N (22), MBC (20), MBN (20), and respiration (25) (p<0.05, Table S2, Electronic supplementary material). Among these families, 16 of them were significantly correlated to all above variables, such as Rubrobacteraceae, Microbacteriaceae, Flammeovirgaceae, and Saprospiraceae. They were sensitive to the environmental condition changes induced by mineral fertilizer and/or manure application. In addition, there was a significant correlation between environmental variables (pH, TOC, TN, and R) and microbial biomass (p<0.05, Table S3, Electronic supplementary material).

4 Discussion

This study showed that prokaryotic community structure generally differed between no fertilizer soil, mineral fertilizer soils, and manure application soils, and the soil bacterial communities exhibited specific responses to nitrogen and manure applications at the phylum and lower taxon levels and at the family level in P application soils.

4.1 Soil prokaryotic community structure changed by fertilizer application

Prokaryotic community structure differed between no fertilizer and fertilizer application soils, and similar results are found in other long-term fertilization studies (Beauregard et al. 2010; Pan et al. 2014; Yao et al. 2014). P soil showed different prokaryotic community structures from those in N, NM, NPM, and M soils (Fig. 1 and Table S1, Electronic supplementary material). This is in agreement with a tropical rain forest study in which soil microbial community structure in P soil differed from that in N or cellulose addition soils (Fanin et al. 2015; Su et al. 2015). However, the changes of microbial community structure between P soil and N soil and manure application soils were not found in other long-term fertilization studies in grassland or agriculture ecosystems (Ge et al. 2008; Pan et al. 2014). These results indicate that P effect on soil prokaryotic community structure is variable and likely ecosystem- or site-dependent. The prokaryotic community structure was significantly different between N soil, NP soil, and manure application soils and differed between M and NM and NPM soils (Fig. 1 and Table S1, Electronic supplementary material). Organic fertilizer application has a significant influence on soil microbial community structure which differs from that in mineral fertilizer soils revealed by several studies (Ge et al. 2008; Jangid et al. 2008; Wu et al. 2011; Li et al. 2014). However, soil bacterial community structure was relatively nonresponsive to mineral fertilization but application rates (e.g., N rates) (Fierer et al. 2012; Yao et al. 2014) and showed similar patterns among mineral fertilizer soils (He et al. 2008; Wu et al. 2011; Pan et al. 2014). The differences

in prokaryotic community structure among mineral fertilizer soils in the present study may be due to the rain-fed agriculture without irrigation. In irrigated agriculture, fertilizers are always applied after or at the same time as irrigation. This will cause the leaching of fertilizer and other soil nutrients to subsoil and reduce the fertilization effect on soil microorganisms in top soil. Li et al. (2014) found that soil prokaryotic community structure showed significant difference in subsoil but similar community structure in top soil after long-term fertilization with irrigation.

4.2 Potential mechanisms controlling the shifts of prokaryotic communities in fertilization soils

Soil prokaryotic communities revealed by sequencing showed different responses to P, N, and manure applications. The changes in prokaryotic communities may be reflected in the trait differences of soil microbial taxa. Our results support the copiotrophic hypothesis, which predicts that bacteria with fast-growing rates (copiotrophs) prefer the environment with high organic C and nutrient contents, while bacteria with slow-growing rates (oligotrophs) likely thrive in low-nutrient conditions (Fierer et al. 2007). and nitrogen or manure application soils increased abundance in the predicted copiotrophic groups (e.g., Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Gammaproteobacteria) (Fierer et al. 2007, 2012; Eilers et al. 2010; Goldfarb et al. 2011). In previous studies, Proteobacteria (Gamma- or Beta-), Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria increased in abundance in high N soils (Fierer et al. 2012) or in response to labile substrates supply or C availability (Fierer et al. 2007; Eilers et al. 2010; Goldfarb et al. 2011). However, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Gammaproteobacteria showed different responses to nitrogen and manure applications in this study with Actinobacteria increased in abundance in nitrogen application soils, whereas Bacteroidetes and Gammaproteobacteria increased in abundance in manure application soils (Table 3). The relative abundance of Actinobacteria was correlated to soil C/N ratio but not to soil carbon or nitrogen content, which is in line with several studies that show that Actinobacteria has no response to nitrogen or organic substrate addition (Cederlund et al. 2014; Li et al. 2014; Yao et al. 2014). Bacteroidetes and Gammaproteobacteria were positively correlated with soil C and N contents in the present study (Fig. 2 and Table S2, Electronic supplementary material), and increases in abundance have been found in soils with high C and N contents or carbon catabolic potential (Fierer et al. 2007, 2012; Ge et al. 2008; Wessén et al. 2010). Phylum WS3 occupied only 0.21-0.55 % in abundance and decreased abundance in nitrogen application soils and manure application soils. Although four single-cell-amplified genomes of WS3 retrieved from anoxic monimolimnion and sediments have been suggested to have an anaerobic fermentative mode of metabolism (Youssef et al. 2015). little is known about WS3 ecology and metabolism in soil. Their presence in environments across a wide range of habitats including soils, marine sediments, wastewater treatment bioreactors, deep sea hypersaline anoxic lakes, and contaminated environments (Berg et al. 2012; Youssef et al. 2015) suggests versatile metabolisms. The relative abundances of Acidobacteria and Chloroflexi were lowest in CK soil. However, Acidobacteria and Chloroflexi have been suggested to be oligotrophic groups (Fierer et al. 2007) and decreased abundances with nitrogen addition (Yao et al. 2014). These results suggest that some members of Acidobacteria and Chloroflexi may not be oligotrophic, e.g., Acidobacteria subdivision 6 which drove the changes of phylum Acidobacteria accounting for 39-58 % in abundance has shown higher abundance in rhizosphere than bulk soil (Kielak et al. 2009; Nunes da Rocha et al. 2013) which was suggested to be a result of the copiotrophic lifestyle. P application effect on soil microbial communities was minor compared to N or manure application. P soil and P combined with N or manure application soils only changed the relative abundance of the family Micrococcaceae which was dominated by the genus Arthrobacter. Some species in the genus Arthrobacter isolated from the environment have high ability to accumulate or solubilize phosphate (Banerjee et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2011). The accumulation of Arthrobacter in P application soils is in agreement with previous studies which found significant correlations between Actinobacteria and soil P status in pasture soils (Wakelin et al. 2012) and might increase soil P availability which is supported by a higher amount of available P and microbial P in P application soils (Lai et al. 2003, 2004). Further work is needed to determine the relationship of P mobilization potential and the accumulation of Arthrobacter in P application soils.

Fertilizer application had no significant impacts on nitrifying communities (e.g., phylum Nitrospirae, order Nitrosomonadales, and genus Nitrosococcus) except Crenarchaeota. The relative abundance of Crenarchaeota revealed by sequencing decreased after fertilization by 67-85 % (Fig. 3). This is not consistent with previous studies which found that the relative abundance of Crenarchaeota was increased with long-term fertilizer application (Li et al. 2014) or decreased only with high N application rates revealed by high-throughput sequencing (Ning et al. 2015). The Crenarchaeota in this study was dominated by Candidatus Nitrososphaera which has one culture from soil (Candidatus Nitrososphaera evergladensis) in public databases (Zhalnina et al. 2014) and potentially increases abundance with agricultural management (Zhalnina et al. 2013). The inconsistent response of Crenarchaeota to fertilizer suggests that more work is needed to understand the ecological preference of this archaeal phylum.

Preceding studies focused on fertilization effect mainly analyzed the changes of soil biochemical properties and soil microbial community structure (by PLFA, DGGE, or T-RFLP) (Enwall et al. 2007; He et al. 2008; Dong et al. 2014). Some studies also used high-throughput sequencing technologies (Li et al. 2014). however, these are distinct from the current study due to differences in management practice (e.g., fertilization regimes and water management), soil type, and land use. Acidobacteria and Chloroflexi decreased with nitrogen or manure application in some investigations (Cederlund et al. 2014; Li et al. 2014; Yao et al. 2014). This contrasts to our study in rain-fed semiarid soil which showed distinct results. In a grassland study in Inner Mongolia, Actinobacteria was a dominant phylum and did not show any difference between different N rates and unfertilized soil (Yao et al. 2014). whereas the abundance of Actinobacteria was significantly increased in nitrogen application soils in the present study. Moreover, our work suggested that P, nitrogen, and manure applications showed differential effects on soil bacterial communities. In line with many previous findings (Fierer and Jackson 2006; Jangid et al. 2008; Campbell et al. 2010). the changes of soil prokaryotic communities and structure were correlated with soil C and N contents and soil respiration. However, the changes of soil prokaryotic structure after long-term P, nitrogen, and manure fertilizations remain largely unexplained (more than 70 % by VPA) and need more work to determine the inherent mechanisms.

5 Conclusions

This study showed that prokaryotic communities and community structure were mainly affected by long-term fertilization, and part of these changes could be explained by soil C and N contents. In general, the relative abundances of bacteria at the phylum level and also lower taxon ranks changed in response to changes induced by nitrogen and manure applications and also in the P soil, while a response that was general for all the soils receiving P application (P, NP, PM, and NPM) was only seen at a lower taxonomic level. Supporting our hypothesis that soils with P, N, and manure applications would be favorable for different bacterial taxa, we observed increases in the relative abundance of Actinobacteria in nitrogen application soils (N, NP, NM, and NPM) and Bacteroidetes and Gammaproteobacteria in manure application soils (M, NM, PM, and NPM), while the family Micrococcaceae increased abundance in P application soils (P, NP, PM, and NPM). The relative abundance of Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Gammaproteobacteria showed distinct relationships with soil properties, with Actinobacteria negatively correlated to soil C/N ratio, whereas Bacteroidetes and Gammaproteobacteria positively correlated to soil total organic C, total N, and R. More work is needed to elucidate the effect of altered soil prokaryotic communities on the function of rain-fed farmland ecosystems.

Acknowledgments The anonymous reviewer and the editor are greatly acknowledged for their invaluable comments and suggestions on this paper. We also thank Rui Junpeng for his assistance with the Mantel test and VPA analysis. This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 41301322), Chinese Universities Scientific Fund (2014YB055), and Open Fund of State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau (K318009902-1303).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Banerjee S, Palit R, Sengupta C, Standing D (2010) Stress induced phosphate solubilization by *Arthrobacter* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. isolated from tomato rhizosphere. Aust J Crop Sci 4:378–383
- Beauregard MS, Hamel C, Atul-Nayyar S-AM (2010) Long-term phosphorus fertilization impacts soil fungal and bacterial diversity but not AM fungal community in alfalfa. Microb Ecol 59:379–389
- Berg J, Brandt KK, Al-Soud WA, Holm PE, Hansen LH, Sorensen SJ, Nybroe O (2012) Selection for Cu-tolerant bacterial communities with altered composition, but unaltered richness, via long-term Cu exposure. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:7438–7446
- Campbell BJ, Polson SW, Hanson TE, Mack MC, Schuur EA (2010) The effect of nutrient deposition on bacterial communities in Arctic tundra soil. Environ Microbiol 12:1842–1854
- Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, Fierer N, Knight R (2011) Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:4516–4522
- Cederlund H, Wessén E, Enwall K, Jones CM, Juhanson J, Pell M, Philippot L, Hallin S (2014) Soil carbon quality and nitrogen fertilization structure bacterial communities with predictable responses of major bacterial phyla. Appl Soil Ecol 84:62–68
- Chan Y-K, McCormick WA, Ma BL (2013) Effects of inorganic fertilizer and manure on soil archaeal abundance at two experimental farms during three consecutive rotation-cropping seasons. Appl Soil Ecol 68:26–35
- Chen Y, Yang X, Cao Y (2011) Study on isolation, identification and phosphate accumulating capacity of *Arthrobacter* sp. J Anhui Agr Sci 39:447–450
- Chu H, Fujii T, Morimoto S, Lin X, Yagi K, Hu J, Zhang J (2007) Community structure of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria under longterm application of mineral fertilizer and organic manure in a sandy loam soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:485–491
- Dong W-Y, Zhang X-Y, Dai X-Q, Fu X-L, Yang F-T, Liu X-Y, Sun X-M, Wen X-F, Schaeffer S (2014) Changes in soil microbial community composition in response to fertilization of paddy soils in subtropical China. Appl Soil Ecol 84:140–147
- Edgar RC (2013) UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods 10:996–998
- Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R (2011) UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27:2194–2200
- Eilers KG, Lauber CL, Knight R, Fierer N (2010) Shifts in bacterial community structure associated with inputs of low molecular weight carbon compounds to soil. Soil Biol Biochem 42:896–903
- Enwall K, Nyberg K, Bertilsson S, Cederlund H, Stenström J, Hallin S (2007) Long-term impact of fertilization on activity and composition of bacterial communities and metabolic guilds in agricultural soil. Soil Biol Biochem 39:106–115

- Fan J, Hao M (2003) Study on long-term experiment of crop rotation and fertilization in the Loess Plateau II. Relationship between soil enzyme activities and soil fertility. Plant Nutr Fert Sci 9:146–150
- Fanin N, Hättenschwiler S, Schimann H, Fromin N, Bailey JK (2015) Interactive effects of C, N and P fertilization on soil microbial community structure and function in an Amazonian rain forest. Function Ecol 29:140–150
- Fierer N, Jackson RB (2006) The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:626–631
- Fierer N, Bradaford MA, Jackson RB (2007) Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. Ecol 88:1354–1364
- Fierer N, Lauber CL, Ramirez KS, Zaneveld J, Bradford MA, Knight R (2012) Comparative metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen gradients. ISME J 6:1007–1017
- Fulthorpe RR, Roesch LF, Riva A, Triplett EW (2008) Distantly sampled soils carry few species in common. ISME J 2:901–910
- Ge Y, Zhang J, Zhang L, Yang M, He J (2008) Long-term fertilization regimes affect bacterial community structure and diversity of an agricultural soil in northern China. J Soils Sediments 8:43–50
- Goldfarb KC, Karaoz U, Hanson CA, Santee CA, Bradford MA, Treseder KK, Wallenstein MD, Brodie EL (2011) Differential growth responses of soil bacterial taxa to carbon substrates of varying chemical recalcitrance. Front Microbiol 2:1–10
- Guo S, Wu J, Coleman K, Zhu H, Li Y, Liu W (2011) Soil organic carbon dynamics in a dryland cereal cropping system of the Loess Plateau under long-term nitrogen fertilizer applications. Plant Soil 353:321– 332
- Hallin S, Jones CM, Schloter M, Philippot L (2009) Relationship between N-cycling communities and ecosystem functioning in a 50-year-old fertilization experiment. ISME J 3:597–605
- He JZ, Shen JP, Zhang LM, Zhu YG, Zheng YM, Xu MG, Di HJ (2007) Quantitative analyses of the abundance and composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and ammonia-oxidizing archaea of a Chinese upland red soil under long-term fertilization practices. Environ Microbiol 9:3152–3152
- He JZ, Zheng Y, Chen CR, He YQ, Zhang LM (2008) Microbial composition and diversity of an upland red soil under long-term fertilization treatments as revealed by culture-dependent and cultureindependent approaches. J Soils Sediments 8:349–358
- Huanga M, Danga T, Gallichandb J, Goulet M (2003) Effect of increased fertilizer applications to wheat crop on soil-water depletion in the Loess Plateau. Chin Agr Water Manage 58:267–278
- Jangid K, Williams MA, Franzluebbers AJ, Sanderlin JS, Reeves JH, Jenkins MB, Endale DM, Coleman DC, Whitman WB (2008) Relative impacts of land-use, management intensity and fertilization upon soil microbial community structure in agricultural systems. Soil Biol Biochem 40:2843–2853
- Joergensen R, Brookes P (1990) Ninhydrin-reactive nitrogen measurements of microbial biomass in 0.5 M K₂SO₄ soil extracts. Soil Biol Biochem 22:1023–1027
- Kielak A, Pijl AS, van Veen JA, Kowalchuk GA (2009) Phylogenetic diversity of Acidobacteria in a former agricultural soil. ISME J 3: 378–382
- Lai L, Hao M, Peng L, Zhang Z (2003) Variation of organic phosphorus in soil under long-term fertilization on Loess Plateau. Soils 35:413– 418
- Lai L, Mingde H, Wang Y (2004) Changes of long-term rotation and fertilization on soil microbial phosphorus under dryland in Loess Plateau. Plant Nutr Fert Sci 10:546–549
- Li C, Yan K, Tang L, Jia Z, Li Y (2014) Change in deep soil microbial communities due to long-term fertilization. Soil Biol Biochem 75: 264–272
- Magoc T, Salzberg SL (2011) FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 27:2957–2963

- Meyer K, Georg R, Joergensen, Meyer B (1996) The effects of reduced tillage on microbial biomass C and P in sandy loess soils. Appl Soil Ecol 5:71–79
- Ning Q, Gu Q, Shen J, Lv X, Yang J, Zhang X, He J, Huang J, Wang H, Xu Z, Han X (2015) Effects of nitrogen deposition rates and frequencies on the abundance of soil nitrogen-related functional genes in temperate grassland of northern China. J Soils Sediments 15:694–704
- Nunes da Rocha U, Plugge CM, George I, van Elsas JD, van Overbeek LS (2013) The rhizosphere selects for particular groups of acidobacteria and verrucomicrobia. PLoS One 8:e82443
- Pan Y, Cassman N, de Hollander M, Mendes LW, Korevaar H, Geerts RH, van Veen JA, Kuramae EE (2014) Impact of long-term N, P, K, and NPK fertilization on the composition and potential functions of the bacterial community in grassland soil. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 90: 195–205
- Peck JE (2010) Multivariate analysis for community ecologists: step-bystep using PC-ORD. MjM Software Design, Gleneden Beach
- Prosser JI (2012) Ecosystem processes and interactions in a morass of diversity. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 81:507–519
- Ramirez KS, Craine JM, Fierer N (2012) Consistent effects of nitrogen amendments on soil microbial communities and processes across biomes. Global Change Biol 18:1918–1927
- Searle PL (1984) The Berthelot or indophenol reaction and its use in the analytical chemistry of nitrogen. Analyst A Rev 109:549–568
- Shi Y, Lalande R, Ziadi N, Sheng M, Hu Z (2012) An assessment of the soil microbial status after 17 years of tillage and mineral P fertilization management. Appl Soil Ecol 62:14–23
- Su JQ, Din LJ, Xue K, Yao HY, Quensen J, Bai SJ, Wei WX, Wu JS, Zhou J, Tiedje JM, Zhu YG (2015) Long-term balanced fertilization increases the soil microbial functional diversity in a phosphoruslimited paddy soil. Mol Ecol 24:136–150
- Tan H, Barret M, Mooij MJ, Rice O, Morrissey JP, Dobson A, Griffiths B, O'Gara F (2012) Long-term phosphorus fertilisation increased the diversity of the total bacterial community and the *phoD* phosphorus mineraliser group in pasture soils. Biol Fert Soils 49:661–672
- Turlapati SA, Minocha R, Bhiravarasa PS, Tisa LS, Thomas WK, Minocha SC (2013) Chronic N-amended soils exhibit an altered bacterial community structure in Harvard Forest, MA, USA. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 83:478–493
- van der Heijden MG, Bardgett RD, van Straalen NM (2008) The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett 11:296–310
- Wakelin S, Mander C, Gerard E, Jansa J, Erb A, Young S, Condron L, O'Callaghan M (2012) Response of soil microbial communities to contrasted histories of phosphorus fertilisation in pastures. Appl Soil Ecol 61:40–48
- Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR (2007) Naïve bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:5261–5267
- Wang Y, Zhu G, Song L, Wang S, Yin C (2014) Manure fertilization alters the population of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria rather than ammoniaoxidizing archaea in a paddy soil. J Basic Microbiol 54:190–197
- Wei F, Hao M (2011) Effects of long-term fertilization on soil water and nutrient with *Triticum aestivum* L. succession in dryland of Loess Plateau. Sci Soil Water Conserv 9:104–109
- Wessén E, Hallin S, Philippot L (2010) Differential responses of bacterial and archaeal groups at high taxonomical ranks to soil management. Soil Biol Biochem 42:1759–1765
- Whitman WB, Coleman DC, Wiebe WJ (1998) Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:6578–6583
- Wu M, Qin H, Chen Z, Wu J, Wei W (2011) Effect of long-term fertilization on bacterial composition in rice paddy soil. Biol Fert Soils 47:397–405
- Yao M, Rui J, Dai Y, Bai Y, Heděnec P, Wang J, Zhang S, Pei K, Liu C, Wang Y, He Z, Frouz J, Li X (2014) Rate-specific responses of prokaryotic diversity and structure to nitrogen deposition in the *Leymus chinensis* steppe. Soil Biol Biochem 79:81–90

- Youssef NH, Farag IF, Rinke C, Hallam SJ, Woyke T, Elshahed MS (2015) In silico analysis of the metabolic potential and niche specialization of Candidate Phylum "Latescibacteria" (WS3). PLoS One 10:e0127499
- Zhalnina K, de Quadros PD, Gano KA, Davis-Richardson A, Fagen JR, Brown CT, Giongo A, Drew JC, Sayavedra-Soto LA, Arp DJ, Camargo FA, Daroub SH, Clark IM, McGrath SP, Hirsch PR, Triplett EW (2013) *Ca.* Nitrososphaera and *Bradyrhizobium* are inversely correlated and related to agricultural practices in longterm field experiments. Front Microbiol 4:104
- Zhalnina KV, Dais R, Leonard MT, Dorr de Quadros P, Camargo FA, Drew JC, Farmerie WG, Daroub SH, Triplett EW (2014) Genome sequence of *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera evergladensis from group I.1b enriched from everglades soil reveals novel genomic features of the ammonia-oxidizing archaea. PLoS One 9:e101648
- Zhang QC, Shamsi IH, Xu DT, Wang GH, Lin XY, Jilani G, Hussain N, Chaudhry AN (2012) Chemical fertilizer and organic manure inputs

in soil exhibit a vice versa pattern of microbial community structure. Appl Soil Ecol 57:1–8

- Zhao B, Chen J, Zhang J, Xin X, Hao X (2013) How different long-term fertilization strategies influence crop yield and soil properties in a maize field in the North China Plain. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 176:99–109
- Zhengchao Z, Zhuoting G, Zhouping S, Fuping Z (2013) Effects of longterm repeated mineral and organic fertilizer applications on soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in a semi-arid cropland European. J Agron 45:20–26
- Zhong WH, Cai ZC (2007) Long-term effects of inorganic fertilizers on microbial biomass and community functional diversity in a paddy soil derived from quaternary red clay. Appl Soil Ecol 36:84–91
- Zhu XM, Li YS, Peng XL, Zhang SG (1983) Soils of the loess region in China. Geoderma 29:237–255
- Zimmerman N, Izard J, Klatt C, Zhou J, Aronson E (2014) The unseen world: environmental microbial sequencing and identification methods for ecologists. Front Ecol Environ 12:224–231